## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

## CENTRAL ARCHÆOLOGICAL LIBRARY

ACCESSION NO. 23462

CALL No. 491. 4005/Bea

D.G.A. 79.



LIBRA NO. DATE OF NO.

A

## COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR

OF THE

# MODERN ARYAN LANGUAGES OF INDIA.

Libraries

Santral Archeological Library

Man Dalbi.



## COMPARATIVE GRAMMAK

OF THE

# MODERN ARYAN LANGUAGES

#### OF INDIA:

TO WIE.

HINDI, PANJABI, SINDHI, GUJARATI, MARATHI, ORIYA AND BANGALI.

Librarian Castral Archalopical Library. Nase Dalbi.

#### JOHN BEAMES,

PRIMAL SITE STRUCTS,

FRILATE OF THE STRUCTS OF CALCUTS,

HEMORE OF THE STRAIL ACCEPTE, THE ORDINAN CHIRESELS, SHEEPER,

\$25-\$ \$57-\$.

VOL. 11.

23462 .

THE NOUN AND PRONOUN.

491.4005 Bea



A2360

LONDON:

TRÜBNER & CO., 67 AND 59, LUDGATE HILL 1876. (All Eight rectod)



MARTINGO | MARTINGO |

2/1 1/2 -

CENTRAL ABURAL TENT	HARRIERA
Acc. No	The service of
Date	
Call No.	

## PREFACE.

Two years and a half have elapsed since I sent home my First Volume. This Second Volume would have been ready sooner but for the constant interruptions to which I have been subjected. The pressure of official work has largely increased, and, at one time, when I was called on to take charge of the administration of the Province of Orissa, was so heavy as to compel me to lay aside all literary work for six months.

To this cause must also be ascribed much of the disjointed and unfinished appearance of some parts of the work, for which I must ask the reader's indulgence.

JOHN BEAMES.

Current, Onima. April, 1874.





## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

### BOOK IL-THE NOUN AND PRONOUN.

#### CHAPTER I.—FORMATION OF THE STEM.

				FAN
§ 1. Structure of the noun		¥		- 1
§ 2. Suffixes			-	1
§ 3. Stems in A		*		e. 1
§ 4. Adjectives formed from A-stems	-	4		12
§ 3. Exceptions	-	1.5		- 14
§ 6. Stems in -NA and -ANA .	-	-	-	1.5
§ 7. Stems in -A preceded by a semivor	i low	-		. 20
§ 8. Stems in -MA		4.1	-	25
§ 9. The KA- suffix and its ramification	un .	100		_ 26
§ 10. Stems in TRA	4	4	4	46
§ 11. Treatment of feminine stems in -A				. 45
§ 12. Group of stems in the pulatal and l	lahial shor	et voire	la .	32
§ 13. The long vowels of those organs .	1	4	-	. 55
§ 14. Stems in R	4	4	2	-0.5
§ 15. Dissyllable and consonantal suffices	0 0	1	- 1	39
16. Stems of uncertain origin or partial	applicati	on		01
17. Secondary stems-formation of also	iract moun	ut -	- 7	74
\$\$ 18. 19. The same-personives and at	tributive		-	8/1
§ 20-22. The sums, continued				100
23. Stems with double suffices				114
24. Formation of diminutives	187	100	1.5	110

was and the second seco	
§ 25. Compound nouns	123
§ 26. Numerals—cardinals	. 130
§ 27. Numerals—ordinals	142
§ 28. Other numerals .	144
Action Company of the	1,533
CHAPTER II.—GENDER.	
§ 29. Natural and grammatical gender	116
§ 30. Use of gender in the seven languages	147
§ 31. Typical terminations of the adjective	150
§ 32. Terminations of the masculine	151
§ 33. Terminations of the feminine	159
§ 34. Terminations of the neuter ,	161
\$ 35. Formation of feminines from mesculines	163
§ 36. Gender of words ending in consumnts	171
§ 37. Decay of Gender—its cause in Bengali and Oriya	177
and the same of th	111
CHAPTER HI.—DECLENSION.	
38. Inflection .	
	101
	181
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriva and Bengali	180
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriva and Bengali	183 186
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindl and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sinihi	183 186 187
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindi and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem	186 186 187 195
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindi and Panjahi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages	186 186 187 195 198 -
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindl and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages 44. Formation of the plural in the multiform languages.	183 186 187 195 198 - 202
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindl and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages 44. Formation of the plural in the muitiform languages 45. Origin of the plural forms	183 186 187 195 198 - 202 268
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindi and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages 44. Formation of the plural in the multiform languages 45. Origin of the plural forms 46. Origin and analysis of the singular oblique forms	188 186 187 195 198 202 203 209
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindi and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Similai 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages 44. Formation of the plural in the multiform languages 45. Origin of the plural forms 46. Origin and analysis of the singular oblique forms 47. Oblique forms of the plural	186 187 195 198 - 202 208 209 218
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindi and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages 44. Formation of the plural in the multiform languages 45. Origin of the plural forms 46. Origin and analysis of the singular oblique forms 47. Oblique forms of the plural 48. Remnants of the synthetical system in other cases 49. Absence of oblique and plural forms from certain languages	186 187 195 198 - 202 208 209 218
39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali 40. The same in Hindl and Panjabi. 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Siedhi 42. Table showing terminations of the stem 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages 44. Formation of the plural in the multiform languages 45. Origin of the plural forms 46. Origin and analysis of the singular oblique forms 47. Oblique forms of the plural 48. Remnants of the synthetical system in other cases 49. Absence of oblique and plural forms from certain languages	186 187 195 198 - 202 208 209 218

TABLE OF CONTENES.	-i:
§ 53. Adjectives	230
0 0 0 0 0 0	243
at your file. Taken	248
§ 56. The Objective	252
OF MANY MANY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	260
§ 58. The Ablative	970
§ 59. The Genitive	275
§ 60. The Locative	291
§§ 61. 62. Postpositions	205
	-
State of the last	
CHAPTER IV.—THE PRONOUN,	
Salar	
5 63. Pronoun of the first person singular	301
§ 64. Plural of the same	307
§ 65. The second person singular and plural	309
§ 66. Genitive of the two first persons	311
§ 67. Pronoun of the third person	314
§ 08. The Demonstrative ,	316
§ 69. The Relative § 70. The Correlative.	320
§ 70. The Correlative.	\$22
§ 71. The Interrogative .	322
§ 72. The Indefinite .	525
§ 73. The Reciprocal	828
§ 74. Adjectival pronouns	331
§ 75. Pronominal suffixes in Similal	334
§ 76. General scheme of the pronouns and pronominal selverbs	335
§ 77. Miscellaneous pronouns	340
§ 78. Gipsy pronouna	3314
59 79, 80. Concluding remarks	0.46



## ERRATA.

Page 11, line 7, for and read made, i.e. the mark on the final a is the accent, not the long vowel; and so in the six words which follow.

Page 25, line 21, for तुहीनाम read तुही नाम-

Page 26, fine 14, for fga read fea.

Page 30, line 5, for HIE read HIE.

Page 39, line 6, for HIM read HIM.

Page 63, line 10, for चंडना read क्डना.

Page 33, line II, for बोडना read छोडना-

Page 58, line 27, for jdjard road jdgard.

Page 65, line 23, for पुजाना read पुजाना-

Page 70, line 8, for विकासा read विकासा.

Page 70, line 14, dele "u" after "with."

Page 70, line 19, for आामण ceal आमणी-

Page 78, line 9, for B. read Pr.

Page S1, line 3 from buttom, for derivatives read desideratives.

Page 83, line 1, for gangled read gangled.

Page 13, line 8, for Bring read Buig.

Page 95, line 91, for #3 read #2.

Page 100, line 19, for quarrel rend quarrelmane.

Page 113, line 18, for it read them.

Page 122, line 7, transfer the comma from after 'mute' to after 'commant.'

Page 128, fine 5, for murini read muzini-

Page 128, line 5, for तमा read दंग.

Page 128, line 20, for जांबतांग्या real जागटांग्या-

Page 128, line 20, for nin read Zin.

Page 132, line 17, in "so-much-need" their the first hyphen-

Page 141, line 3, for "fifty-three" read "fifty-five."

Page 150, line I, for Wid read Wid.

Page 154, line 1, after 'except' lusert M.

Page 161, line 17, for gig read ag.

Page 170, line 22, for future read part.

Page 201, line 7, for रेक्डाब rend रेक्डाब.

Page 201, line 7, for किंदिशी rend किंदिशी.

Page 201, line 4 from bottom, for these read those.

Page 202, line 23, for Tsi भो read दंडां भी.

Page 212, line 11, for miel read aries 1

Page 219, line 8, for द्वान read द्वान.

Page 254, line I from bottom, for माथ read माथ-

Page 207, line 13, for 4g read 28.

Page 200, linn 6, for नही rend गही.

Page 275, line 13, for युद् rend युध.

Page 275, line 20, for आरी read जोरी.

Page 233, line 18, for termination read combination.

Page 型3, line 3 from bottom, for सद्यार read 和資11.

Page 295, line 23, for हत्ती read इत्तीत्-

Page 207, line 22, for तीचे read पीचे.

Page 316, line 4, for महिचे read गहिचे-

Page 332, line 11, for you read yore.

#### COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR

OF THE

## MODERN ARYAN LANGUAGES

#### OF INDIA.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### PORMATION OF THE STEM.

CONTENTS.—

1. Structure Forest from 1-struc.—

2. Exercion.—

3. Structure Forest from 1-struc.—

3. Exercion.—

3. Structure.—

3. Structure.—

3. Exercion.—

4. Structure.—

3. Structure.—

3. Exercion.—

4. Structure.

3. Structure.

4. Structure.

4. Structure.

5. Structure.

6. Struc

§ 1. Nouns are divided into two classes: those which name objects, and are called appellatives, or in the older phraseology advatantiers; and those which describe the qualities of objects, and are called attributives, or in the older phraseology adjectives. Both classes will be considered in this Chapter.

The noun as employed in Sanskrit contains three divisions the root, the suffix, and the termination; the root and suffix taken together constitute the stem, the whole three combined form the perfect noun fitted for use in speaking or writing.

Val. Dr. I

Thus naras, "a man," consists of three parts, nar +a+s, where nar is the root, a the suffix, s the termination; nar +a=nara is the stem.

The terminations vary with every relation or connexion of the noun, and their variations constitute what are called cases. In the modern languages the terminations of the Sanskrit noun. no longer exist in their original form; in some of the seven languages no trace of them is perceptible in the singular, and only weakened and half-obliterated traces remain in the plural; in none of the languages are more than slight and doubtful indications of their presence still observable. The variations of case are expressed in the modern languages by particles placed after the stem, just as in modern European languages they are expressed by particles placed before the stem. English declaraion, fish, of fish, to fish, stands in the same relation to the Gothic fisks, fiskis, fiska; and French champ, de change, a champ, in the same relation to Latin compus, campacampo: ne Hindi-nar, nar kå, nar ko, does to Sanskrit naras, marusya, naraya.

The first point then to be considered is the formation of the stem, and in this inquiry our attention is entirely concentrated on the final syllable or suffix. When treating, in the First Book, of Phonetic Changes, I exhibited, as far as the materials at my command permitted, those alterations and corruptions which have operated to change the form of the root; and the next stop is to discuss the processes by which the stem, consisting of the combination of root and suffix, has been built up in its manifold developments.

Sanskrit grammarians divide nominal stems into two classes. They do not admit the possibility of a noun being a primitive word, derived from nothing else, but hold that all the words in their language are derived from verbal roots (called dhate), by additions and changes of various kinds. The first class, therefore, consists of those nouns derived from the dhate directly:

these they call kridanta; the second, of those derived immediately from other norms; these they name toddhita. I shall uso for kridanta the term "primary stem"; for taddhita, "secondary stem."

\$ 2. Derivation is effected by the addition to the dhatu, or root, of certain syllables called pratyaya, or suffix. These suffixes in many cases not merely add a vowel or syllable to the root, but also effect some change in the root itself, either by lengthening the vowel, eliding a final consonant, or in some other way. In order to Indicate the effect the suffix has on the root, the Indian grammarians have prefixed or added to each suffix certain letters, which serve as a sort of memorie technics. Thus पाक "cooking," would be described as पच + धन, that is, derived from the root प्र." to cook" by the suffix घत्र; the effect of this suffix is principally to add a final W to the root, but it also lengthens the root-vowel, and this effect is indicated by the letter 3; it moreover changes a final palatal into a guttural, and this is denoted by the W. The number of pratyayas is very great, the grammarians having multiplied them without stint, in order to give expression to the large mass of minute distinctions with which they have encumbered their writings. As, however, we are not discussing Sanskrit grammar, but only the simpler grammar of its modern descendants, it will not be necessary to consider all these suffixes in detail. They may be conveniently thrown into groups according to the vowel or syllables which they actually add to the root, the technical letters being referred to only when the distinction which they mark is of importance in its bearing on the forms of the spoken languages. Among the kridantas or primary stoms the Indian grammarians include participles and verbal forms of all kinds, as well as abstract nouns. It will, however, be more in accordance with the principles of linguistic science to receive the consideration of participles and all verbal formations till the

chapters on the Verb are reached, and to discuss in this place merely those suffixes which form substantives and adjectives.

Suffixes are monosyllabic or dissyllabic. Out of the vast mass of suffixes mentioned by the Sanskrit grammarians, many may be dismissed as unconnected with the present subject, inasmuch as the stems formed by them were so formed before the rise of the modern languages, and the question of their construction is a matter not of modern, but of ancient, grammar. Our seven languages took over these words ready made, and, as far as we are concerned therefore, they may be regarded as primitive words. Only such suffixes will here be introduced as have left traces in the specula of the present times; and if it be necessary to speak of certain of them which are of purely Sanskrit use, it is merely because of their bearing on phonetic or structural processes of recent introduction.

§ 3. Stems in -a. The nouns of this class are of all three genders, and make in the nominative case of Skr., mase. -as, fem. -d, neut. -am. The s of the mase nom, however, is not permanent; before a word beginning with a sonant letter, it, together with the a of the stem, changes into o. Inasmuch as the sonant letters in Sanskrit are more numerous than the surds, the form of the nominative case in -e was much more frequently heard than any other; and the vulgar, who are not careful of minute grammatical distinctions, appear even in the emrliest times to have used the termination in -o to the exclusion of all others. Thus Vararuchi (v. 1) gives it as a general rule that o is substituted for an (= as), the technical name of the nom, sing, ending, in all words whose base ends in a, an eachabha, easaha, purino, for erikahas, erishabhas, purushas. He considers that the a of the suffix has been elided, though it is more probable that the whole termination -changed into so through the intermediate form sak, the labial vowel owing its origin to the involuntary contraction of the

lips during the passing of the soft breathing indicated by the visarga. In Magadhi Prakrit the nom. is sometimes formed in -e, and in Apabhransa in -u.

In more recent times two separate sets of stems developed themselves out of this a-stem. The first probably ended in a in all the languages down to about the fourteenth century; since then it has ended in -a in all but Sindhi, which still retains the termination in -n. In old Hindi and Marathi the nominative in u is distinctly traceable, and in the former is even lengthened to & at the end of a verse where the metre requires a long syllable. This is especially the case in Tulsi Das's Ramayan, a work which exhibits a wide range of popular forms and phrases. Thus we have note, ale, aute, unie, at the ends of rhyming lines for acle, ale, in modern H. श्रीर, बीर, sarie, bir. The second ended in o, which in the broad pronunciation of old Hindi sounds us, though the form in a is often used by the earlier poets; and there is no distinction between the two: it is merely a matter of manuscripts, some using one, some the other form. This o softened subsequently still further into d, in all but G, and S., which still retain o.

Concerning the cause of the parallel existence of the two forms there has hitherto been much doubt. Dr. Trumpp considers it a mere matter of accident, and thinks the presence of one form or the other is due to habit and daily usage. Dr. Hoemle, however, holds, and with justice, that this reasoning is insufficient, and would ascribe all words which exhibit the a = c termination to the forms of nouns with an added a, which are extremely common in Prakrit. To this opinion I in the main subscribe. I think myself fortunate in this second volume

t et Eine Regel scheint hei illeser Separation nicht vorgestaltet zu baben, emignische babe ich bie jetzt zoch keine entdecken bännen, medern der taguspiehe Oebrench scheint sich für die eine petr andere Volung entschieden zu baben." Zeines d. D.M.G. red. ret. p. 131.

to have hints from other and better scholars than myself, which were wanting when the First Volume was written.

There is, however, one other possible way of accounting for the peculiarity. This is the influence of the accent.\(^1\) It is vigorously denied by some that the Sanskrit accent has persisted until modern times; but it may be urged that the idea is a new one, not altogether without support in facts, nor in any way a priori impossible, and it is not quite fair to dismiss it contemptatously without due consideration.\(^1\) The influence of the accent is naturally confined to words of the early Tadbhava class, namely to words which have come down uninterruptably from those times when Sanskrit was spoken, and whose form depends on the ear, not on the eye. This is all that is claimed for it: it is not pretended that the Sanskrit accent has been preserved in words resuscitated from the written language by learned men, centuries after it had ceased to be spoken.

With these reservations, the reader is invited to examine the lists hereinafter given, given not in a spirit of dogmatism, but merely because I cannot satisfy myself in rejecting accentual influence in them, till I am met by something more convincing than anears; though in the desire to arrive at the truth, which is the only object which these researches can possibly have for one in my position, I shall be ready to throw down my weapons and acknowledge myself beatan directly I feel myself fairly convinced.

In any case, whether the influence of the accent be admitted or rejected, the collection of words now given will be useful as

<sup>1</sup> See Vol. L. Ch. J. | 5, pp. 17 ff.

E Unfortunately little is known of the spoken account in Sec. In the following pages, the sules laid down in Bopp's Vergleichtendes Accountsations return have been my guide; but perhaps since that was written advances have been made by European written. These, in my included position in the depths of an Indian province. I have no means of obtaining. I can, however, quite conscious of the unfavourable effect is distinguished in the on this, and all other parts of my work, and only wish my critica would hear is in mind conclines.

affording instances of the development of the -a suffix, whatever be thought of the cause of it. Those who hold that the termination d=o is due to the Prakrit (and at times also Sanskrit) suffix I must explain to us why this I producing a=o, has been so capriciously employed, why, in fact, Skr. Will "lip," should not have taken a I and become Pr. Wight, H. Will, instant as much as, according to them, Will "egg," took I and became III will. If, as is asserted, all nouns might and did take this I, why do not all nouns of this stem and in the moderns in a=o, why do some end in a=o?

(I). Bargiones.—The Sanskrit words in the following list are all accented on the penultimate; they are all primary stems formed by the addition to the verbal root of one or other of the simple suffixes, such as \*\*\(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}

Skr. चोष्ठ "Пр." Pr. चोडुं, H. चीठ, P. हीठ, O. होट, चोठ, M. चीठ, O. चोठ-

Skr. कर्त "ear." Pr. कर्मो. H. कान. P. करा. G. M. B. O. कान. S. कर्नु. Skr. काछ "wood," H. काठ, and so in all, but S. काठु.

Skr. वार् "armpit," Pr. करती, H. वांख, P. कर्ड, G. M. B. O. कांख, S. कर्ड-

Skr. कोश "kos," H. कोस. P. कोह, the rest कीस, S. कोझ.

Skr. क्रम् "trouble," H. क्रस, P. क्लिस, M. क्लिस, क्लिस, S. क्लिस्

Skr. यहा "mament," H. खन, छन, छिन, the rest खण, S. खिए (fem.).

Skr. समें "womb," H. बर्भ, जाम, P. Id. and जल्म, M. G. जाम, S.

मनु, गर्मु-Skr. साम "village," 11. गांव, 0. गाम, M. गांव, B. O. गां, 8. गामु. गांच-

Skr. 'बाल "net," H. जाल, so in all, but (1. जाऊं, जाई, S. जाई-

Sko तान "took," 11. तान, so in all, G. माम, S. तानु.

Skr. तेल "ज़ा," H. तेल , so in all, S. तेलु .

Skr. दत्त "tooth," H. दांत, so lu all, P. दंत, S. बंदु.

Shr. दिन "duy," H. दिन, so in all, S. दिल्.

Skr. देश "country," H. देस, in the rest देश , S. देस .

Skr. पाज "noose," H. पास, फांस, G. and the rest पाज, P. पाइ, S. -फाड़ी, फांसी.

Shr. 'AI' plonghahare," H. WIH, and so in all, but P. Coo., S. WIE.

Skr. सार्व "road," H. सब, गांव, in the rest माव, S. माजू.

Skr. जोक "world," H. जोग ("poople"), in the rest जोक, S. जोक, जीगू.

Skr. वंश "bumbou," H. बांस, बांश and so in all, S. बांस-

Skr. बर "banian-tree," H. बहु, so in all, M. O. बर, S. बहु-

Skr. विष "polson," H. विख. B. विष. M. G. id. and विख. P. विस. विस. विह. S. विखु and जिल्ला.

Instances might be multiplied to a large extent, but the above will suffice to show that the rule holds good in a vast unifority of instances, Sindhi having uniformly u, the other languages u, though Gujarati now and then retains u. This final short u is not prenounced at all in Hindi, Panjabi, Marathi, or Gujarati, and very slightly in Bengali and Oriya. We hear jal, tan, tel, dant, and so on, not jalu; words of this class may, for practical purposes, be regarded as ending in consonants, except in M. and G., where it is necessary, as will be shown hereafter, to bear in mind the existence of the final mute u, in order to effect the necessary changes of the base in the oblique cases of the singular.

(2). Oxytomes.—The list which follows comprises, like that which precedes, none but primary stems formed by simple suffixes, as **u**, **u**, and the like; but the words which it contains are all accented on the last syllable, and accordingly it will be seen that they all end in the modern languages in d or o.

Skr. भवड "egg." H. बंदा, P. id., B. जांदा, O. id., S. यानी, M. and G. are exceptions, M. जंदे, G. इंड्रे-

Skr. बीट "worm," H. बीडा, and so in all, G. and S. बीडो.

Skr. not "knife." H. not, and so in all, G. and S. get. (In all the fem. get is more commun.)

Sice. चेट "slave," H. चेडां, चेना, on in all, G. and S. चली-

Skr. चुर्य "dost," H. चूरा ("powder"), so in ail, G. and S. चूरो, M. and B. abo चूर.

Skr. चूर्य "dast," H. चूना ("lime"), P. id., M. चुना, चूला, B. O. चुना, B. also चुन, G. and S. चूनो, चूलो-

Skr. दीप "candle," H. दिपा, O. B. M., P. दोबा, दिया, M. दिवा, G. दीवी, S. दिबी

Skr. अज "flag." H. धजा, P. ld., G. धजो.

Skr. नान "atream." H. नाना, and so in all, G. नाळी, and नाऊं. S.

Skr. फुस "snake's hood," H. फुसा, M. B. O. id., G. फुस्तो, S. फुस्ति (tem.). Skr. चृत्स "young," H. घुषा, बद्धा, and so in all, B. बाह्या, G. दही, S. बुपों

Sir. अज "spear," II. भाजा, P. M. O. id., G. and S. भाजी.

Skr. आज "brother-in-law," H. साजा, P. M. साउटा, B. O. शुला, G.

Skr. ज्ञान्य "shoulder," H. कांचा, P. कंचा, M. खांद्रा, O. B. कांचा, G. S. कांची

Skr. श्रम "post," H. श्रमा, P. B. O. id.

Shr. मूच "mouse," H. मूसा, P. B. id., O. मूचा-

Skr. वर्ष "rain," H. बरसा, बरखा, O. बरवा, B. M. id., G. वर्षा.

The Hindi in this, as in so many other instances, vindicates its right to be considered the leading language of the group, by the fidelity with which it adheres to rule. The other languages are less faithful to the long vowel representing the oxytone, than they are to the short vowel derived from the barytone stams. Marathi especially diverges in this respect, but the divergence is probably due, as will be seen hereafter, to its practice in modifying the final vowel of the stem in the oblique cases.

Bopp's rule for stems formed by the addition of simple a is that they carry the accent on the root-syllable in most cases. He accepts, however, a class of abstract stems formed from roots in i and i, which allow the accent to sink down to the final syllable. They are as follows:

Shr. जि वय "destruction," H. क्य, P. क., S. क्रोब्रो, G. खे, M. बे. Not used in B. and O. except as Taisama.

Skr. चि चय "heap." In compounds H. संचै, M. चय, संचय, etc.

Skr. जि वय "victory." In all वय or जि.

Skr. fur sau "emiling." Not in use.

Skr. हि ह्य "horse." ह्य and है in the old poets; often with an additional spilable हुंबर in Chand.

Skr. की क्य "buying." In compounds H. विकी (विकय), B. O. td., P. विकरी, S. विकिरी.

Sar. मी मद्य "lear," H. भद, B. O. id., P. में, S. मद् and भद, M. O. भद

Skr. जो जय "abode," In the compounds with आजय, as below.

Shr. देवालय "temple," H. देवजा, P. देवाजा, S. देविजी, G. देवळ, M. देवाळ, O. id., B. देवज.

Skr. यगुरानय "father-in-law's house," 11. सुसरान, M. सासरे.

Skr. जि अव "refuge." In the compound पायय, 11. जासरा, P. id., M. प्राया, B. O. id., G. पासरो, S. पासर, प्रासिरो.

In all these words the inherent weakness of the I has led to the corruption of the two syllables of the Skr. into one in such words as ased, decada, while in others it has been practically softened into a diphthong in combination with the preceding consonant. It may also be conjectured that though the learned accentuated the last syllable of stems of this small class, the masses did not at any time observe this distinction, but treated

Vergl. Accountmationssystem, § 116.

them as barytones, and the final vowel has therefore not been preserved.

There is also a rule of a somewhat intriente character, which declares that stems of this form, when simple appellatives, which, according to their original meaning, are nouns of agency, bear the accent on the last syllable. Under this head are such words as

nucld, "river," or the sounder (root बद "to sound").
plined, "ship," or the swimmer (root a "to flust").
chard, "thief," or the steeler (root a "to steel").
kard, "Insul," or the maker (root a "to do").
maghd, "cloud," or the wetter (root a "to do").
devd, "god," or the shiner (root a "to shine").

It is obvious, however, that nouns of this class may be formed to any extent by a little exercise of the imagination. Every moun in fact formed by the suffixes ach, ghan, or up and the like, which merely add a vowel to the root, might be classed as a nomen agentis, and expected to be an oxytone. There is no reason why garbha, "womb," should not be considered as a noun of the agent, mouning the container (root us " to hold "), or karna, "the ear," as the piercer (root क्या "to pierce"), or risha, "poison," as the persuler, from its action in stealthily ereeping into the blood (root fau "to penetrate"); and as a matter of fact the grammarians do so regard them all. The rule appears to be too subtle for general practical use, and the following list of oxytones of this class, mostly formed with which is said specially to form agents, will show that in a majority of instances the modern languages have not regarded these words as oxytones.

Skr. बर "hand," H. कर, and so in all, S. कर. Skr. नद "river," H. नद, M. id., perhaps S. नदी "tobe," but also नडु. Skr. नेच "cloud," H. ग्रेच, and so in all, S. ग्रेचु. Skr. चोर "thick." H. चोर, and so in all, S. चोरू. Skr. देव "god." H. देव, देउ, so in all, S. देउ and देउ. (In Muhammadan Sindh it means "a devil.")

Skr. दंश "gaddy" (the litter). H. दंश, दंख, and so in all, S. इंगु "the bite of an insect or reptile."

Skr. चर् "bridegroom," (he who chooses the wife), H. चर्, P. B. O. id., M. G. चर्, S. दह.

§ 4. Under this rule is included a large number of adjectives which are oxytone in Sanskrit, and end with long & or o in the moderns. It may, however, be observed, that the majority of adjectives from a-stoms end in a or o in the masculines, except in B. and O., where the habitual neglect of quantity has led to the final vowel being shortened. The rule therefore hardly covers all the examples, and is perhaps not meant to do so, as Bopp merely includes adjectives with the meaning of the present participle. We may suppose that the rule, once established for this class of adjectives, gradually extended itself to the others also, on account of the facility which the variety of terminations so obtained afforded for making the distinctions of gender. In those languages which pay little or no attention to gender, as the Bengali and Oriya, the distinction was not needed, and we hear in O. such phrases as boro bhai, "eldest brother," and boro bhauni, "eldest sister."

Examples of adjectives—(o) indicates oxytone, (b) burytone adjectives;

Skr. बक् (b) "clear, good," H. बक्स, P. id., G. बक्ते, S. बहरे ("white"), B. O. बक्

Skr. बन्ध (e) "blind," H. बंधा, P. बहा, G. बाधळो. M. बंधळा. B. O. बन्ध, S. बंधो.

Skr. वर्त (6) "half," II. आधा, P. वता, G. आध, बरधो, S. वधु,' M. वर्धा, B. आधा, O. वधा, वधे,'

I Used so a substantive.

Skr. एस (o) "high," H. कंबा, P. एसा, S. कंबो, G. कंबो, M. इंब. B. O. M.

Skr. बान (8) "black," H. बाना, P. M. बाटा, G. बाट्टो, B. बान, बाना, O. बट्टो

Skr. काम (e) "ane-eyed," H. काना, P. M. कामा, G. S. कामी, B. कामा, O. काम.

Skr. गंभीर (a) "deep," B. महिरा, गहरा, and so in all, O. महिर-The Taisamu मंभीर is also in use in all.

Skr. बाड (a) "intense," H. बाडा, P. id., G. बाडो, M. B. O. बाड. Skr. बीर (b) "pale," H. बोरा, P. id., S. G. बोरो, M. (dimin.) बोरटा, O. बोरा, B. बोर.

Skr. धन (e) "dense," H. धना, P. धगा, S. G. धगो (many, much), M. धग, O. B. धन.

Skr. कडिन (a) "hard," H. कडिन, O. B. (d., P. कटन, "सा, G. कडण, M. कडीस, O. B. कडिन, S. कटनु

Skr. द्विस (8) "right" (hand), H. द्विना, O. द्वाहान, D. दाव्न-Skr. वधिर (8) "deaf," H. वहरा, वहिरा, M. id., O. देहेरी, S. योडी. B. वहरा, O. वहिरा-

Skr. मुख्त (e) "dry," H. मूखा, P. मुखा, G. मुको, M. मुका, S. मुखो, O. मुख्तिना, B. मुखा,

There are very many other instances. Hindi preserves the long vowel which naturally arises from exytenes, and lengthens the vowel in many cases in barytones; in a few Tatsamas like stow vowel in many cases in barytones; in a few Tatsamas like stow the long vowel is not taken. The accent is thus virtually neglected, and in this respect Hindi is followed generally by P. S. and G. Marathi halts between two opinious, sometimes taking the short vowel, sometimes the long; the latter, however, appears to be the more common of the two, as might be expected in a gender-ridden language like this. More remarks about the adjectives will be found scattered amongst the various stoms.

<sup>:</sup> Deep (nobin), strong (mission of a drag), thick (cloth), etc.

Tadhhava class, and it is natural therefore that there should be many instances in which it does not apply. Seeing that there are among the late Tadhhavas many which approach closely in point of antiquity to the latest of the early Tadhhavas, the line is hard to draw. We merely give examples therefore of these exceptions, and wish for explanation as to why, if the stems in d-o are due to the addition of \$\epsilon\$, that suffix has not been used here also. Many of the words now given appear, from their phonetic structure, to be early Tadhhavas, as for instance \$\epsilon\$, which exhibits the Prakrit process of assimilation from \$\epsilon\$ into \$\epsilon\$ in and the modern further elimination of the first element of the nexus and compensatory lengthening of the preceding yowel.

(1). Of Sanskrit oxytones which take the short or burytone ending in the moderns, the following are some of the more prominent examples:

Skr. सिंह "lion," II. सिंघ, P. id., the rest spell सिंह but pronounce singh, S. both सिंघो and ग्रीहर.

Skr. खब "bear," H. रोक्, G. id., M. रोस, P. रिक्ट, S. रिकु, O. (rare)

Skr. मृह "house," H. घर, and so in all, S. घर, O.-H. मेह, M. id.

Skr. वर्ष "year," H. व्यम. and so to all, but S. वहां.

Skr. Qui "lent," H. QT (betel), and so in all, S. QT.

Skr. माव "nature," H. भाव, भाषी, and so in all (भाष).

Shr. दास "slave," H. दास. and so in all, S. दास.

Skr. दुाच "milk," H. दूध, and so in all, S. द्राधि.

These words are all very common words in constant daily use, and as such should, according to the st theory, have taken that suffix in Prakrit, and consequently end in d-o in the moderns. Some of them have an alternative form in d, as well, which has use "a leaf;" ud, which has used a cut in

the sense of "rain;" the meanings of पान and परसा, and they are restricted, as compared with पता and परसा, and they are perhaps late Tadbhavas in the former, but early Tadbhavas in the latter sense.

(2). Barytones in Sanskrit, but taking the long vowel in the moderns, are the following:

Shr. बोइ "Iron," H. बोबा, P. R. M., but M. G. O. बोइ (O. also बुद्दा). S. बोड़:

Sier. सुवर्ष "gold," H. सीना, P. B. O. id., bat M. सीने, G. S. सोनु-

I cannot find many undoubted examples of this class, and even those that do occur do not run through the whole seven languages, which seem as a general habit to be more faithful to the barytone accent, especially in substantives, than they are to the exytone, or, if we accept the a theory, to be derived from words which did not take the a termination, more frequently than from those which did.

§ 6. Stems in -an and -ana. The former of these is in use only in a vary small class of words, all of which, with one exception, are oxytone in Sanskrit. The words are—

" to sanrifice." from you, yaina " prachh, " to ask." prasua "to strive." o gnt. watna " to shine." " wichh, eilma " to mak." and fem. ydchnii . yiich, "to thirst." truch, trishnd ...

The exception is seedpan from sharp, "to sleep," which, however, the grammarians derive by the suffix नर, while the others are formed by नर्-

In the modern languages these words, many of which are much corrupted, take the under-mentioned forms:

Skr. यश्च "secrifice." Old-II. जजन, जरम, जस्म, II. जाम, P. जन, M. B. O. जाम, S. जमु Skr. यहा "effort," H. जतन, P. M. O. id., S. जतनु.

Skr. ЦП, "question." Not in use, except as a rare Tatsama.

Skr. विश्व "shining, light," H. विश्वान ("dawn"), विश्वनसार or जिन् सार, M. विविद्दं, S. विभास, विभिन्नाः (The M. and S. are compounds, of which the first part represents विश्व.)

86r. खार "dream," Old-H. सुपन, सोपन, P. सुफन, सुफना, S. सुपनी, G. सुपन.

In these words the accent is entirely neglected; strangely the only word in which Panjabi and Sindhi exhibit oxytone terminations is precisely that one which is barytone in Sanskrit. It will be more convenient to take the feminines of this and all the other -a stems together later on.

The suffix -use is one of the most commonly used of all. It is of all three genders, and in the large majority of instances is barytone, carrying the accent on the root-syllable. A few cases exist in which the first syllable of the suffix bears the accent, and some in which it is exytone, but the rule is that they should be barytone.

The mascaline contains simple appellatives whose original meaning was that of the agent. They are not much used in the modern speech, and when they are, occur as Tatsanaus, or as very slightly changed Tadhhavas. Being mostly simple words with strong consumants, they offer no opportunity for phonetic changes, and may therefore, in spite of their identity with the Skr. form, be ancient words. I give a short list, as there is not much to be learnt from them, beyond the fact, important to the present partion of our inquiry, that they take in every case the barytone form.

Skr. मन्द्रन "sun" (the delighter), H. चंद्रन, and so in all. Chiefly used in poetry.

Skr. गायन "singer," H. मायन, and so in all. Chiefly used in postry. Skr. द्पंत्र "mirror" (the flatterer), H. द्पंत्र, and so in all, except S. Skr. दहन "fire" (the burner), H. दहन, and so in all.

Skr. दश्रम "tooth " (the biter), H. दश्रम, and so in all, except S. Poetie.

Skr. तर्भ "raft" (the crosser), H. तर्न, and so in all, except S. Poetle,

Shr. facq "ray" (the chiner), II. fac a. and so in all, S. factor.

Skr. आदम "holled rice" (the moistener), H. आदम, and so in all, except S. Poetic.

Three adjectives, oxytone :

Sier. Miun "angry," H. miun (rare).

Skr. रोपण "angry," H. रोपण (rare), S. दक्षिणो comes from another

Skr. रोधन "obstructive," H. रोधन (rore)-

Far more widely used, and in every sense more important, is the neuter form. Two classes must be here distinguished: the first, simple appellatives, or names of objects or actions; the second, abstract nouns which have the meaning of the performance of an action, or the being in a state. The latter are in fact the infinitives of verbs.

The first class is always barytone, both in Sanskrit and the moderns.

Skr. ऋतून, "centyard," H. यांगन, पंगन, P. B. O. id., G. यांगणु, 8. यङ्गु

Skr. जादन "gold," H. कांचन, and so in all but S.

Skr. चन्द्र " sandal-wood," H. चंद्र, in all, B. संद्र्ज-

Skr. जीवन "Ille," II. जीवन, so to till, P. जीव, & जीव.

Sky. जान "song," H. माज, so in all.

Shr. खान "bath," H. स्तान, असनान, P. B. O. td., G. खान and नहाख.

M. नहाया, नाहवा, 8. सनानु.

Shr. जयन "eye," 11. जयन, भेन, so in all, G. जेस (masc. pl.), S. जेस्.

Skr. मर्म "memory," H. मुझिर्न, so in all-

Skr. वेंचन "rolling plo," H. वेंचन, so is all, O. वेंचचा.

The second class consists of words which, though simple nouns, and as such regularly declined, perform nevertheless in four out of the seven languages the functions of an infinitive.

Thus from Skr. कर्य "the act of doing," H. कर्ना "to do," P. कर्ना, S. कर्य, M. कर्यों.

It is unnecessary to multiply instances, as every verb in each of these languages forms its infinitive in this way, absolutely without exception. In Bengali and Oriya the form exists, but simply as an abstract noun, almost entirely restricted to grammatical and other works. The real every-day infinitives of those languages are formed in a different way. Gujarati also wants this form.

In Sanskrit the formations of this class are regularly barytone, and accentuate the root. In M. and S. they are also barytone, but in II. and P. they take the long vowel. It does not appear, however, that this is a regular exception. In old Hindi the infinitive of this class-I say "of this class" because there is another infinitive in Theends always in the short vowel. For example, Chand (a.p. 1200) uses the phrases: जय हरन की " (in order) to seize the victory," aun fault "having plotted to hind," जैन जुरन जानिम जुझार,"a warrior terrible in the tug of war." In the modern idiom we should have इरने की. बांधना. and जोडने में respectively. This consenantally terminated form, or rather (as it is pronounced in poetry) this form ending in short a, is used by the poets as far down as the middle of the seventeenth century. Gambhir Rai (circa 1650) has की ज कुहन पार्चे "(that) no one might be able to touch." Tulsi Das (1600) employs this form regularly in his Ramayan, e.g. रखवारे तव वर्जन लागे "the keepers then began to forbid (them)," Sundara Kand. 60, 15. From Bhaktamala (1630): मांगन की भायों "he ran to beg." I have only picked out an instance or two at random, as the practice is universal.

It will be more appropriate to discuss this matter at full

length under the Verb, and I therefore content myself here with saying that the long vowel appears not to be in any way whatever due to the accent, but to have arisen from the influence of the anuswara (see Vol. I. § 65), by which karanam became first wiff, then wiff, with, and lastly karaa. In M. the infinitive is still neuter, and it is masculine in the H. P. and S. merely because those languages have lost the neuter, and only retain the other two genders.

This infinitive is also in certain phrases used as a simple noon, as in Hindi चेना देना or चेन देन, literally "taking and giving," but idiomatically expressing the state of one's losn account with a banker or money-lender; देना पाटना "to give and to receive," idiomatically the outstanding assets and lin-hilities of a mercantile concern. In Sindhi, words of this class sometimes take the form in o, as

ड़िसगो "a debt," विश्वमी वहगी व विश्वमी नहगी "debts and credits" (but विश्वम "to give").

भरियों or भर्यों "embroidery" (lit. "filling up," भर्यु "to fill"). मङ्ग्रि "betrothal" (मङ्गु "to ask for").

In these cases the T inserted before the termination is inerganic, and merely due to the preference of Sindhi for that sound (Vol. I. § 32). In Hindi and the other languages, nouns of this kind are more generally feminine, and so also in Sindhi; in Marathi and Panjabi also the fem. form is more usual. Thus

> Sindhi सवली "saying," verb चवलु "to say," " हजली "going," verb हजल "to ga."

And with short i-

Shalld fagfin "swallowing," verb fagin "swallow."

Hoernie in a recent article in Journ. Ac. Soc. Bungal, 1878, vol. xiii. p. 68. contends for the derivation of these infinitives from Siz. herepigues, etc., overlooking the intermediate forms of the mediaval poets, which militate strongly against his conjecture.

When added to causal verbs, this suffix, which then takes also the form wall and, is used to express the idea of the cost of doing, or wages for doing, an act; as

Sindhi खाताची "porterage," verb खणार्ण "to cause to carry."

- " चारावा "grazing fees," verb चार्यु "to cause to graze."
- . धुवारियो "cost of washing," rorb धुवार्यु " to came to wash."

Hindi has words of this class, as संगनी "betrothal," सरनी "woof," सहनी "lading," but more frequently as consonantally terminated masculines, as सर्भ पोपण "feeding and protecting," "maintenance." Marathi is perhaps the richest of all the languages in words of this formation. It is, however, as will be seen from the following examples, very capricious in its use of such words, sometimes using a masc, in भा, at others fem. in भी or भ, or neut, in भ or भ.

Verb चहरों "to stop," चहरा। (m.), a slip of hamboo to fasten a door with. चहरा। (f.) ) a slip of hamboo to strengthen the चहरा। (n.) edge of a winnowing-basket.

Verb क्संबं " to bind," कसकी (/.), ligature.

Verb 確認確 "to cut," 確認明 (f.), a cutting or coaping, i.e. the quantity cut at one time.

Verb कार्ट्यों "to take out," कार्ट्यों (f.), removal of crops from a field.
" कार्ट्यों (s.), a pitcher to draw water from a well with.

Verb खोद्धे "to dig," खोद्धी (f.), a digging.

Verb घोळचे "to stir." घोळच (n.), a silting, the quantity sifted at one

n , ভাতত্যা (m.) a stick for stirring grain while it

§ 7. The next class of -a stems is that in which the final letter is preceded by a semivowel, as ya, ra, la, va. Of these

ya is chiefly used in Taddhitas or secondary stems; there are only a few primaries, which, being feminine, will be treated

separately.

(1). Stems in ra are of two kinds, those in which the suffix is joined immediately to the root, and those where a joining vowel intervenes. Both kinds are for the most part exytens in Sanskrit, and the accent is lost by the rejection of the last letter of the nexus; the whole suffix thus ordinarily disappearing.

(a). Examples of words where the suffix is joined immediately

to the root-

Skr. अस (e) "cloud," M. जाम, जाना, G. जाम, S. जानु. In the rest

Skr. आस (e) " mango," H. आम. इव, P. id., B. O. आंव, आस. G. आंबी (the tree), M. आंव, चंवा, S. अंगु and आमी.

Skr. 3g (e) "otter," H. 3g, P. 3nd, M. G. 3g, B. O. 3g.

Skr. चद् (o) "mone," H. चांद, and so in all 1 but P. चंद, % चंदु-

Skr. गुप्त (b) "volture," H. गिडा, P. id., M. G. गिह, गोह, गिघ. 8. गिडा, B. O. not used.

Skr. तास (\*) "copper," H. तांदा, P. id. and तंदा, O. id., D. तासा, G. तांदु, M. तांदे, S. दामी.

Skr. बाझ (e) "tiger," H. बाझ, and so in all, S. बाधु-

Sur. समुद्र (\*) "ocean," 11. समुद्र, समुद्र, समुद्र, P. id., the rest

Skr. Mg (e) " Sadra," II. Hgl. In the rest Tatanma.

Only two of these words take the long -d, and of these distinct may be derived from the Sanskrit form disa, which would lose the G, and the two vowels would coalesce into a long vowel, as will be shown hereafter. HEI was probably regarded as an adjective. WHI in M. is form, the change of gender accounts for the long vowel.

(β). Examples of words where the suffix is joined to the root by a joining vowel—

Skr. चमर (e) "champ," II. चीर, चीरी, P. चीर, G. चीरी, M. id., O. चंबर, B. चीर, S. चोड.

Skr. द्दुंर (6) "frog." H. दादुर, P. G. id., S. डेइइ.

Skr. देवर (0) "hushand's younger brother," H. देवर, P. M. id., G. देर. B. O. देवर, S. हेव.

Skr. धतूर (a) "dhatura tree," H. धतूरा, P. B. M. id., G. धतूरो, S. धातुरो, D. धृतुरा, धुदुरा

Skr. बद्र (a) "jujube," II. बर, बेर, P. id., M. G. बोर, B. बद्र, O. बर, S. बेब, बेरि

Skr. धमर (e) "bee," IL भंदर, भीर, P. id., O. भंकर, M. भीर, B. भीगर, S. भीक-

Ske. मन्दिर (0) " temple," II. मन्दिर, and in all.

Skr. वर्कर (e) "goat," H. बकरा, B. P. id., O. बजा, G. बकरी, S. विकरी, but M. बोकड.

Skr. बगुर (b) "father-in-law," H. समुर, P. सोहरा, S. मुहरी, M. सासरा, O. ससरा, B. O. बगुर.

There is not much uniformity in this list. Sanskrit exytones end in the short vowel in Hindi and the rest; and the one barytone is in P. S. M. and G. terminated by the long vowel, though the others keep the short vowel. Under the head of nouns in will be found an attempt to explain a good deal of this discrepancy. My method of reasoning does not admit of the usual alipshod way of accounting for the difficulty by setting it down to "caprice" or "lawless licence." There is a reason for everything in this world, if we can only find it out; and if we cannot find it out, it is only honest to say so, and not to try and cover our ignorance by saying there is no law. Some words of wide daily use have all sorts of forms; if we knew more about the subject, we should be able to give a reason

for all of them: perhaps some day we or our descendants may be able to do so. For instance, the word was (oxytone) "a wheel," has the following long list of forms in modern times.

11. चकर "cart-wheel:" चक्कर, चाक, चकरी, चक्की (a mill-stone);

P. चक्क, चकर, चक्कर: चकला, चकली, चकळी। चक्की, चकुला,

S. चक्द, चकरि, चकिरि, चको, चकु, चकी, चकु, चकुनो, चकुनो; चीकिनो,

U. चल्लर, चक्र, चाकः चल्ली. चक्री, चाकी, चाकळी.

M. चकः चकलाः चकलीः चकीरः चक्राः चक्रः चकः चकः चकः

0. चक, चकडा, चकडी: चकना, चका, चकी, चकाः चाक. 11. चक, चकना, चक्र, चकर, चाक, चाका, चाकी, चाकती.

All these may be undoubtedly accounted for by special rules. Their significations are very various, all resting on and derived from the primary idea of roundness. To enter into a detailed examination of all of them would take too much time and space. I therefore pass on to the next form.

- (2). Stems in la. Many nouns ending in la do not come under this head, because the l is part of the root, and are seen and others, which are to be considered as formed by pratyayas leaving a only. Of those which are really formed by the suffix la, the following are some of the commencest.
  - (a). Substantivos.

Shr. कसस (b) "lotus," H. P. कमस, कंपल, M. G. कमऊ, O. id., B. कमस, S. कंपल

Skr. कमान (e) "blanket," II. कमान, कंवन, P. कमाऊ, कंवऊ, G. कामाऊ, कावळो, कमाऊ, M. कांवळा, G. कमळ, B. बमान, क्या, S. कमार,

Skr. जवन (e) "mouthful," H. कील, बुत्ती, P. कुर्जी, कुर्जू, G. कीळियी. M. जवन, B. O. कुन्नी, कुन्ति, !

Skr. कदल (o) "plantain," H. केला, P. O. id., S. केविडो, O. केळ, केर, M. केळ, B. कला, कदिला.

Skr. कुण्डम (\*) "earring." H. कुंडम, P. कुंडळ, S. कुंडम, O. कुंडळ. M. B. O. कुण्डम.

Skr. कोकिन (\*) "kott," H. कोर्स, P. S. B. id., O. कोयळ, M. कोकील, O. कोयळि.

Skr. पियान (b) "pipal-tree," H. पीयन, P. B. पियान, O. पियान, . M. M., G. पियान, S. पियान,

Skr. मण्डल (b) "circle," H. मंदल, and so in all, M. G. O. also मंडळ.

Skr. सुगल (a) "pair," (L. जुगल, P. G. B. id., O. जुगळ, M. जुळा. नुगळ, जुगळा (twin, mij.), जुळे (twins, s.m.), जुळी, जुळ.

Skr. गुहुल (a) "chain," H. संबर, संबल, संबर, P. संबर, S. M., G. संबर, M. M. and B. ग्रिकल, O. ग्रिकट.

#### (B). Adjectives.

Skr. चंचल (o) "tremalous," H. चंचल, चंचला, चांचल, P. चंचल, S. चंचलु, G. चंचळ, M. B. O. चंचल.

Skr. शिविज (a) "loose," H. डीजा, P. दिशा, S. डिरो, दिलो, G. डीजो कुँ कुँ, M. दीज, O. दिजा, B. id.

Skr. भीतन (e) "cold," H. सीतन, सीना॰ई, P. सीतन, सिद्धा, S. सीतन, M. भीतळ, O. id., B. भीतन.

In the case of adjectives, the Tatsama form does not take the long vowel, while the Tadbhava forms do to a great extent. This confirms the general theory. Tatsamas resuscitated after the Sanskrit language had ceased to be spoken would naturally not follow the accent.

Chiefly used in the sense of rinsing the mouth with water after enting.

<sup>\*</sup> The Origin probably barrawed this woul from the Marathea, as it is only found in the names of a few places, probably founded during the Maratha rate. The Origin generally use 383 or 383, from 323.

(3). The suffix so is employed to form both adjectives and substantives. It is not of common use. Examples:

Skr. wy (b) "horse," only used rarely as a Tanama.

Skr. पार्ख (0) " alde," S. पानी, M. पासल.

Skr. (बाह्य (b) " bel-tree." H. बाह्य, B. M. O. id.

Skr. पञ्च (6) "ripe," H. पञ्चा, P. M. O. M., also पिका, S. पकी, G. पाकी । B. पाका

Skr. पूर्व "matern, former," H. पूर्व, In the rest पूर्व, S. पूर्व. Skr. सर्व "all," H. सव, सार्ग, B. 16., O. सबु, P. सर्व, सभ. S. सभु.

ure, as a substantive, has descendants only in M. and S.; but in the locative case ure it forms an adverb of place, ure "near," in all the languages. As, however, we are discussing the formation of the stem with especial reference to its termination, this adverb does not concern us here. In the case of so familiar a word as ure "all," many irregularities might be expected to have crept in; thus we have the barytone ure, as well as the exytene ure, the latter by the rejection of the ure. Thank uses an oblique singular ure, which would point to a non. ure, if we could place any reliance on so rade an author. The final vowel is, however, often morely inserted to eke out the metre; as in the hymn to Gamesh (i. 27, 26):

# सबै कका चरमे। तुहीनाम नरमे॥

"Before all affairs, thy name is prefixed."

Here the metre is Chhanda-viraja:

§ 8. The suffix mo forms adjectives, and mase, and neutsubstantives. It is generally oxytone, though there is also a

This constant we of sabbit in Chand may be nothing more than a Prakritism for the none, pt. of Skr. secree.

class of appellatives which carry the tone on the root-syllable. Examples:

Skr. वर्म (३) " mud," H. काट्रा: काट्री: 6. काट्य: 0. वादुव: 8. काट्रा:

Slor. ग्राम (b) "village," H. गांव, S. गांव, गांमु, G. गांम, M. गांव, B. O. गां.

Skr. घम (u) "heat," H. घाम, M. O. B. id.

Skr. हम (s) "tree," H. हम, and so in all.

Skr. धूम (a) "amoke," H. धूबां, P. id., S. दूंहां, M. G. धूम, B. धुवां. O. धूंबा.

Skr. UH (b) "justice," II. UTH, and so is all

Shr. बाम (6) "left-hand," H. बादा, बावा, P. बाइबा, G. M. बाम, B. बां, O. id. and बाम.

Skr. हिम (0) " snow," H. हिम, M. हिबं, In the rest Tatsuma.

Skr. 둘위 (a) " gold," Old-H. 형, 물자.

There are not many examples of this suffix. In most of them, where not still in the Tatsama form, the weakness of the A, which passes into A preceded by anuswara, has caused the loss of the final syllable to be very common. In many cases the A has itself disappeared, leaving only the anuswara; and in with anti-

§ 9. The suffix ha is of very frequent use in Sanskrit, and in the modern languages its use is extremely common. It branches out into many different classes, and its discussion is embarrassed by the fact that it is employed both as a primary and a secondary suffix. We are not directly concerned, however, with the minute distinctions which Sanskrit grammarians find necessary; for our purpose it suffices to take the Sanskrit noun as it stands, without troubling ourselves to inquire whether it be formed by adding the suffix to a verb, or to another noun. As regards the modern languages, some of the classes of this

suffix which are regarded in Sauskrit as secondary are perfectly primary, and may be so treated without any loss of clearness.

Ka is generally joined to the root by a vowel, and the terminations most in use in forming nounz which have lived on to the present day are aka, ika, uka, aka, and aka.

Whatever may be the strict philological theory as to the origin of this ka, in practice there can be no doubt that its meaning is primarily that of "the doer." It may perhaps be supposed to be a shortened form from the root kar, "to do;" but this is a matter of Sanskrit philology, into which it is needless here to inquire. Starting from this point, however, the meaning, like all meanings in all languages, widens out and loses in distinctness as it goes on. A fourfold division may be detested, which has this advantage, that it subsists in the langauges of the present day as clearly as in Sanskrit and the Prakrits. If it be found in Sanskrit, it will of course be also found in the Prakrits, as the latter are the mere apes of the former, having no independent ideas of their own; or perhaps it would be fairer to say of them, that they are identical with Sanskrit in so far us they are the popular side of that uncient Aryan speech of which Sanskrit is the learned and literary side only. It is not till we come to the Indian renaissance in the twelfth century that we find the popular dialocts possessing any originality, and striking out for themselves forms which are something more than more colloquial and phonetic corruptions of Sanskrit. When they do begin to do so, they often leave the ancient path and go into ground where it is difficult to follow them, or account for the origins of their forms. When therefore they do carry on an ancient system into modern times, it is a fact to be laid hold of and brought to the fore.

The fourfold division which I make is, then, as follows:

- (1). Words which mean purely and simply "the door:" as बारक "door," पायक "cooker," दायक "giver," नेपन "writer."
  - (2). Words in which the sense of "the deer" is only to be

detected metaphorically: as सरक "a road," i.e. that which goes on and on; जीवक "pupil of the eye," i.e. that which shines or glances; पावक "fire," i.e. that which purifies; तमक "asthma," i.e. that which chokes. This class includes words which are derived from intransitive verbs, and those in which a passive sense must be substituted for that of the agent; as [चिचक "leopard," i.e. the spotted animal; सातक "a pit," i.e. that which is dug; सूक "lotus," i.e. that which blooms; सूतक "birth," i.e. the act of being born.

- (8). Words which have entirely lost all idea of agency, if indeed they ever had it, and have become pure appellatives: as 電之事 "an army," which, if it ever had the idea of "the encompasser," from the root 電文 "to surround," had leet it long before the times to which we can look back: 夏雪 "a wolf," for which we have only a dubious verbal root 夏雪 "to seize," which looks as if invented for the occasion: 電気 "hell," 電影 "world."
- (4). Words in which the suffix has no meaning at all, but is merely added for metrical purposes, or to avoid the intricacies of declension. This is a very numerous class, and we have side by side two forms, one with the suffix, the other without it: as will and wist, "waist," with and with a "ball," will and with and with an and with a "ball," will and will to any sanskrit noun, and in fact it would be allowable for any one who was composing or translating into that language to add the suffix to any noun he pleased, and authority would no doubt be found for any individual word in the vast stores of classical Sanskrit writings.

In the modern languages, although the same shades of meaning can easily be traced, yet as our business is rather with form than meaning, it will be more convenient to exhibit the various details of this large and important class according to its forms,

alluding to the meaning of the words only where they are in any way essential to the clear perception of the subject.

(1). We has two forms.—(a). In Tatesmas it retains the k with the masculine gender, and with the sense in general of the agent, as in with "a doer." The "a taker." Tadbhavas there is a numerous group of interesting words, which have come to us through the Prakrit, by virtue of the rale laid down in Book I. § 53 (3) and § 54. A single consenant in the middle of a word would be clided by that rule, and the termination akah becomes thus uo. In the moderns the s drops out and the v is retained in G, and S., but changed to d in the others. Thus we get the following:

Skr. श्रामनक "emblic myrobalau," Pr. श्रामनश्री, II. श्रांवनाः P. श्रासक्ता, M. श्रंवळा, B. श्राधीनाः

Skr. क्वरंक "thorn," Pr. कंटबी. H. कांटा, P. कंडा, M. कांटा, काटा, B. id., O. कप्टा, G. कांटी, S. कंडी

Skr. घोटन "horse,"Pr: घोड़जी, H. घोड़ा, P. M. B. O. al., S. G. घोडो-Skr. चिवन "leopard," H. चीता, P. चित्ता, M. चिता, B. O. al., G. चित्तो, S. चिटो and चीती.

Skr. प्रका "description," Pr. प्रश्नी, H. पता, P. M. पत्ता, B. O.

Skr. पुस्तक "book," Pr. पोत्वजी, H. पोचा, and so in all. The fem.

Skr. माटक "bire," H. माहा, B. O. id., P. भाहा, S. माहो

Slot समाठ "head," Pr. मंथजी, H. माथा, B. M. id., P. माबा, S. मधी, O. मथा,

Shr. स्कोटक "tammer," Pr. चोडचो, H. फोडा, B. O. P. id.

Sindhi uses this affix, which in that language naturally results in o, as descriptive of trades or occupations. Trumpp gives as examples the following, formed by Sindhi out of its own modern materials: वाडो "carpenter," verb वडणु "to cot." बीरी "sawyer," .. चीरणु "to split." टोबो "diver," .. दुबज़् "to dive." घोरो "seeker," .. पुरणु "to seek."

In HIZE M. is irregular, having HIZ (form.) and HIZ (a.). So also G. HIZ and HY instead of HY from HYE. M. has also contrary to rule this from thise, but also this, which, as will be shown hereafter, is from a Skr. fem. form thise. The feminines of the form in aka always end in Skr. in ika, e.g. balaka, "boy," balka, "girl." The comparative neglect by M. of the long d is possibly due to the fact that mase, nouns ending in short a, i.e. a mute, in that language, change the a to d in the oblique cases; thus from HY "a house," HY HI "of a house," HY HI "to a house," By that the distinction between this class of nouns and that which ends in long d exists only in the nominative, and is thus of comparatively rare occurrence.

Here also it may be admitted that, as the suffix \$\vec{\pi}\$ may be added at will to all nouns in Prakrit, it is probable that many of the nouns ending in long d or o, which I have held to be derived from Skr. oxytones, do in reality owe their final long vowel to the fact that the word from which they are derived had in popular, though not in classical usage, a \$\vec{\pi}\$ tacked on to it. This would account for Sanskrit barytones like \$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$. \$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$. \$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$, with lang \$\vec{\pi}\$. The difficulty, as already mentioned, is the existence of any norms in \$a \vec{\pi}\$: if \$ka\$ is added to all nouns of the \$a\$-stem, why do not all end in \$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$\vec{\pi}\$.

(S). The suffix we appears also in a great many words of apparently modern origin, as well as in a few which can be traced back to Sanskrit, most of which are feminine.

This view is taken, so I have stated above, by Frof. Hoernie of Benness, in Journ. As. Son. Bougad, vol. xii. p. 184. The learned writer is not disposed in minis with me the influence of the account at all. There is, however, not only much that is difficult to understand in that comy, but much that requires further proof.

Some of these are pure appellatives, and if derived from Sanskrit feminines, all of which end in Tat, they must have lost the final long a, and changed the i into a, neither of which processes indeed are without a parallel, though it seems unnecessary to suppose that they have taken place here. Examples of such words are—

- H. 共享報 "a roud" (人), P. M. B. O. M., G. 共享報 (m.).
- H. फाटन "a gate" (or.), and so in all except S.
- H. बेटक "a seat" (f.), and so in all but 8: G, writes बेटक.

We find in Sanskrit uta; and uta "a read," as well as uta, from a form of which, utau, or from uta by change of the semivowel into the media, this word may have been derived. utau, like all the neuters of its class (see Vol. I. § 80), is a formation from the root use "to split," "to open," though we cannot point to any actually used Sanskrit word from which to derive it. Use is quite a modern word from the verb usual.

Now comes a long string of words, all feminine, which express noises, pains, violent actions, and are like our words bux, thump, crack, bang, jingle, tingle, and the like. It is almost impossible to do more in any of these cases than refer the word in a general way back to some Sanskrit root. The majority of them are in all probability onomatopoetic. As the same words do not occur in all the languages, I give each language separately, and add that every one of these words has its verb with the same meaning. I give the verb in the first few examples to show how it is formed, the reader can supply the rest for himself.

Hindi. चटक "sinppage" (चटकता "to be stopped," चटकाता "to restrain), करक "pain" (करकता "to ache"), करक "crash" (करकता "to crash," "to fall with a crash"), करक "sprain," करक "clang," चटक "pit-a-pat," यहक "drankenness," "recting," बीक "starting,"

चिनकः चसकः प्रमकः दासकः द्रमकः द्रमकः वा। mena "glitter," "flashing," चटक "crack," "snop," प्रिश्चक "snort," झटक "jerk," शिडक
"arrangling," "quarrelling," टसक "twinge," टनन "harsh sound,"
"cranch," टपक "throb," "drip," टहक "ache," टिटक "annacement,"
दुनक "snb," इटक, घडक "trembling," इसक "rolling," "wallowing,"
दृहन "glare," फरक "flatter," twitter," सहक "starting," "flash," सटक
"ogle," जचक "bound," "spring," जटक "hanging," "dangling," अपक
"flash," "bound," जनक "gush," "borsting," ऋडक "flash," "giare,"
सटक "tapering."

Panjoli. Many of the same words as Illadi, as सटक, फटक. खटक. चिलक, चमक, घटक, झटक. झलक, also टगक. टुबक; and some words of its own, as चिटक "hith," "scenn," चिर्क "pus," "unreas," झमक "wink," टोटक "joggiery," "hoens-pocus," ट्रक "croaching," "shulking," घमक "four," "dread," सडक "cracking" (of shoes), सुनक "found-ness," "petting," and others.

Shellil does not exhibit many words of this form, owing to its invariable rule of making all its words and in a vowel. Examples are 到明明 (f.) "lastre," (司明明(m.) "caprice," "whim," and a few others agreeing with Hindi, as 聖之明, 可用明, 到明明, etc.; but Sindhi, as will be shown hereafter, has another form for words of this class.

Marathi has झळव "glitter," तडव "bang!" "crash!" द्वज "shock," गजन "copioumess," चटक "liking," "taste for a thing," चडक "blow," गिगाज "twings," टमल "jingle," वचक and चवक "handful," जवल "a dab," or "unes of mad," भिळ्ड "a splinter," together with some of those that occur in Hindi.

Gujurathi is, like Sindhi, not very partial to this form. Instances are उसक "strut," "swagger," उशक "sob," उसक "shock," उपक "slur." "blot," समक "glitter," शुरुक and शुमक "glitter."

Orign and Bengali have mostly the same words as Hindi, which need not be repeated.

From the above lists have been excluded words of similar form, in which the w is organic, or a part of the root, as zee "staring," who "shove," "pail," zee "piece," zhe "hindrance." But under this head come miscellaucous words like wis (m.) "square" (in all the languages), which is probably from Skr. usice. The H. Wise "starting," as in with szent "to start up" (as a man suddenly aroused from sleep), is, it would seem, contracted from union, a shortened form of Sanskrituseur."

All these words being in form identical with the root of the verb, are most usually employed to form compound verbs with the addition of MINI, ANI, ZAI, ZAI or other semi-auxiliaries, especially in Hindi, as NZA TEI "it remained hanging," WINI HER NUI "the horse started (from fear)," TURN "he dashed it against (the ground)." It is doubtful, therefore, whether in many cases they should not be rather considered as a part of the verb, than as nouns.

Sindhi, Gujarati, and Marathi, and to a less extent the other languages too, use also a closely allied form of this suffix, in which the final vowel is long, kd or ke. In many cases both forms exist, as in H. UZU and UZEI, G. UZE and UZEI. In Sindhi this form is the favourite, and is used almost to the exclusion of the other in T. Examples in that language are the following:

चडको "fear," "dread," उविडको "retching," उन्हो "rare," "auxiety," कहको "crack," "crack," "thunderpeal," कहको "rattling," "pattering," चुडको id.. गुडको "runbling," महको "rub." चसको "fondaese," "taste," कुलको "baret," "blast," "gust." सुटको id. सुलको "pail," टहको "bolling," "baldbilag," घडको "trombling," घघको "rumbling," "gustling," एडको "quivering," "shiftering," "sustling," एकको "bubbling,"

The other languages have also this form. Thus Hindi has used as well as uses, seem and uses. It is not necessary to give detailed examples, as in popular and

valgar words like these no very strict canon is observed; sometimes one form is used, sometimes the other. In O. and B. theform in a is most common.

Taking first the form in \$\frac{1}{2}\$, we are met by the difficulty that more than one Sanskrit termination results in \$\frac{1}{2}\$, at least in H. P. B. and O. For instance, there is the form \$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\$, as in Skr. Unit, which is in all Unit or Unit; and again, Skr. \$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\$ in Siffer, modern unit or unit; and again, Skr. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ is in Skr. further, it must be observed that the suffix \$\frac{1}{2}\$ is in most cases a secondary suffix, so that a notice of it would hardly come in here. The cases I shall now give are chiefly from the Skr. fem. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ u, which, as mentioned above, belongs really to the \$\frac{1}{2}\$ series.

The best example of a hond-fide primary word of this form is the following:

Skr. मीतिबं "pearl," Pr. मीतिबं, मीती (m.), M. मीतीं (a.). In all the rest मोती (m.).

In M, the anuswara is a retention of the neuter form in Prakrit.

The following are from feminines in Test:

Sur. मधिका "गे5," H. P. मक्ती. माहो (f.), O. B. माही. M. माहो. G. माखी, S. मखि. Skr. मुत्तिका "carth," H. मिट्टी, मट्टी, P. G. M., M. माती, O. B. मा-टी, S. सिटी

Sice. कर्जटिका "encumber," H. ककडी, and so with slight phonesic variations, but with final I, in all.

Skr. कृष्टिका "koy," H. B. कूंबी, P. S. M. कुंबी, O. कुंबी, O. कुंबि, कुंबि.

Skr. खरिका "chalk," H. खड़ी, and so in all, but O. खड़ि.

Sur. दाहिका "beard," H. G. डाडी, P. दाहडी, S. डाडही, M. दाडी, O. दाहि, B. दाडी.

Skr. बोटिका "rapessed," II. तोडी, M. तींड्या-

Here we may introduce a group of Marathi agents and adjectives, which, as derived from Tadbhava verbs, are primary, and appear to have originated from Sanskrit nouns in ika, by rejecting the k and hardening i into y. Such are—

काइया "a borrower," verb काइयों "to extract."
सध्या "laborlons," ... स्पर्धे "to work."
सर्द्या "scraper," ... सर्देवें "to scrape."
बद्या "jeweller," ... बदेवें "to set jewels."
टेहळ्या "prylag," ... टेहळ्यों "to pry."

in many of these the alternative form in long i is found, as बाडो, खरी, etc., and in some cases the latter is the only form in use, as भूती "owner of a field" (Skr. विच्च), from भूत "a field" (Skr. चेच).

We may now dismiss the till we come to the secondary formations to which it more properly belongs.

- (3). 34 is exactly similar to 35 and 55, but its various forms can be traced with greater accuracy, as the vowel is not so easily confounded with other suffixes.
  - (a). The full form who is retained only in Tatsamas.
- (B). The k is rejected, together with the rowel which follows it, and the n lengthened in compensation. Words of this class.

have almost always the sense of the agent, either direct or only very slightly metaphorical. Instances are the following:

Hindi उजार "destroyer," verb उजाहना "to destroy." चताक "spiller," उतार्वा "to cause to descend," P. id, but in seuse of "degraded," M. id. " a passenger." बार "cutter," 南尼町"la mL" वाक "eater." 間可"to ent," P. S. M. M., G. 韓[3 (adjective) "destructive." चेलना " to play." 有罪 "player," THE "watcher," - [Shr. पहर, H. पहरा" watch"], P. ufet. HIE "fighter," "beater," " मार्जा "to strike," P.S. Id., G. माद (udjective). हान "caller," "robber," .. डाकना "to shout," P. ld., O.B.डाक. ध्यान "pusher," "shorer," धकेनना " to shove," P. id. सवड "ascetic," "one who सम्बद्धा " to shave," P. L. "a child

.. पेनू "wrestler," .. पेनना "to pull," P. id.

ahaves his head,"

" जाग "waket," " जागना "to wake," P. id.

" इान "metal enster," " हानना "to cust metals," P. id.

whose head has been shared for

the first time."

.. विगाडु "spaller." .. विगाडना "to apoil," P. id.

" Mig "kweeper," "broom," Misti "to arcep," and so in all.

In addition to the words noted above, Panjabi has also urg "tearer," ures "reader," any "prover," "trier," 274 "dipper,"

<sup>\*</sup> Didd' is a rural form of Debrit (dessit). The word is derived from the web "in shout," because the decits always shout and call out at the entenne of the village they are about to plunder, whereby all the inhabitants, being received, hide in their besses, and the decide, who are generally quite as afraid of the villagers as the villagers of them, can plunder the house they saled without opposition.

उधान "adulterer," नवाह "lasting," from पाडवा "to tear," पडवा "to read," अवस्या "to test," दुवना "to be immersed," उधानना "to abduct," "sednee" (Skr. उद्देश), निवाहणा "to enduro" (Skr. निवहण in the sense of not + destruction). In some of these, as पाउड़, बाबू, डोबू, the radical vowel is lengthened, or even gunatized, a practice of which more examples will be shown under the Verb.

From the habitual emission of the Gujaratis to distinguish between short and long u, it comes to pass that it is almost impossible to tell which of the words ending in u should correctly be written with long u. As each word must be considered on its own merits, the dictionary is the fit place for the discussion. GIG. MING. BAILE, should probably be written with E, but in many others the point is doubtful. The difficulty is increased by the fact of the existence of the pratyaya u in Skr., which also has the sense of the agent, so that in a language which does not distinguish between the long and the short vowel, it becomes impossible to say whether we are dealing with u, or uke, or uke. The following list exhibits the most common words of the class:

खाउ "eafer." Verb खार्च "to ent," " गावं " to sing," गाउ " संस्कृतः" HER "wanderer." " HZ@g " to wander," .. खर्चर्ड " to spend," खर्च " spender." समञ् " intelligent." समज्यं " to understand," ing "cultivatur." चेडवं " to illi," gile " broom." .. झाडवं " to sweep." ME "wanderer." .. चर्वं "to munder,"

For this list I have to thank the Rev. I. V. Taylor, of Ahmedahad, on specifiched Gujarati scholar, and author of an excellent grammer of that heavay, published at the Irish Mission Press, Surnt, 1888. It is unformately written in Gujarati, so that one is expected to know the language in order to learn it?

Of these eq. uq, ers, are probably formed with a, and the rest with nin. The word en is a hybrid from Persian we expense."

Sindhi has many examples of this form; the following are the principal:

```
are." swimmer."
                           verb सर्ग "to nwim."
 माज "fugitive,"
                              भवण "to thee."
भात्र "breaker,"
                               भज्ञण "to break."
माञ् "ooo who obeys."
                               मञ्जू "to obey."
₹# "dweller,"
                               रहण "to dwell."
UTE "gost" (Le. "browser") ...
                               पहर्म "to put out (cattle) to graze."
पन् "lieggar,"
                            " पिन्म " to beg."
पोम् "vagabond,"
                            " पुमण "to wander."
Hat "patient,"
                               सञ्जा " to endure."
वेडह "lighter,"
                               विद्वहण " to fight."
फोड़ "robber,"
                               पुड्य " to rob."
हाज "destroyer,"
                           .. [Skr. हन् "to kill"].
```

In the majority of cases it will be observed that the vowel of the root is lengthened or gunatized. Marathi does not employ this termination very largely, and I have not found many instances worth quoting. Nor do Bengali and Oriya much favour it: a final long vowel is in no case agreeable to the spirit of these two languages; and the forms in use belong to the next class.

Hindi has a few words of this form which are simple appellatives as far as their present use is concerned, though, perhaps, by some far-fetched and fanciful chain of metaphor, they may be capable of being resolved into agents. Such are: Skr. बालुका "sand," H. बालू, G. has also बाक्क, but O. बालि, 8. बारी, B. बाली and 'लि, probably from a Skr. form बाखिका (see remark on बुश्चिक under इंड).

Ske. महुन, also भहन and भहन "a hear," H. भान, M. id., O. भान Bhojpuri Hindi per metathesis भाजल, भाज.

Skr. सामक (र सामुज) "maternal nucle," H. सास. M. M., O. सामु. har B. and P. सासा, G. and S. सामी

(7). Especially in B. and O. the form ending in **TW** (often shortened to **TW** in O.) prevails, and in Hindi also this form is frequently found, particularly in words denoting occupation or trade. In Bengali it is ordinarily written **TW**, but in this case, as in many others, the **W** is merely a falcrum for the following vowel, and is not pronounced. In this class are included many simple appellatives, and numerous words for which it would be difficult, if not impossible, to find a Sanskrit origin. Examples are:

Bengall माकुया "fisherman," O. माकुया and ou. H. मन्द्रया, also written मकुवा, P. मकुया, S. मकुयो "a fishing-boot," G. मक्यों की. [Probably from an unrecorded Skr. form मात्स्व.]

Bengali भेडुसा "pimp," O. भटुआ and अस. H. भटुआ (chiefly as a term of abuse). M. भटुवा. [The classical Skr. is स्पाट. but we must suppose a form भाग or भटुक, from भट् "to bire," i.y. one who hires out wanten; cf. our English whore, German Hare, Aug. Sax. hure, with hyre, henre, "reward," "bire."]

Bengall देक्या "skewer," verb देक "to stick."

Bengali 3gul "atake," verh id.

Bengali डाज्या "postman," verb डाज " ta call."

Bengali चाद्या and चेद्र्या "palm of hand," verb चाट "to stroke," "fick." Bengali देव्या " widower," probably connected with दांग "a stick," g.d. "a withered branch," "fruitless," O. डांगुचा Bengali पेंद्रवा "glutton," from पेंट "belly."
Bengali झानुया "pongent sauce," verb झान् "to burn."
Bengali छानुया "cant in a mould."
Bengali छेनुया "snarling."
Bengali बानुया "watery."

Oriya has, besides those mentioned, बारु व "full of holes," from बारु "a net;" बाड्या "bachelor," probably from बाडि "a stick" (see दृष्या above); अनुवा "a fool;" अनुवा "honeyed," from सद् "honey;" सदुवा "a drunkard," from सद "wine;" आद्या "a bearer," from Skr. मु "to bear," भार "a lead;" वालुवा "an oil-bottle," from बन "a reed" (oil being generally carried in a joint of bamboo); वालुवा "a big mase," from नाज "nose;" देणुवा "a clod," दालुवा "a kind of rice," etc.

Many of these words are secondary forms, if we have regard to the rule which helds that only nouns derived directly from verbs are to be considered as primitives; but in words whose derivation is admittedly obscure, it seems unnecessary to keep up this distinction.

In Hindi there are often two forms of the same word, just as we saw in aka. Thus we have use and use in the and the same word, just as and in many cases there is only the form in ud, as in the "one who files," from the "a file," or the "to file;" uses, mentioned above, and many others. In Bhojpuri Hindi the termination ud is added capriciously to all nouns whatsoever, and as we cannot suppose an origin from a form in uka for all of them, we must be content to see in this practice merely another instance of the common rule that a form, when once introduced into a language, is extended to all sorts of words with which it has no legitimate connexion. Thus we hear the peasant of Tirhut and Champaran use the following forms:

चेदवा "slave," Hindi चेदा. हर्यभा "plough," " इस.

## बेटुचा "san," Hindi बेटाः घरचा "honse," " घर, स्ट.

(4). 4ka.—Connected with this suffix is a form 4ku, which appears principally in Sindhi, and to which, with clision of ■. Trumpp refers a common form in Sindhi 4û, in which the final u is lengthened. It is clear, however, from the structure of other parts of words in Hindi which end in this form 4û, that they are not derived from 4ku, but from causal verbs, in which, as I have explained in Vol. I. § 60, the aya, which is the characteristic of the causal in Skr., is changed through 4u into û, to which the long û representing the suffix uku is appended; thus we get—

फुसनाना "to coax," H. फुसनाक "a coaxer," "wheedler." सिजाना "to feed" [cons. of खाना "to eat"], H. खिनाक "feeder." उड़ाना "to cause to fly" [caus. of उड़ना "to fly"], H. उड़ाज "spend-thrift" ("one who makes the money fly"), P. id., S. id.

क्साना "to carn" [a quasi-causal or nominal verb from जाम "work"], H. कमाज "a worker," "bread-winner," P. id., M. id.

सदाया "to make clear" [cans. of अख्या "to sec," Skr. अध्यो], H. अखाज "an indicator," also as mij. "significant," " perceptible."

বিকাৰা "to detain" [caus. of হিৰাৰা " to remain"], H. হিকাজ (adj.)
"durable," " stationary," P. id.

पदाना "to cause to be set" (Jewels) [caus. of जदना "to set jewels"].

बटाना "to travel" [unused irreg. cams. from बाट "road"], H. बटाक "traveller," P. id.

पुत्राचा "to melt" [cons. of पुत्राचा "to be melted"], H. पुत्राचा "=

M. जादाज "one who is invelgled away" (wife or servant), from जादज

Cannal of an invited THERT " to slip," " to water," bg. " to cause to water."

This class is exceedingly numerous, especially in H. and P.; in fact, a word of this form might be made from every causal in the language, and would probably be understood by all classes. The dictionaries do not give every word, perhaps because the compilers do not happen to have met them in writings.

Instances of nouns in du in Sindhi which Trumpp refers to dku are the following:

रहाज "dwaller," from रहम् "to remain."
क्वाज "paller," ... क्विम् "to pall."
विचाज "wearisame," ... विचार्म "to tire."
विजाज "a destroyer," ... विजार्म "to destroy."
वेवाज "asleable," ... विजार्म "to be sold."
पेटाज "an ill-wisher," ... पिटम् "to carse."

Of these words, however, fairs may be regarded as formed by ake from the causal, as the word fairs "to be wearied," "to be tired by any one," is in use, and fairs is its causal; and the same may be suggested of most of the others. Sindhidoes in many cases retain the k; as, for instance—

निराजु "a receiver," verb निर्मु " to take."
पिश्वाजु "a drinker," ... विश्वमु " to drink."
रहाजु "dweller," ... रहमु " to dwell."
निराजु "aleeper," ... निर "aleep" (Sir. निट्रा).
हराजु " famed," ... हरमु " to tame."

But there are many instances in Sindhi, in addition to those given above, in which the form dù is undoubtedly from the causal, as

नुसाज "a shirker," early नुसार्यु "to miss," "evade," causal of गुसगु

Although this verb now means "to devour," yet its origin (from Skr. West) shows that it had at one time the meaning "to take," which has now attached itself to another derivative from UE, namely, [N=20].

खपाज "extravagant," verb खपाइ शु " to spand," causal of खपगु " to be spent."

कुटाज "dellever," कुटाएगु " to deliver," cansal of कुटगु " to escape."

In Hindi this suffix takes usually the form dk; as far as my inquiries have gono, I am not aware of any forms in dd in Hindi which can be referred to dka; they appear all to be like **QUATES**, and the rest, derived from causal verbs, and the d belongs to the verb, and not to the suffix. Instances of dka = dk are the following:

Hindle Walla "a flier," "a bird that has just begun to fly."

- , पेराक "a swimmer."
- , क्रनाच " hissing sound."
- .. हाडाक "speed," "hurry" (literally "being swept along," from आह्ना "to aweep").
- .. बडाक "quarrelsome."

Most of these have also a form with the long final d, as sets, wells, which the long d represents the canaal; thus uzis and uzis; "crash," asis and asis; "thud," zers "clink," seem to be from the causals uzis; and zers; and it will be seen that the sense of agency is as much obscured in nouns of this form as it is in the cognate forms with a sets, uzis.

Gujarati, like Sindhi, has this form in frequent use:

उद्ध "to fly." उद्घाउ "one who makes the money fly," "a spendthrift."
उक्ष "to endure." उक्षाउ "enduring."
घडवुं "to shape," घडाउ "that may be shaped or moulded."
चडवुं "to be mounted," चडाउ "rideable" (a barse).

नहर्ष "to fight." नहाउ "fighter." षड्षं "to quarrel." वडाउ "quarrelsome" (this word is care). तपवं "to be hat." तपाउ and तवाउ "hot-tempored." "irritable."

The only one of the above which can be referred to ake with a causal is 3313; the others have no causal sense, but are either agents, or adjectives with a secondary meaning, and in one or two cases even, as, for instance, in 3313, 4313, the meaning is passive, like that of the Latin part, in -adas, as faciendus, etc.

(5). the is a rare termination in Sanskrit, and is not traceable in any of the modern languages except Marathi and Gujarati, and in the former its presence is to be ascribed more to the habit which this language possesses, of lengthening the final syllable, concerning which see § 50.

Instances are the following :

चुल्य "sample," "taste," Skr. पूरा "to select."
चेद्रुव "frog," " मण्डुक, i.e. मण्डु + क्रक.
जाचण्य "teasing," from जानमें "to tense," Skr. याच.
चंद्रुव "remembering," " चंद्रुवर्ण "to remember" (perhaps Skr. चंद

अदयक्षक "stopping," from अदयमें "to stop."
As this suffix is especially used in forming diminutives, it

will be more appropriately considered under the head of secondary formations.

A Gujarati instance, written with the short u, is वर्तापुक "carriage," "deportment," "behaviour," from वर्तानुं "to behave," but this is evidently a modern word, probably borrowed from Marathi, and bringing with it the u of the Marathi intinitive, which has no place in G. itself. If we derive वर्तापुक from वर्तानुं, we must treat the u as part of the suffix, as Taylor the form of the word with the combination is that of a very modern Tadbhava, and the meaning is one of a somewhat secondary kind, so that, all things considered, the word can hardly be admitted as genuine Gujarati; and as I find no other example of the kind. I am induced to conclude that this suffix is, except in Tatannas, confined to Marathi.

\$10. The last of the -s stems is trs. Words formed with this suffix indicate the instrument. In the majority of instances the \(\times\) goes out, leaving only the \(\theta\) (see examples in Vol. I. p. 337). Some words, however, preserve both letters by splitting the nexus, and Sindhi, as a rule, substitutes \(\times\), which is prenounced \(\theta\), and as such is to be regarded merely as a peculiar method of writing. This class contains a large number of common words, some of which are extant in two forms, the earlier Tadbhava and the later Tatsama; thus, while \(\theta\), \(\theta\)

वाणुदु "a musical instrument," verb वज्यु " to sound." पहिंदु "a beaat of burden," ... वहणु "to carry."

But there are not, I believe, any parallel instances in other languages, except those already given in the First Volume.

§ 11. Much interest attaches to the stem which comes next in our list, both on account of the widespread and deeps ated ramifications which it exhibits, and because one of its developments is of the highest importance in the clucidation of the mystery of gentlers in some of the languages. The suffix in question is technically known as ZIQ: but its effect is to add

and to the root of a verb, so as to produce abstract nouns, or nouns denoting the idea involved in the verb; as it "to endeavour," tel "effort." Closely connected with it is we. also leaving ur, which only differs from zru in the class of verbs to which it is added, a distinction not at all important to our present inquiry, and not of very much moment even in Sanskrit. The Pandits, being rather oppressed with the amount of idle time on their hands, have employed themselves in multiplying useless distinctions, which in this busy age we are forced to disregard. The suffix &, whether technically classed as 274 or we, is practically the feminine suffix of the majority of nouns whose masculines in a have been discussed in the preceding sections. It is important, however, to note that Sanskrit masculines in a form their feminines both in 4 and in 1; and as the rules for the adoption of the one or the other termination are somewhat intricate, dictionary-makers in most cases add the pratyaya in brackets: to wit, ZIQ or WE when the fem. is in a, sly when it is in I.

In Tatsamas this suffix, of course, remains, as qui "worship," fam "thought," and the like. In Tadbhavas it is invariably shortened to a, which is, as before noted, mute for practical purposes in all the languages except Sindhi. This suppression of the long vowel is, in cases where a double consonant procedes, compensated for in the usual way by the lengthening of the preceding vowel. A few instances have been given in Vol. I. p. 182; but as the question is really one of the formation of the stem, it will be better to give a full list in this place; and as the words now quoted are of very frequent occurrence, the exhibition of a considerable number will be useful, for the sake of the individual words as touch as for the rule itself, For our grammar-writers, being more of the rule-of-thumb sort of people than philologists, have, especially in Marathi, been much exercised on this point, in their endeavours to account for the fact that the majority of these words are feminine. They seem

to consider that the final consonant has been the deciding element in the matter, and lay down, or attempt to lay down, rules for each letter; as, for instance, that final z is feminine and final 3 mascaline, evidently not being aware that neither 2 nor Z have anything to do with the matter, but that the words in which those letters now appear as finals are derived from Skr. words ending in d, which has been absorbed, leaving the preceding consument, no matter what it be, as a final; and the cause of these words being feminine is not any poculiarity inberent in the consonant, which has now, as it were by accident, become final, but results from the words having been feminine in Sanskrit and Prakrit. For the rule holds good for the modern Arvan group, as well as for their Romance and Tentenic cousins, that the gender of the ancient mother speech is faithfully preserved, in spite of all changes. In German much of the difficulty which foreigners experience in determining the gender of nouns would be removed were they better acquainted with the forms of the Old High German. "Gender was, in the older language, easily recognizable from the form and method of declension of the word itself. When once we know the full Old Gorman inflexion of a substantive, we can have no further doubt as to its gender. In our modern speech, however, these marks of gender have to a great extent been worn away and obliterated. Compare, for instance, 'der Dorn' (mase,) and 'das Horn' (neuter), 'der Wind' and 'das Land,' 'der Vogel' and 'die Nadel,' etc., with the Gothic equivalents them'n-us and haurn, sind-s and land, fugl-s and nethla, 'der Same' (or Samen), 'die Staude' and 'das Ende,' with the Old-High-German same, study, cuti," In the same way in the modern Aryan languages, our doubt as to why dant should be masculine and but feminine is removed when we look back to the Sanskrit dant-as and carta respectively. It would be well if these who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Heyer, Labrhook d. Desiration Spreads, vol. i. p. 442. Compare also the forms of the Gothic declerations at p. 96 of the same volume.

write our Indian Dictionaries for us would put the original word in a bracket for our guidance. Unfortunately they do not usually know the original themselves.

My list, which is only a specimen, and by no means exhaustive, is as follows; it consists of Skr. feminines in a, irrespective of the pratyayas by which they are considered by native grammarians to be formed:

Skr. जागी "wool," H. जन, P. उझ, S. उन, but G. जन is n.

Skr. 평강] "bedstead," H. 혁궁, 평1군, P. 평궁, S. 평궁, G. M. 폐1군.

She. बीधा "श्विष्मात," H. बीह, P. S. M., G. घी.

Skr. काया "shadow," H. क्रां. क्वंब, क्वंब, क्वंब, P. क्वं, क्वंब, S. क्वंब, क्वंब, B. क्वंब,

Skr. बंधा "leg," H. बांध, G. M. M., P. बंध, S. अंध.

Skr. fagt "magae," H. Will, P. G. M. Id., S. fast.

Akr. gaf "düb-grais," II. दव.

Skr. द्राचा "vine," H. दाख. P. id., S. दाख.

Skr. निद्रा "sleep," H. नींट्, P. Id., S. निंह, G. नींट्, M. भीट्, नीज-

Skr. पीडा "pain," H. पीड, Old-H. पीर, P. पीड, also and in the rest पीडा.

Old Hindi confounds 3 and 7; thus Chand writes:

### को जानि मात विदानी पीर । सीति की साज साज सरीर ।

"Who knows, O mother! the pain of a harren woman?

The dart of a rival wife pierces the body!"—Pr. R. i. 178.

Skr. माता "mother," Old-IL मात, as in the line above quoted, ordiearly मा, मार् and माउ.

Skr. माजा "garland," M. and G. साइट.

Shr. रचा "ashes," H. राख, P. G. M. id., S. रख.

Skr. (ust "nidon," H. (is, G. M. O. B. id., P. 43, S. 44 (see Vol. I. p. 200).

Skr. रेखा "strenk," रेख, P. G. Id., M. रेख, रेड.

The Sindhi Te means the first streak of down on the cheeks of a young man, and may be derived from Text, in the same sense that the Persian and Urdu poets use La "line" in the sense of whiskers or moustache. Thus, to take an illustration from a popular Indian poet, Wali says:

"The moustache fears the mirror,
As the thief fears the watchman."

Skr. ज्या "shame," H. जाज, G. M. O. and Old-B. id., P. ज्या, S. जुज, "The form जुजा is also in common use.

Skr. जत्ता "kick," H. जात, B. O. M. G. id., P. जत, S. जत, B. also जाय.

Skr. वस्ता "rein," H. बाब, and so in all.

## चलटी जुराज पृचिराज वाग ॥ चिक सुर निग्मन धर धसत नाम ॥

"When Prithiraj the King turned rein,

The heavens stood still, the earth trembled, and the corth-serpont."

—Chand, Pr. E. xx. 33.

(सुर is for खर "heaven," शिरमण-मगन "sky," धर=धरा "earth," धसन-ध्यात "falling to pieces," and जाग is the serpent Sheshnaga, who supports the earth on his head; or we may take धर and जाग to be separated parts of a compound धर्नाम "the earth-serpent.")

Skr. बाता "speech," H. बात. P. G. id., M. also, but rare, S. बाति: Skr. विका "ugio marmelos," H. बेब. P. M. B. O. id. Skr. शब्दा "bed," Old-H. सञ्चा, H. सेब, P. id., G. M. भेष.

Page 8, line 13, of M. Gretin de Tassy's bountiful solution of Wall (Paris, 1934).
 Yola 15.

Skr. भाना "ball," H. साल, P. सार, G. M. भाउट, B. O. (volgarly) भान-

Skr. ग्रिका "stone," H. सिन, P. सिळ, S. सिर, M. श्रीळ, B. शिन, O. शिळ

This word in the modern languages is generally restricted to the meaning of a peculiarly shaped flat stone on which spices are ground for the native dish "curry." In Sindhi, however, it means a "brick."

Skr. भुषडा "elephant's trank," H. सूंड, P. सूंड, S. सूंडि, M. गुंडा. G. B. O. गुंड, G. also सुंड and सुंड.

Shr. मंघा "evening," H. सोझ. P. मंझ. S. सांझी. संझा, but also संझी. G. सांज, M. M., B. O. सांझ.

Nearly all of the words in the above list retain the feminine gender throughout all the languages; but this point will be more fully dwelt on in the next chapter (see § 36).

Besides words of the class given above, there is an extremely numerous class consisting of abstract nouns, which may be formed at will from infinitives of all verbs by dropping the final syllable, and they then convey the sense inherent in the verb. Thus in Hindi HIVNI "to beat," and HIV "a beating." Thus they say HE all HIV HIVI "he beat me a great beating." It would not be correct to say that these abstract nouns were derived from the infinitive; on the contrary, in respect of formation, they stand on the same level with it. The original Sanskrit root ula, for instance, forms two nouns; by adding us (un) it forms the abstract ulai "pain," and by adding us or use (un) it forms ulas "the act of paining"; from the former we get ula "pain," from the latter, ulas "to pain," infinitive of the verb.

<sup>\*</sup> Sec | 9, (1), (6), feminines in at.

Some few of the commoner pairs of words may here be set down.

Hindi सार "a beating," सार्गा "to beat."

" दांड "a running," दांडगा "to run."

" भून "an error." भूजना "to err."

" चड "a rising," चडना "to ascend."

" बटक "a stapping," बटकना "to be stopped."

It is those abstract nouns which are used with a long list of suxiliary verbs to make the compound verbs so common in all the seven languages, as **HIX ZIMHI** "to kill," **NZ UZHI** "to mount upon a seat," and the like. In Sindhi all the verbs are capable of being used as abstract nouns by the rejection of the final syllable of the infinitive; as in these instances from Trampp.<sup>1</sup>

जारा "wakefulness." जारा शु "to be awake." सह "pardon." सहंशु "to pardon." सघ "strength." सघशा "to be strong."

In Marathi also there are numerous abstract nouns of this sort, with which may be joined the corresponding words in Gujarati.

M. साम "adherence," सामी "to adhere." (). साम and सामर्थं ... भर् "fullness." भर्षे "to fill." , भर् , भर्दं ... सास "motion," चाहती "to move." , यान , पानपुं ... धाव "ranning," धावधी "to run." , धाव , धावपुं (poct.).

Instances may also be found in quantities in the other languages, but it is unnecessary here to adduce them. The formation of these abstract neura in some cases necessitates the lengthening of the radical vowel of the root, and in cases where that vowel is i or u, it is changed into the guna vowel.

<sup>1.</sup> Simila Grammor, p. 46.

This process is more clearly seen in Sindhi than in the other languages; thus we have—

जार "snatching," झरजु "to snatch." भोत "error," मुजजु "to err."

Thus also in Hindi, where the verb जनना corresponds to an abstract noun जान, and चलना to चाल; भिट्ना to भेट; and चलना to चोल. As usual in these languages, there are very many of these abstract nouns which it is difficult to trace back to any Sanskrit root; the principle, however, is the same in all: when once established in the popular mind, it was by degrees extended to words in which it had no business to appear.

§ 12. The group of stems ending in i consists principally of i, ni, and ti. The former added to verbs composes abstracts or appellatives; but the final short i is in most cases rejected in the modern languages, except Sindhi. Thus, taking all three suffixes together:

Skr. ऋषि "fire," H. आम, M. G. id., P. धारमा, B. आगिन and धानुन. B. आगि, O. शिका for अपिक.

Skr. हानि "hart," H. हान, S. हाणि, G. M. and हानी, M. हाण्. Skr. भूमि "earth," H. भूम, भूई, मूं. P. M. and मोई, S. जू. भूई, G.

मू. भीच. मूब. M. भूच, B. भूम, O. ld. and भूई. Skr. राजि "alght," H. रात. P.G. M. ld., S. राति, B.O. राति and रात.

See also examples in Vol. I. p. 315, as HE, TE, and the like.

Skr. मीति "song," H. गीत, and so in all, but 8. भीतु (m.).

Skr. wife "custe," H. wie, and so in all, but S. B. O. wife.

Skr. wfn "memory," H. P. HTR.

Skr. Ha "opinion," H. Ha, P. id., and so in all, though Ha is used in literature.

In this class also the gender depends upon that of the Sanakrit, and has nothing to do with the consonant which may happen to be left final by rejection of the vowel.

In Sindhi there is a class of words, not very extensive, formed with the suffix ti, which expresses abstract nouns, having also a verb of the same meaning. Trumpp's instances (Gr. p. 49) are:

चपति "expense," verb खपणु "to spend." वणति "plensare," " वण्यु "to plense." चड़ति "remission," " चड्गु "to remit" (Old-H. चंडना. Mod.-H. चोडना)-

हजात "behaviour," " हजातु "to go."

So also the double noun made and all (literally, "coming and going") "income and expenditure."

In the other languages the short final i is generally rejected; when retained, it is mostly lengthened to I. An example of the former is the curious H. word wish or with, which now means "brokerage," "commission." This occurs in Chand, I. 3, in the form wish, and with the meaning probably of "increase." There is no modern verb from which it can be derived; but it is perhaps to be referred to the Skr. root was right, "to increase," through a form walk. In the other languages the corresponding word is S. wish (f.), (i. wish (f.), M. with, O. B. wish.

With long I we have-

H. P. चडती "proft," "rise of prices," from चडवा "to rise," M. id., G. चडती "rise," "advance," 8. चडहति, O. चडति, B. id.

H. सगती "asserment," from समना "to be attached," M. सगत, G. सगत (f.) "intimacy," S. सगति, B. O. सागति, सागितः

H. यजती "morement," from चलना "to more," M. id. "influence," P. यज्ञती, S. चलति "custom," "habit," O. यज्ञति, B. id.

H. P. बसती "settlement," from बसना "to dwell," M. बसति, G. बसती,

8. वसंदी, with totally uncalled for asserver and softening of त to द. probably induced by a belief that the word was the feminine of the present participle active, as in English "a dwelling," O. विच, B. id.

H. भरती "filling," "completion," I from भरना "to fill," P. id., M. भरती, G. id., S. मधी, O. B. मर्जी.

The words of this form are not, however, universally composed by the addition of the suffix fa; for instance, H. wift "the earth," is from Skr. with "the supporter." This suffix is commonly, but erroneously, added to Persian words, as "deficiency," from "less." Here may also be mentioned those two excessively common vulgarisms "jdsti" and "parameti," so perpetually in the months of the lower classes. Parameti, meaning "protection," "favour," is used instead of the correct Persian parameteh, and is perhaps derived from the participle "parameteh, and is perhaps derived from the participle "parameteh, "protected." But "jdsti," which is used instead of "Jb; ziyāda, "more," defies analysis; and I have never heard any attempt to account for it: it is perhaps in some way corrupted from "duct." ziyādatt.

The labial vowel is found throughout every branch of these languages in strict parallelism to the palatal, here also it is so; there are stems in u, nu, tu, and ru. They present, however, no particularly noteworthy peculiarities. Common examples are as follows:

Skr. विन्दु "a drop," H. बूंद, बुंदा, बिंदी, P. बुंद, S. बुंदी, बूंद, M. बुंद, बूंद, G. बुंद, बुंदि, B. O. बिंदी.

Skr. वायु "wind," H. बाब, बाई, B. बाकी, O. बाब, M. वाब, G. वा. वाइ, S. P. बाउ.

Skr. बाह "arm," H. बांह, P. S. id., G. बांह, M. id. and बाह. B. O. बाह.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Volgarly used for "enlisting in a regiment," "engaging in a service," with at all when used of the person who hires or engages the soldier, and with start when speaking of the soldier himself.

The general rule for these groups is that the final short vowel is in a majority of cases rejected by all the languages except Sindhi; and when retained, is generally lengthened. Cases occur in which i is substituted for a, as in bindi for einels. Of the common suffix we or way, I treat in § 16, because there are some peculiarities in its use which render it both uncertain in origin and partial in application. None of these suffixes are used in the modern languages as additions to verbal roots, so that they do not come under the head of genuine modern primaries.

§ 13. As in the case of words ending with the short vowels of the labial and palatal organs, there is a tendency to lengthen in order to preserve them, it is natural that the nouns ending in the corresponding long vowels should, as a rule, retain them. Long & is the termination of a number of different classes, which will be detailed in § 18 (1), and in the next chapter (see Chap. II. § 33), where the subject comes more fully under discussion with reference to gender. Long & a is represented in

Skr. वधु "wife," H. यह, P. बोह, M. S. यह, B. O. वह, बद, G. वह: these three, being careless of quantity, shorten the vowel.

The monesyllable of "eyebrow," undergoes considerable changes, as H. AT. P. ATE. S. introduces its favourite i in fig., while O., on the other hand, inserts a in he. G. He. M. Het and Hiet are probably derived from some formative, rather than from of itself. If "earth," has been illustrated above, under of \$12.

s 14. The termination we of a large class of nouns in Sanskrit, where it is proceeded by a, represents an older form way, the work which, though rejected in the Sanskrit nominative, still survives in such Old-Hindi forms as wear, "a doer," and is found

in all the oblique cases of the Sanskrit noun. At p. 165 of Vol. L these words were somewhat cursorily noticed. It may therefore be as well to examine the whole subject more fully here.

As the noun in all the modern languages takes its form from the nominative case of Sanskrit, and omits entirely the grammarian's fiction of a separate base-form, it would be expected that in this class of stems the groundwork would be the nominative in d, as wat; but this is the case, strictly speaking, only in Tatsamas. The large and important class of words denoting relationship and professions exhibits numerous different forms.

In Prakrit there are several systems; the simplest and commonest is the substitution of are for ri, as MHICL "husband," Skr. A. In this case the Prakrit merely perpetuates the true old Aryan nominative, rejecting the corruption which has taken place in classical Sanskrit into ri. From the analogy of the cognate forms in allied languages we see this, as Latin dator, Greek δωτήρ, which postulate a Skr. datar; the final o in Pr. arises from its custom of requiring a vowel-ending, which leads it to attach a vowel to Skr. nouns ending in a consumant (Var. iv. 6, 8), or to reject the final consonant itself. From this form arises the Old-Hindi form \(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\))(\(\frac{1

Prakrit follows the Sanskrit in abortening the vowel in the familiar and much used words denoting relationship, fun "father," wing "brother," wining "son-in-law," which stand for funt, wint, wining, respectively, as is shown by their making in the other cases funch, not funt, etc. Thus also, while Lat. has dator, datorem, it has pater, patrem; and Greek borrip, but warpp, warepa, and warpoc; Prakrit has funch. wint, winter (Var. v. 45). In these words, however, there is also the contracted form funt, wint, and this is apparently the only form permissible in the corresponding

feminine मातू (मातर्) "mother," which makes माजा. This latter is the form in use in Pali, as पिता, माता, आता.' In the oblique cases comes out a form in s, thus

Nom. Plural भन्तुणो for Skr. सर्नारः Instr. Sing. भन्तुणा " सर्वा. Loc. Plural भन्तुण " भन्तुण (Var. v. 33).

This rule is not extended by Vararuchi to nouns of relationship, though in Pali the a form occurs in the genitive sing, and plur, as funger, winger, pl. fund. winger, etc.

There are then in the mediaval or Prakrit stage three types of this class of nouns: first, that in aro, shortened in nouns of relationship to are; second, that in a; third, that in a. No one of these forms runs through the whole series, or is found in every case of the noun, except perhaps the first. When discussing the phanetic changes of W (Vol. I. p. 159), it was shown that though this vowel migrates into a but rarely, and principally in words which already have a labial consonant adjoining or preceding the vowel, yet, that in the modern, and probably to a great extent in the mediaval languages also, it was often pronounced as re, so that we might expect to find this formation in a somewhat common in the modern languages. The Pali forms pitu, bhatu, etc., may thus be taken to have arisen from pitra, the vulgar pronunciation of pitri, though it is also possible to derive them from piture, shortened from piture. This latter derivation is, however, rendered less probable by the fact that Pali has this nominative in a for words which retain the older and fuller form are, as katta, for any "doer;" sattha, for ute "ruler," where the elision of the long vowal would seem to be too violent a supposition.

Orumnaire Palie de Karchyana, par. M. E. Senzri, Journal Amstique, mitth set. vol. xvii. p. 220. It is Karchyana's second chap, on nouns, rule 29.

<sup>· 15 16 40.</sup> 

In the modern languages the termination u or  $\hat{u}$  is common. Examples are:

Skr. पितृ "father," P. S. पिउ, and occasionally in Old-H. Skr. सातृ "mother," P. साज. मार्च. मार्च. मार्च. मार्च. Skr. खातृ "brother," P. खाउ, माज, S. भाउ, M. भाज-Skr. सपु "grandson," M. सात्, H. सातो.

The other languages, however, have it in some cases, as in H. His, Aid, etc. The word for a "barber" may be introduced here. In classical Skr. its form is Aifua, but this is said to be from an older form Aifuai for Aifuai (Aifua), agent of causal of Ai, in the sense of "to cleanse." It becomes Ais in M., but Aif in all the other languages, except B. and O., which retain the form Aifua. Marathi, Sindhi, and Panjabi are, it will be seen, the languages which mostly affect this form in u. Hindi generally exhibits that in t or d. It is followed in most in the word below. Skr. Yafua "worshipper," H. Yait, and so in all, M. also Yait, and S. Yait. The latter is referred by Trumpp, erroneously as I think, to the suffix dru (see § 15). The Prakrit form would, we may suppose, be Yait?

This is again one of those cases where confusion arises from three or four different pratyayas, whose forms were quite distinct in Sanskrit, having by phonetic changes all come to have the same form in the modern languages. Thus a word ending in u or u may either come from the pratyaya u, as kāru, "a doer," or from uku, as kātu, "cutter," or from uku, as jājarū, "watchful," or from ri, as uātu, "grandson." It is not possible in each case to decide which of these terminations is the true one; and in many cases it may be safely asserted, that

In all the ceremonies of the Hindu religion in the present day a qualiminary sharing by the harber is a necessary part of the purification which must be undergone by the celebrant.

wor a having come to be considered the usual termination for a large class of words of agency, the valgar tacked it on to all sorts of words, as was seen a few pages back in the case of G. ECJ, where it is added on to the foreign word ———, without any regard to the hybrid nature of the word thus produced. This habit is common to all languages, and may be paralleled by instances in our own, as "starvation," where a Latin termination has been uncerementously tacked on to a Tentonic verb "starve" (M. H. G. sterben). It will not be necessary therefore to pursue this question any further.

In the majority of instances the modern languages have formed words of this class from the Sanskrit nom. in d, and in these cases there is nothing remarkable to notice. Such words are for the most part Tatsamas, and do not therefore enter into

the current speech of the people very largely.

§ 15. The dissyllable suffixes in Skr. are athu, alu, and inhau. The first does not seem to have left any traces in primary atems, though under various modifications it appears as the foundation of secondary stems in several languages.

The second, dis, is extremely common, both as a primary and secondary. An allied suffix is drs, and from the close connexion between the two, it comes to pass that a form with a cerebral is in general use in the dialects which possess that letter. Instances of primary words, according to the view of the Indian grammarians, are the following; though they seem to make into primaries, by deriving them from almost imaginary verbs, many words which are strictly secondaries derived from nouns. As I said before, it is not worth while to stick very closely to this division.

निद्रानु "sleepy," H. निद्रानु, S. निद्रारो, G. निद्राकु, M. निद्राकु, B. O. निद्रानु (rare)-

द्यानु "mercifal," H. B. P. O. द्यानु and द्यान, M. द्याळ, द्याक्, G. id., S. इयानु This termination is of frequent occurrence, and is one of those which are attached to all sorts of words, without regard to origin. The common, and often noticed rule holds good here also, that when a people have once got to feel that a certain termination carries a certain meaning, they extend its use to all words in their language. Thus, from modern verbal roots come the following:

Verbal root झमड "quarrel," H. झमडानु "quarrelsome," M. G. झमडाळू. P. B. O. झमडानु.

Verbal root 夏天 "fear," H. 夏天 | m "fearfal,"

Sindhi, as usual, changes ! to r:

घोरारों | "peallar," verb घोर्यु "to seek." पित्रारों "cotton-carder," " पित्रणु "to eard."

Marathi is particularly rich in words of this type, such as

कन्द्रवाळू "pitiful," verb कन्द्र्य "to monu." खात्राळ् "liching," " खात्रम् "to lich."

A long string of them will be found under secondary formations. The third suffix, ishau, is of very rare occurrence even in Sanskrit, and I have not observed any words which can be referred to it in the modern languages.

With regard to un, un, und un, there is also very little to be said. The first three are similar in treatment. Masculines of this stem form their nominative in a, neuters in a, the modern languages accept the nom. as their type. Thus tune "king," nom. tun, which is the form in use in all the moderns. Instances are:

Skr. नामन् "name," norm. नाम, H. नाम, and so in all,

Skr. जक्रन् "birth," nom. जन्म, H. जनम्, P. जनम्, अम्मण-

Skr. पर्श्वन् "festival," pom. पर्श्व, H. पर्व, P. B. O. ध., M. G. पर्व, S. पिभु. Skr. दामन "rope." nom. दामा, H. दाम, दाम, and so in all. Skr. चर्मन् "skin," nom. चर्म. H. दाम, चर्म, and so in all. Skr. प्रमन् "Jove," nom. प्रेम. H. प्रेम. प्रेम, and so in all.

Nouns in to form their nominative in t, in which they are regularly followed by the moderns. As this suffix will be more fully discussed in several other places, I omit instances from this section.

§ 16. The stems, or thomes, or bases, for all three terms are used by various authors, hitherto discussed, are all distinctly traccable to Sanskrit atems. But there are in the modern languages, with their rich and varied development, numerous classes of nouns whose terminations point to a common source, which yet cannot always be distinctly referred, in a manner admitting of no doubt, to either a Sanskrit or Prakrit original. Others again there are, which, though they can in some instances be brought back to Sanskrit, are only of partial application, being found in some languages, and not in others. It must be remembered that it is only in one language out of the group that any attempt has yet been made to classify or analyze these formations. In the rest the grammarians simply give rules for the declension of nouns, without troubling themselves to explain how the body of the word was formed. Only in Sindhi have the valuable labours of Dr. Trumpp put me in a position to understand the formation of the noun in this least known of all the group. Often from this exhaustive work light has shone into all the languages, and I cannot too often or too fully acknowledge my obligatious to it. It follows, however, from what I have just said, that it is impossible at present for any one writer to carry out to the full the somewhat minute system of classification that has been observed in the foregoing easily recognized classes. The Indian languages in this respect fully establish a right to be considered the equals of their

Romance cousins, in the number, variety, and expressiveness of the derivatives which they have formed out of their own native resources. Frequently, too, they have adopted a form of noun from Sanskrit, and finding it useful and convenient, have extended the principle to their own Tadbhava or Desaja verbs, so that we know them only to be primaries from the existence of such verbs. All the forms that I have as yet come across I now group together in this section.

 ₹ is affixed to causals to denote "the wages or cost of doing anything."

Hindi घोता "to wash," causal घोलाना, nom. घोलाई "art of washing," M. धुलाई.

Hodi दोना "to carry," cantal दोलाना, nom. दोलाई "cost of carriage."

Hindi ब्रमा "to twist ropes," caus. ब्रामा, nom. ब्राई "hire for making ropes."

Hindi गहना "to bent out," caus. गहाना, nom. गहारे "hire for making or naments of gold and silver."

Writers on Marathi grammar tell us that this form is only used in words of Hindi origin; but it may fairly be doubted if there be in Marathi any such thing as a word of Hindi origin. Molesworth—although he sometimes incloses in brackets the corresponding Hindi word after a Marathi one—guards his readers against supposing that the Hindi word is the original, and tells us that he merely puts down the Hindi, because it is the same as the Marathi, leaving it an open question which is the original. It is more consonent with what we know of the relations between these languages to suppose that both formed these words independently from the Prakrit. This view is further strengthened by the fact that a similar form exists in more or less frequency in all the languages of the family, except Sindhi, which expresses the idea of "wages" or "ex-

penses" by a form in will or will, as mentioned in § 6. Bengali and Oriva have numerous instances of this form, as दोलाई "cost of carriage," but in O., from some forgetfulness of the original meaning, we often hear the phonetic expression दोबाइ खर्च or दोबाइ दंबा. Panjahi sometimes inserts a द. owing to the existence of this amivowel in its causal, and the same practice prevails in rustic Hindi, as चराना or चरवाना "to graze cattle;" P. H. चराई or चरवाई "wages of a herdsman or shopherd;" P. दवाद "cost of pulling down a building." from saint "to demolish;" fuert "wages for grinding," from पिमारुका "to cause to grind," and many others. G. also exhibits numerous words of this type, but also expresses the idea by a form in मता, the म of which arises from व, as in पासवं "to tind," H. पाउना (Skr. प्रापत). In बर्मन " wages of a hordsman," the older form would be ustaw, which is analogous to the Sindhi form in wall, mentioned above.

(2). It is employed after causals to denote an act of any kind, and is frequently written In many cases the sense would point to a derivation from the simple verb, and in these cases we must treat the termination as III, and derive it by means of the Skr. affix III or III, the I of which is preserved in Sindhi, but changed into I according to the genius of that language, and takes a feminine in I, probably from the s having been regarded as the ordinary Sindhi mase, nom, of o-stems, and not, as it really is, an organic portion of the suffix. Sindhi, however, in a few instances, rejects the I, thus coming more into conformity with the other languages.

Verbal root 제공국("Riop," H. 제공국(국, P. 제공국(국, S. id., G. 제공포(국, M. id. mad 제공포(국).

Verbal root संस "pull," H. विदाद. P. विदाद. Verbal root सन्ता "cause to melt," H. गनाव. P. गटाउ. Verbal root सिसा "rub," H. सिसाद. Verbal root भुमा "whirl" (active), H. भुमाव, P. भुमार्थ. 1 Verbal root पढ "ascend," H. चढाव "act of ascending," "rise," P. चडहाउ, S. id., G. चडाव, M. id., but rare.

Verbal root चरा "enuse to grave," H. चराव, O. चरा-

Verhal rout क्या " to conceal," H. क्याव.

Verbal root पद "fall," "alight," H. पहाव "encamping-ground," P. G. id., M. id. and पहांव, O. पहा.

Verbal root चिक " be sold," H. बिकाब " sale," O. विका.

Formations of this class are so common in Hindi, that it may be said that every verb in the language may give rise to such a noun. They are less common in the other languages. In Sindhi the examples, besides those given above, are

> घर्टु "surrounding," verb घरणु "to surround." चिमकाटु "jinghing," " चिमकणु "to jinghe." भृणिकाटु | भृणिकाटु | "humming," " भृणिकणु "to hum."

Owing to the fact that Sindhi, when it omits the Z, as wzwz, Harry, writes the final vowel as Z, it is at times difficult to distinguish words of this class from those derived by the suffix wry, as noted in § 9 (4). The only distinction is that the latter class makes the v long. In Gujarati, where the distinction between long and short v is very seldom observed, the difficulty of distinguishing is still greater. Fortunately, however, G. frequently writes words of the present class, like H., with Z. They are not very common in G. Besides those given above, I find also—

ठराव "determination," "certainty," verb ठरावर्व " to determine." मचाव "stirring up (a quarrel)," ... सचावर्व " to stir up."

<sup>&#</sup>x27;In special some of a measure of land, originally as much as rould be ploughed in a day, from the bullocks surney at each and of the furrow, much as we use the word "turn" in mak expressions as "a day's turn of work;" a phonon would be "a turn of the plough."

This termination is rure in Marathi, though the syllable was is used in the formation of secondary verbs; and it seems also foreign to the genius of B. and O. The few words that are to be found appear to have been borrowed in comparatively modern times from Hindi, such as B. usin, O. usis, "an attack," II. usis; and O., as in the instances us, usi, fem. given above, generally drops the final up or u.

(3). Probably connected with the last-mentioned is the very common Hindi form in MAZ, with allied forms MAZ, MIZ. The fact that many of these words imply sounds of various kinds has led to the supposition that they arise from compounding the verb with the noun MAZ "noise," "sound," but this is not altogether probable. It might rather be conjectured that the process is just the reverse, and that MAZ is a mere onomatopoetic word derived from the termination, on account of its having some resemblance to the sound. The word is used to express principally light and repeated noises, as "tap-tap," "pit-a-pat," and the like. The two forms, that with \(\mathbf{T}\) and that with \(\mathbf{T}\), often exist in the same word. Instances are—

Hindi खिसलाइट "slipperiness," खिसलाना "to cause to slip;" खिसियाइट "bethalaese," खिसियाना "to vex;" खुजलाइट "itching," खुजलाना "to itch;" घनराइट "confination," घगराना "to be confined;" खुजलाना "to itch;" घनराइट "confination," घगराना "to be confined;" खुजलानट "melting," खुजाना "to melt" (active); चमकावट चमकाइट "splandour," चमकाना "to cause to glitter;" चुनावट "plaiting," चुजा "to plait;" खुडावट "tonch," कुहना "to touch;" समझनाइट "tinkling," धनजनाना "to tinkle;" खुडावाइट "whining," झुंझलाना "to whine," पारा स्वावट "stooping," खुझाना "to stoop." तटफडाइट "fattering," तदनडाइट "trickling," ततनाइट "tingling," खडफडाइट "throbbing," are all focmed from verbs of the same sound.

There is a very large class of these words in Hindi; both in that and dwar, as well as contracted into wiz. as partize threakage," from general "to make to break." These forms

all run into one another to so great an extent that it is very difficult to draw the line between them. Thus from warm "to explain," we have \$\$1192 "the act of explaining," but also बुद्दानी, i.e. बुद्धावनी, with the same meaning. The origin of these forms being unknown to the masses, it was natural that they should confuse any two of them which had at all a similar sound. Moreover there is some obscurity about the exact derivation of this and the preceding form in wis. The presence of long d does not necessarily prove that these words are taken from the causal work in all cases. In some instances the sense leads to a derivation from the simple verb, as in usia, usia, which come from sent, usen, respectively, and not from चडावा, पदाना. The long vowel must therefore be assigned to the suffix, and in cases where the word has, on account of its meaning, to be referred to the causal, it appears that the two long vowels, that of the verbal root and that of the suffix, have conlesced into one, without any further lengthening.

Panjabi possesses a great number of words of this triple form, mostly identical with Hindi, as united, united, and united. From the peculiar softness of Panjabi articulation, the g in the second form would be very indistinctly heard, so that, writing according to sounds, the third form would represent more correctly than the others the spoken word. Thus the numerals interest than the others the spoken word. Thus the numerals interest is in the fact, but, tet, chang. HEXT "father-in-law" is in most districts saurd. The Hindi-speaking people, on the contrary, pronounce the generally very clearly and distinctly, perhaps rather overdoing it; so that they would naturally retain the forms in unger, and in the eastern Hindi area unger, where Panjabi prefers the shortened form unc.

Sindhi does not appear to have any words of this form, but instances of a similar and possibly connected form will be found in § 20. Gujarati has sugar, weez, and wez. I do not find weez. Of the former, instances are universal unit unique "fiction," unique

"to make;" बाहाबर "affection," बाहाबुं "to love;" of the latter, बळबार "brillianey," बमरार an incorrect spelling of घबरार "confusion," महबहार "maddle," कणकणार "shuddering," "tingling," etc., from verbs of similar form.

Marathi generally follows ways of its own in the numerous. forms of derivatives in which it abounds; in the present instance, however, it is found to be to a limited extent in accordance with Hindi. The class of words which I am at present discussing appears in M. with the terminations we. wie: we. 412, and occasionally WIZI. Nouns of this class are both primary and secondary; the termination, once established, having been extended by the valgar to all sorts of words. This freedom, or rather licence of formation, is very noticeable in Marathi, which in my opinion is far richer in nominal formations than any other language of the group, and it is therefore noteworthy as a specimen of the blindness and unscientific method of the ordinary grammarians, that they either dismiss the question of stem-building without notice at all, or dispose of it in a few cursory remarks. It is really one of the most intricate and important questions of the whole subject, and if fully worked out, would demand a volume to itself.

Undoubted primary formations in M. are the following :

- नर घसपट "the state of being much used or worked," "practice," "routine," verb धामेर्स " to rab," Skr. घर्षेत्रे.
- ल नांद्वद "residing," "state of being settled in a place," recb नांद्रि, "to dwell," Sice, नंदर्न.
- " भाजनट "act of hurning the weeds before ploughing," verb भाजने.
  " to parch," Skr. भजेले.
- बाट विह्वर ) "conduct," "management," verb बाहुँ "to earry," Shr.
- कर करपट "burnt in conking," verb बार्पोर्स "to be bornt, "Skr. कर्पकी."

<sup>&</sup>quot; From BQ in its original sees of long speakel,

- षट वचर्ट "troublesome," "rexations," "vile," verb सवर्गं or सवऊमं "to slak," "full," "miscarry," Skr. खवर्ग, !
  - " षायर "tough," "claumy," lit. "much chaved," verb चावर्षे " to chev," Skr. चर्थेणुं.
- o चेगरट "throng," "ernwd," "crowded state of a street," yezh
- " चेपर "compressed," "dut," verb चेपमें "to press," Skr. (Vol. 1. p. 212).
- भार कवार "dilemma," "scrape," "impediment," verb कवण "to yield,"
  "fail" (orig. "to be impeded"), Skr. कंचर्न "binding," "restraining," "impeding."
  - .. खडबराट "rattling." "grating," verb खडखरेंगें " to rattle" (unumatopoetic).
  - .. गडबहाट "confusion," "lustle," "muddle," verb गडबहर्ग "क be in a muddle" (Vol. 1, p. 336),
- " अवाचवार "a peal," "ringing," verb चवाचवार्थे " to ring loudly " (onomatopoetic).
- आटा हाकाटा "bawling," "ontery," verb हाकरो " to call " (origin un-

From the above examples it will be seen that M. agrees pratty closely with H., but that in several instances the form is adjectival; this peculiarity probably arises from the looseness with which these words are employed; they were originally substantives, but have passed over into adjectives by degrees.

There are words in Oriya which end in wz. but I am not

In the primary sums of limping, jostling, and more generally going builty or irregularly.

<sup>2</sup> I take this word to be a formative of a familiar character deriving its origin altimately from the Skr. 包 "to heap," spleaturing 电电。perhaps with 电闭 "body" while, so that we should get a word 电红河 for the more regular Skr. 电电电子 "errord of bodies." Inversions of this sort are common in the mederus, and not analyzed even even in Skr.

A The ordinary meaning "to drive" arms from the Indian practice of driving a herd by frantic shouting and calling.

prepared to affiliate them to this stem. Of words in abut, avet, or at, I do not remember to have heard any, nor are there any instances in the dictionaries. The formation seems foreign to the genius of the language, as also to Bengali, though neither of these languages have had their depths searched out sufficiently yet, to enable a decided opinion to be given.

(4). Hindi possesses a range of words ending in \$\mathbb{U}\_n\$ and \$\mathbb{U}\_n I\_n\$, which, though for the most part secondary, are also in some instances primary, though comparatively rarely so. The other languages have occasional analogous forms:

महता "to mount," H. चहित. चहिता "one who mounts." "a rider." P. चहित, चहित, चहिता "a mounted groom," "a trooper," M. चहाईत. 'बहाबीत "horsaman," "climber."

डाकना "to shoot," U. इकेत "robber," B. O. डाकाइत.

The origin of this form will be discussed, together with the allied forms win and wint, under the secondary formations to which it seems more specially to belong.

(5). A widely-spread group of stems is that in star, with variants sin, sin, and sin, which may possibly be connected with stems in and, and differ from them only in the long vowel; while, on the other hand, the full form stan seems to run into the pure secondary form un, and has often a long vowel prefixed. In Benguli this form occurs as safe, which points to the fem: suff of other languages. I place this form among those of uncertain origin, because of the long vowel and the labial; also because, like many of the preceding stems, it occurs both as a primary and accondary in some languages. All these peculiarities throw a haze of doubt over its origin and development. Examples are as follows:

आवन (II. पहिरावन )"a garment bestowed on guests at wouldings or पहिरावनी feasts," verb पहिराना "to clothe."
, क्यावनी "cultivating land by stealth," verb क्याना "to concent."

स्रावन हावनी "thatching," "an encampment," verb झाना "to thatch."

" (चन्नावन) "despatch of goods," verb चन्नाना "to came to go."

" water of cowding used as a charm," verb said

चीना चिक्षीना "toy" (i.e. खिलावना), verb खिलाना "to cause to play."

· विक्रीमा "baddlag," verb विक्रामा "to spread."

" भरोना "a load of wood," serb भराना "to cause to load."

षान छुटान "lelaure," verb कुदना " to be released."

It would seem proper here also to insert the word strict or series "a wooden slipper or patten," which should probably be written util or strict, from the participle util "standing." It possibly owes its present shape to some functed connexion or jingling with a util "foot."

Panjabi has विद्याच्या "bedding," विजीणा "toy," दाच्यो "encampment," पहिनाउणी "present of clothes," and in general the same words as Hindi. In Sindhi this form is secondary only. Gujarati uses a form आमण, as पेट्टानणी "dress given at a wedding;" वधामणी "congratulation," and "congratulatory gifts," verb वधावर् "to welcome;" and a contracted form in विद्यान or "ण्ण" bedding." Instances of the Bengali form above quoted are विद्यान "encyet," verb विद्यारते "to spread;" विग्रहानी "spoiling," विग्रहात "to spoil;" जहानि "interlacing," "wrapping," बहारते "to wrap," "wind;" जुमहानि "packing up," जुमहाने "to pack." Oriya being in the habit of giving a sound of a to short u, uses forms विद्याना, विद्यान, मरना, for the H. जिल्लाना, etc. The few words of this kind in Marathi

From its supposed afficacy in increasing the amount of grain in the heap on which it is placed (see Eillot's Races of Nurth-West Provinces, vol. i. p. 33%, my whition).

do not seem to be the gennine property of the language, and are in many instances undistinguishable from stems in -ana; they need not delay us.

- § 17. Leaving now this somewhat obscure and unsatisfactory class of words, let us go on to the secondary stems. There is a vast mass of these, and several of the purely secondary suffixes have been capriciously extended to verbs, thus bringing the words formed by them, according to our classification, under the head of primary stems. It will, however, be more convenient to treat those all as secondary, merely pointing out as they occur the instances in which they have passed over inte primaries. Being all derived from nouns by the class of suffixes called Taddhita, these secondary formations fall into two great divisions; the first, those which add to a substantive or adjective a suffix which converts it into an abstract noun, descriptive of some character, occupation or quality; and the second, those which by the same process create appellatives or attributives. In this section I include only the abstract nouns.
- (1). The first I shall take is the very common suffix पन्.
  with its numerous variations. This arises from the Skr. suffix
  एं, which I have conjecturally connected with भारान् "self"
  (Vol. I. p. 330), and which passes into स्पन्न (also नाम, Var. iv. 29),
  and, by the process described in the passage above cited of Vol. I.,
  becomes स्पन्न and पन्न. It has many different forms in all the
  languages, as—
  - H. पन. पना. पा.
  - ए. पुन्न, पुना, पन्न, पना-
  - ह. पण पणी पो प पाई पी
  - त. पन्न, पन्नं, पो-
  - M. पल, पला.
  - B. पन, पाना-
  - 0. पण, पण्चि

## Examples:

Hiadi. खट्टा "acid," खटापन and "पना "acidity;" उच्छा "sharper," उन्छापना "sharper's tricks," "frand;" कोटा "anad!," कृटापा "small-aces;" केन "fop," कृतपन "foppishuess;" बान्नक "child," बाजकपन "childhood" (Chand बाजप्पन केट बाजपन): बढा "great," बढापा "greatness;" बूढा "old," बुढापन and "पा "old age;" जडका "boy," जडकपन "boyhood;" जुद्धा "dissolute," जुद्धापन "dissolute living;" रांड "widow," रांडापा "widowhood;" मूर्व "ignorant," मूर्वपन "clownishness."

Panjada, बुडापा, बुडेपा "ald uge;" लडकपुत्ता "boyhood;" उचकापुता "fixed; मूरचपुता "slowelshness;" लुचपुता "debanchary;" बालप्पण, "पता, "पुता, "childbood;" रंडिपा "whilewhood;" and, peculiar in it=if, कुझर "boy," कुझरपुता and कुडुहरपुता "boylehness;" मूडह "stapid" (Skr. मूड), मूडहपुता "stapidity;" क्षोकरा "boy," क्षोकरपुता "boylead,"

Sindhie बुढापणु. ॰पणो. बुढापो. बुढेपो, बुढेपारं. बुढेपी, बुढपणु. "old age;" रन "widow," रनापणु "widowhood;" बान "wuman," बानपणु "womanhood;" पणिड्रतु "pandit," पणिड्रतपणो "panditship;" बढु "seixing," बढुप "assistance;" बढि "near," बटिप "partiality;" नंडो "small," नंडपारं, नंडपणु. नंडेपो "youth;" बाही "watchman," वाहियो, वाहिय "watchman's work;" कांधी "colin-bearer," कांधिय "पणु "duties of a kandlid;" मारह "man," मारिष्ट्रपो, मारह अपणो "humanity;" मिरू "wild beast," सिरूपणो "bestiality." These are all, except one, from Trumpp, Sindhi Gr. p. 61.

Gujarati. Taylor's Grammar (p. 144) gives several examples which are not found in the dictionaries; but as the author is a resident of the province, he has probably heard the words from the people round him. Edolji's Dictionary does not apparently contain more than a third of the words in the language; and Narmada Sankar's, though much fuller, does not give all the formatives, except incidentally as explanations of some other

word. The words I have found are: घरडो "eged," घरडपण, ॰पणु "old age;" बंधी "blind," अंधापणुं, ॰पो "blindness;" खांधळो "dark." अंधिळापणुं "darkness;" रांड "widow," रंडापो "widowhood;" बुडापो. ॰पणुं "old age;" घवळो "inverted," घवळापणुं "invertedness;" जुडापणुं "dissoluteness." The word बळापो "grief," "anxiety," "tarment," seems to be derived rather from the verb बळवुं "to burn" (ज्ला॰) than from बळ "strength," and may be set down as an isolated instance of the use of this suffix as a primary.

Maratal. भेना "good," भनेपण, "पणा "honcety;" चांगला "good," चांगलेपण, चांगलपण "goodness;" लंकक "child," लंकुर्पण, "पणा "childishness;" बालंत "a woman in childbirth," बालंतपण "attendance on such a woman." In M. also is the softened form चण, वाण, and a form दा, वी, लें, corresponding to the UI and पो of the other languages; as from चीर "thicf," चीर्वण, "वाण, "वें, and चीर्वि "thicrishness," or the "conduct of thieves;" सहान "small," सहानवी "smallness;" चोर् "great," घोरवी "greatness;" पार्ट "bad," वार्ट्यण "hadness," क्यूड the grammar, but दार्ट्याणा, "भी, says the dictionary; महाणा "wise," महाण्यण "wisdom;" स्हातारा "old," स्हातार्पण "old age." The words formed with this suffix are not given in large numbers in the dictionary, as it would appear that they can be formed at will from any adjective in the language.

Bengali. जुडापा, जुडापन "old age;" नुसूपना, "ulent "talent,"
"virtue;" जुडापना "debanchery;" but the form, though occasionally
heard in conversation, is not very common, as Bengali has another and
commoner form in आसि for words of this class.

Origa. Words of this form, as अर्थापन, पामलपन, are sometimes brand, but the form does not seem to be indigenous in the language, being very rurely met with. A gennine instance is HTUE "a reguer," HTUEuffinal "reguery;" also आञ्चापिया "the daties or profession of a Brahman," such as studying the Shistras, performing religious ceremonies,

and the like; चितिशापण "laziness;" चिरपण for चिरुपपण "dissoluteness," from चिरुप "dissolute."

The two points to be observed in the treatment of this stem are the method of joining it to the root, and the terminations which it takes. As to the first, if regarded as a mere pratyaya or suffix, it should in the case of Tatsamas be affixed to the bare root, so that from मुखं we should get मुखंपन = मुखंसं, and this is what does actually happen, and so far the usage is regular. But when affixed to Tadbhava adjectives which have taken the d or oxytone ending, this d would be retained, as in warun. in which case we must not consider the word as having been derived from the Skr. THE, but rather thus, that the termination was regarded as a thing apart, as a sort of qualifying particle which could be appended to all adjectives at will. From the detached character acquired by this particle arises the peculiarity that it is in H. sometimes, in M. G. and S. very frequently, attached to the oblique form of the noun, because the nominative form is regarded as appropriate to that state of the noun only in which it is not subjected to any influence from without, but is either an agent or a more indication. Directly the noun becomes subject to influences of any sort, it passes into the oblique, and the addition of the syllable un, or any of its variants, was regarded as subjecting the noun to an influence somewhat similar to that exercised by the case particles, and therefore demanding the oblique form. When we see the suffix added to nouns in the direct form, it would show that in these cases the form came into existence when the suffix had not yet won its detached character; and the unsettled nature of the terminations of the root in all the languages points, in confirmation of this supposition, to a time when the languages themselves were in a transitional state, and had not attained to fixity of practice.

Equally undetermined is the termination of the suffix itself.

There are first the forms H. P. M. पन (पण, मुख) and पना, corresponding to which respectively are the S. un and unit. These two forms reproduce the barytone and oxytone forms of nouns, and if, with some writers, we derive the latter form from an addition of \$, we should have to suppose a Skr. form est giving Pr. way or ways; as however in words of the form ure in Skr. the accent is on the last syllable " sattwa," it may be fairly resomed that it would remain on that syllable in Prakrit also; and as the suffix itself has been conjectured to be shortened from wings, the form in usy would be the original one, shortened as time went on into un from forgotfulness of the accent. The uncertainty in the method of affixing this ending, which is sometimes added to the direct form of neurs, and semetimes to the oblique, and at times even to a shortened form which is neither oblique nor direct, as in Sindhi, proves that words of this type came into existence at very different periods; and in those which were created in later times the accent would naturally have been forgotten, and they would take the form un.

Secondly, the forms ut and ut point to exyrones, and seem to be derived from some such form as ut, omitting the ut; such a form may well have existed in spoken Prakrit, although no traces of it survive in the written works. This form would come direct from the Sanskrit A, whereas that in unu must come from an older Skr. ut.

Lessly. Sindhi has forms ut and ut, the final vowel of which seems to be quite inorganic, as we cannot trace it back to any corresponding psculiarity in the older forms, and is probably due to an unconscious imitation of the analogous forms are and and ut, which have been or will be discussed in their proper place. The Skr. word unit "self," retains the s in all cases of the sing but the nom, and in this, as in so many instances in Skr. and the cognate languages, the nominative stands spart, and the derivative forms are taken from the oblique. Thus Greek and Latin,

while faithfully retaining the original type of us in molos, pedia, reject the d in the nominatives wood and pes; yet it is from motor and pedie, not from move and pes, that the derivatives are formed, as well as the noun itself, in their modern descendants. So also ocorror, dentis, reproduce Za more correctly than ocors or dess, and in derivatives and descendants the former, and not the latter, are the base. The reason of this is clear; the oblique form being used seven or eight times to once of the nominative, sticks in the popular mind, and is used in grammatical formations, while the classically modified nominative is forgotten. This was probably the case with the termination now under consideration; the vulgar would know little of an exphemistic high-flown nominative war, while they would be familiar with some ten or twelve forms having for their base want; the Old-Hindi poets use Tray or Tra, while the modern language does not begin to use the classical nominative TIMI till after Pandits had begun to resuscitate the accurate form. So also, while the Brahmin wrote und, the people may have said सत्त्वन, or even सतालन्, and thus the form त्त्रण or प्राम reveals itself as the older and more accurate. The Till type is still in existence; in Old-Hindi we have yauras "virility," from पुरुषत्तम, Skr. पुरुषल, and Sindhi has from मुखी "a headman," Heany, Pr. Hunny, where the S., rejecting one of the two consonants, does not, as H. would, lengthen the preceding vowel, but inserts instead its favourite short i. There may be other instances in the other languages, but I have not yet met with any. Sindhi has a few rare examples of a form in wig which

Dr. Trumpp will forgive me for pointing ant a slight error in his English here; he translates this word "headman," not perhaps remembering the difference between that and "headman." The former means "an expentioner," i.e. "one who behands criminals." Dr. Trumpp's English is so excellent, correct, and greeful throughout as to command admiration; it is in a friendly spirit that this little slip is notions, as it might misland an English student. The difference between "head-man" and "headman" is slight indeed in form, but very wide in meaning.

Trumpp refers to this suffix, as wifeering "boyhood," "youth," from wifeer "a boy;" but it is open to question whether this is not the primary affix mentioned in § 16 (2), which has passed over into a secondary form in a few cases.

As a corollary to the connexion which I see between st and MAN, I would here introduce the Bengali stems in wife. which I derive from sund in such Shr. words as HIETAU. Oriva uses HETAH as a religious word, in the sense of "celebrating the greatness or merits of" a holy place or festival, thus we have नेगा महातम the "glories of Ganga," जी खेतर HEIRH "a description of the religious merits acquired by a pilgrimage to the shrine of Jagannath," and others. Bengali has elided the mand softened the w to v. Instances are wind "mad," पागवासि "madness;" नुचा "debauched," नुचामि "loose living:" His "buffoon," His Ha" buffoonery;" His "an ass," गादामि "stupidity;" उंटा "obstinate," उंटामि "obstinacy;" कींचा "greedy," कींचामि "greediness;" क्चडा "base," क्चडामि "baseness;" " "proffigate" (lit. "destroyed," compare our use of "dissipated," also the original meaning of "profligatus"), नष्टामि "profligacy." In familiar or colloquial words which end in a vowel other than a or d, the initial vowel of the suffix is elided; thus क्ले "a child," क्लिम "childishness;" एमंद "an impudent boy" (French gamin), unaffr "impudence;" and in valgar speech the favourite u of Bengali exercises an influence on the following vowel ; thus from que "wicked," quit "wickedness." By a carious caprice, also, a duplicate form is occasionally employed, as from बष्ट we get बहता "profligacy," and बहतानि-Oriva also uses this form, as agt "old," agtfs "precedity in children;" दिना "loose,"" lazy," दिनामि "laziness," "inattention," B. id. It will be observed that this suffix is almost universally employed in a bad sense, as expressive of stapidity, loose living, or the like. One instance, जुदामि, occurs occasionally in Hindi; but, with this exception, this form appears to be peculiar to the two easternmost members of the group.

(2). Of very wide use in Sanskrit is the suffix ₹, generally neuter when forming abstract nouns, though of all genders in appellatives. This suffix generally changes to ₹ in the modern languages, and in most cases the words become feminine, owing to the general use of ₹ to express that gender. The typical word of this class is—

Skr. चीर्च " theft," Pr. चोर्च, H. चोरी, and so in all, but O, चोरि. So also

Sir. खामां "cheating" (ख्राय; "a cheat"), B. द्वार्य, H. उनी (volgo "thuggee"), and so in all.

This termination is extended, as usual, to words of uncertain origin, and notably to Persian words, incomuch as that language also adopts the suffix i to denote abstracts; thus we have "goodness," (大文 "badness," 'deficiency," (\*excess;" and even colloquially Arabic words take this ending, as "excess;" and even colloquially Arabic words take this ending, as "excess;" "approved." S. has also 时间 "badness;" from 时间 "bad" (Skr. 时间 "alow"); 时间 "goodness," from 时间 "good" (Skr. 时间 "alow"); 时间 "goodness," from 时间 "good" (Skr. 时间 "alow"); 时间 "goodness," from 时间 可of the Sanakrit is clided alogether, as in

Sir. आसं "fortune," H. भाग, and so in all. G. retains Taisama आस् and S. आयु.

Skr. धान "rice," H. धान, and so is all, except S. धानु dhanys or allidas.

This latter word seems to have been originally an abstract meaning "possessing," "wealth," root W, as stores of rice were, and still are in many parts of India, the principal source of wealth to all classes.

Where, in modern formations, the adjective had acquired, as adjectives almost universally did, the termination in long d, the i of this suffix does not supersede this vowel, but is attached to it, forming di. Thus we have a very large range of words in all the languages. A few are—

भजा "good," H. अजाई "goodness," P. भिक्तवाई, S. भजाई, G. M. O. M., B. भाजाई,

निर्मेला "pare," H. निर्मेलाई, and so in all.

बड़ा "great." U. बड़ाई "greatness." P. बड़िशाई, S. बड़ाई, G. B. O. id.; not in M.

जंबा "ligh." H. जंबाई "height," S. M. ("goodness"). P. डबाई. G. जंबाई. D. डबाई. B. डबाई.

This form is not very common in M., but is of almost universal application in the rest. P. anomalously inserts short i before the termination, but this is a dislectic possiliarity which does not prevail in all parts of the province. It has already been noticed that so many Skr. terminations fuse together into in the modern languages as to render it difficult to distinguish them. The form now under consideration is restricted to abstracts, and has no counexion with any other.

(3). The Sanskrit suffix at exists in most of the languages in a large number of words, but occurs chiefly in Tatsamas and modern Tadbhavas; so that it would be more correct to suppose that it has been resuscitated, together with the word to which it is attached, than to speak of it as having come down uninterruptedly from early times.

Skr. Teni "firomoss," H. Teni, P. Titeni, S. Settil. In the cest Teni, powerally pronounced drights in H., and so written also in P., but in O. it sounds draphats.

Sindhi has a few words not strictly Tatsamas, though only slightly altered, and it occasionally adds \$, making at\$, as

घटि "delicient," घटिताः घटितारे, "deliciency." जोग् "धाः" जोग्ता "funcse."

In B. and M. words of this class are extremely numerous, but are all pure Tataumas, and as such do not strictly come within this range of development of the modern forms of speech.

(4). Another common suffix is THE, which is used in Bengali

in its Tutsama form, but rather among scholars than in the mouths of the people, as also in M.

रक "red," B. M. रकिमा "redness," and so in all the others, but as a rare and pedantic word.

गुक "white," B. M. गुकिसा "whiteness," and so in all the others, but as a rare and pedantic word.

Trumpp affiliates to this suffix a large class of words in S. in wifu and wiffer, supporting his theory by allusion to Vararuchi, v. 47. This passage merely directs that certain words, such as brahman, yuvan, adhunn, are to be declined like diman; thus, camba makes cambano; jura, jurano, etc. It is not, however, stated that this rule applies to formations in iman; and even granting that it does, we get imano, the change of which into dai or digi is not supported by any proof, and seems somewhat harsh, and opposed to the genius of the language. Moreover, in the closely allied and interchangeable form di, see (2), the a belongs to the adjective, and is a solitary instance of the retention of the older form of the oblique, which is still long in Gujarati, as सारी "good," obl. सारा, but has been shortened in S., as weit "white," ohl. we. In the case, therefore, of the words about to be quoted, I should say that the suffix was merely fin or the and regard it as the same as the primary suffix an mentioned in § 6. With this agrees the fact that the coguste languages have a form आश or आज, which is made only from adjectives in long a, so that the long vowel belongs to the stem. and not to the suffix. In Sindhi worfer "whitemes," from well "white," I should divide achhā-ni.

From wa, then, used as a modern suffix to adjectives which had already arrived at the stage in which they ended in long d, the following examples may be taken to have arisen:

H. कंचा "bigh," कंचान "beight," P. उसा, उचाय, S. कची "superior," कचाणि, G. कंची, कंचाय.

H. चीडा "brend," चाडान "breadth," P. id.

H. बोंडा "deep" (Old-H. उंडा), बोंडान, उडान, "depth," G. अंडो, अंडान

% विकिरो "broad," विकिराणि "breadth."

8. बही "great," बहाजि "greatness."

(5), w is suffixed to a large class of adjectives in Hindi, to denote condition, and agrees with our termination "-ness." This form is connected with that of the desiderative verb in Sanskrit, and some of the words of this class cannot be regarded as formed from nouns by the addition of a suffix, but are to be derived whole as they stand from Skr. The substantive in Wis accompanied by an adjective in HI, as fugit or unit "thirst," former "thirsty," which are not to be regarded as recent formations from 41, the root of 41-11 "to drink," but as from Skr. furial and furial respectively, these being the substantive and adjective from fuura "to desire to drink," desiderative of root "to drink." Skr. fugrer loses the long final d of the fem. and becomes H. fugit, while fugit apparently takes a, and becomes furified, whence would come a Pr. furified, and the wanishes, leaving H. fowier. The modern words would be more accurately written furth and further, as the medial u has disappeared (Vol. I. p. 199). Accordingly these words in most cases bear the meaning of "desire to do a thing," even where it is impossible or difficult to trace the word to any definite Skr. desiderative like fugra. And in those words which we cannot refer to a Skr. desiderative, it must still be held that the form is in itself by nature desiderative, and having approprinted to itself this meaning, has been tacked on to modern nouses to form abstractions, so that in a number of instances it legitimately comes under the head we are now considering, namely, that of secondary abstract nouns. Examples are found of three classes: first, words derived direct from Sanskrit derivatives; second, these derived from modern verbs (primary stems); third, those derived from modern nouns (secondary stems).

I.

Skr. पिपासा "thiest," H. पिकास (पियास, प्यास), and so in all the languages.

II.

H. रीना "to wrep," रोबास "desire to weep," "veration," P. इजास (rare).

H. मृतना "to urine," मतास "desire to make water," P. id.

H. इंबना "cacare," ह्यान "desire to stool," P. id.

111.

Skr. निद्रा "doop" (ताः नींद्), II. नींदास, निन्दास, "doomsliness."

II. ऊंच " elespinese," ऊंघास "poddlog," "incipient elesp."

H. ञ्चप "violence," H. ञ्चपास "a violent burst of rain."

H. मीठा "sweet," H. मिठास "sweetness."

Each of these words has its corresponding adjective, as पिकासा, रोकासा, मुतासा, हनासा, निन्हासा, अंघासा, but not gurer; but the stem itself is almost entirely confined to Hindi and Panjahi, only rarely occurring in the other languages, as M. बटास "sourness," from बटा "sour;" मिटास "sweetness," from मिट "sweet;" and with the short vowel मोडन "plump," îrom मोंडा "a awelling;" G. घोळाम "whiteness," irom घोळी "white;" मींडाम "sweetness," from मीडी "sweet;" कडवाम "difficulty," from agq "difficult," and a few more; and we may fairly assume that all the other words were modelled upon पिआसा, where the long d belongs to the root था. In रोबास we cannot look back to the desiderative of Skr., which is safety. which could in no way produce roded, but would result in some such form as ruled. Skakespeare, in his Dictionary, absurdly derives these words by adding the Skr. THE "hope," in which he is blindly followed by his faithful plagiarist Forbes. There are nouns with this termination which do not balong to this stem, as derer "a pole-axe," the former part of which is probably from niz (Skr. usa) "a knot," with some derivative

of the root wit "to cut," just as uty "un axe." The gaurded is a formidable weapon of bamboo with iron knots and clamps on it, and a small axe at the head. We might assume a form usury as its origin. There is also a word great "a small turban," the former part of which is green head," and the latter probably a derivative from un "to clothe," so that a form-probably a derivative from un "to clothe," so that a form-probably probable, the word itself grew up in modern times, and has no Sanskrit original at all.

The stems given above are the most common and widespread abstract forms. There may be in the various languages others; but they are rare and confined to special dialects, and do not require detailed notice in a work of this kind,

- § 18. The next class of secondary formations is that of adjectives denoting the possession of any article, or of any quality or tendency: the former are called possessives, the latter attributives, under which are included words denoting trades or professions.
- (I). The most important, and from its variety perhaps the most difficult to treat, of the stems of this class, is a group which has the following terminations:

Five Sanskrit stoms lie beneath the threefold division which is shown by the above list:

- . 1. इति, leaving इन् . nom. ई, as माजिन् "gardener," nom. माली.
- 2. क् and क्ष्म, leaving देव, का पहुत "mountain," पहुँतीय "mountaineer."
- 3. उत्र and उन्, leaving इक with gups, as घेट "Vela," वैदिक "tember of the Veda;" इक without gups, as घट "jar," घटिक "contained in a jar."
- 4. घ. leaving इस. as राष्ट्र "kingdom," राष्ट्रिय "belonging to a kingdom."
  - 5. Several suffixes leaving य, as जन "man," जन्य " popular,"

The difficulty consists, first, in the mutual interchange and fusion of these several stems, and secondly, in the fact that we cannot in all instances trace the modern word up to any distinct Sanskrit word. Of course when we can so trace it, all difficulty ceases; but there are many modern words which we can only vaguely identify with some Skr. root, but cannot tell through which of the above formations it has descended to us. A partial clae is afforded by the meaning in some cases, as the Skr. forms denote semetimes possessives, at others attributives or appellatives. But the lapse of time, the changes of meaning, and the facility with which one word lends itself to reasoning which would lead it either to a possessive or attributive origin, obscure the matter very much. I may come from 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the Skr. stems; tell and its fellows from 2, 3 and 4; tell can only come from 3.

It will tend to clearness to give first these words which can be traced without doubt to one definite Skr. stem, and then to discuss, as far as our present lights permit, the question of the doubtful forms.

(a). Following the order of the Sanskrit forms, we first treat of va, having for its nominative t. The commonest words of this class will be found in Ch. II. § 32. Others are the following:

H. डांडी and दांडी "rower," from Skr. इस्ती, one who uses a द्राड़ in the sense of "oar," (H. दांड and डांड), P. id., M. दंडी "one who carries a stall," B. O. डांडी (ddars) "rower."

Skr. 413 "reader," "learned," H. id., O. 4131, the name of a sept of Brahmans.

Skr. विषादी "one who has read the three Vedas," H. तेवादी. O. तिहारी. the name of a sept of Brahmus.

Skr. केंग्नरी "Hon," H. केंहरी, P. केंहर, S. केंहरि, G. केंसरी.

Str. चेंसी "prosperous," H. ऐसी, and so in all.

Skr. बुडी "leprous," H. कोडी, P. कुमती, S. कोइड्डी (which points rather to a form कुडित), G. कोडिग्री, M. कोडी, O. id. and कडी, B. कुटिया (बुडित).

Besides numerous Tatsamas in very common use in all the languages, as पापी "sinful" (पापिन), प्रमां "virtuous" (पापिन), रोगी "diseased" (रोगिन), and the like, S. has also दांडी "complainant," from दांड "complaint," which does not seem to be an Aryan word, but is probably corrupted from Arabic क्षेत्र "claimant," क्षेत्र "claim." In some cases of undoubtedly modern origin S. retains the final vowel of the original word, as मित "opinion," मतर "tenacious of one's opinion," "opinionated," where the final short i has been changed to a.

(3). The next form to is used to form principally patronymies, or adjectives denoting nationality or casts, and results in t and tor.

Skr. सिंधीय "a Sindhian," H. सिंधी, and so in all. In the same way are formed in S. मादी "a man of माद or Lower Sindh," स्पिर्द "a man of सिरो Upper Sindh;" हिन्द "that which belongs to a दिन्द Hinda," But O. uses the form दया, as चोडिया "an Oriya," from a Skr. form चोड़ोय, from चोड़, the old name of Orissa; also चीडिया "a Bengal," Skr. मोडीय, from चोड़, the old name of Bengal. Common to all is मराठी or मरेडो "a Markiba," from Skr. महाराष्ट्रीय. O. has

also द्विश्या or "या "worthy to be offered," Skr. द्विशोध. which, however, rather means "worthy to be honoured with offerings." Further instances are:

Skr. पर्वतीय "mountaineer," H. पर्वती, पर्वतिया (chiefly used of the Nepalese), P. id. and पर्वतीया, G. पार्वतीयो, M. B. O. पर्वतीय, G. बाल पर्वतिया.

Skr. देशीय "belonging to a country," H. देसी "native," as distinguished from "fireign," P. id., S. देहाई (from देझ) and देसी (from देसु), G. देशी. M. देशी and देशीय. O. देशी and देशीय, B. id.

Skr. (1214 "belonging to the kingdom," B. (121, O. H. ld. This word is not known in the other provinces, and denotes a class or casic coming from the (13, i.e. (13, or kingdom of Bengul, that is, the actiled and central parts of the country, as contrasted with the outlying and thinly peopled regions. Skr. has both forms (1214 and (154, but the former is commoner as a Tatsama in the moderns.

(y). We now come to the most important of these forms, that in (a). There are two classes of this stem in Skr., one which augments the vowel of the root, the other which does not. The distinction is maintained in Sindhi with tolerable accuracy, but not generally in the others. Words of this stem are generally used as names of trades or eastes.

Skr. मुख्डा "spiritnous liquor," मी फिडक "a distiller," H. सूंबी (कांक्रा), B. मुंबी, O. मुंडि.

Skr. तेलं "oll," तेलिक "oilman," H. तेली, and so in all.

Skr. ताम्बूच "betel-nut," ताम्बूचिव "s seller thereof," H. तांबोबी. P. तंबोबी. G. like H., M. तांबोठ्डी, B. O. तांबुबी.

<sup>\*</sup> Used chiefly in composition: when standing alone, it means an inhabitant of the Devk, an expression which implies the high table land of Maharashtra above the ghate or mountain range of the coast, and is opposed to Konkan, the low narrow strip of coast-line.

Skr. वेड् "Veda," वेदिव "learned in the Vedas," H. वेड्री.

Sicr. 南甸 "plant," 南南南 "grower of plants," H. 南南 "n caste of gardeners," M. id.

Formed upon the same model are the names of three great septs of the Kamuujia Brahmans; thus 23 is shortened from इवेटी, and that is from Skr. देवेदिन "one who knows two Vedas;" चीबे is चीबेदी, from चातंबेदिय "one who knows the whole four Vedas." The fuller form is retained in fait? "one who knows the three Vedas," " " Teleg. It is, however, equally correct to derive these forms from number 5 of the Skr. stems above, as in Skr. there are forms वेब, देवेब, चेवेदा, चारतंबा. In the case of wiel, the origin of the meaning is doubtful. The Kachhis are a widespread and very industrious caste of cultivators and market-gardeners, and their name may either be due to their growing vegetables, as one of the meanings of Sanskrit to is "a plant," or perhaps, and on the whole more probably, from the káchh or tightly-girt and tucked-up cloth round the loins, which is the only garment that they wear. Here may, perhaps, also be classed the common word H. कुर्सी and क्वी. M. जुड़ाबी, the name for ordinary peasants in M., and in H. that of an extensive caste. I do not know to what Skr. word this name is to be affiliated. It is also spelt जुनदी and कुंभी, and the name probably originated in comparatively modern times, and may have no connexion with the older language.

Sindhi examples of this stem are:

Skr. उष्ट्र "camel," श्रोद्रिक "camel-driver;" 8. उठु "camel," श्रोठी

- 8. देखि "earthenware," देखिरी "seller of pots."
- S. बक्रद "vegetables," बाकिरी "seller of vegetables."

This last word is, I think, not Aryan, but comes from the Arabic All "potherbs," whence the word so common in the other languages, Il bakkal, "a vegetable-seller." In Hindu-

stani the phrase baniya bakkal is colloquially used to indicate petty traders and shopkeepers of all sorts.

(δ). The suffix द्य is hardly to be distinguished from ξα, except in words which can be traced up to the Sanskrit. The typical word is

Skr. चप्रिय"a Eshattriya or man of the warrior caste," H. क्बी, खबी, खबी, P. id., S. खिबी, M. खबी.

(c). Stems formed with  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$  are very numerous, and, owing to the variations in meaning which modern words have undergone, are sometimes confounded with abstract means in  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ , like  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ ? "theft," from  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ , and, like them, frequently drop the  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$  altogether. Of stems which retain  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ , the following may be cited:

Shr. बाम्ब "belonging to a village," H. बामी, and so in all, but H. frequently ग्रामित and चाम्य, also गांदी and मंदी, from the Tadbhara गांद। Shr. मुख्य "principal," H. मुखी, P. मुखी, मुखीखा, S. मुखि, G. मुखी, मुखिको, M. मुखी, B. id., O. id. and मुखिका.

A very large class of words exists in all the languages which, from the absence of any special Sanskrit form to which to refer them, or from the existence of more than one Sanskrit form, cannot be definitely referred to any of the above heads. Such, for instance, is धनी "master," "lord," also "wealthy," a word in use throughout. Skr. has धनिन, धनिन and धन. In Old B. we find the word धनि "lady," which may either be shortened from धनिनी, or from धना.

M. is peculiarly rich in words ending in \$\Pi\$, which are perhaps generally to be affiliated to the stem in \$\Pi\$, as mentioned in \$9 (2), but may also be attributed to (\epsilon) by supposing the usual addition of \$\Pi\$, making \$\Pi\$. Some of the more striking of these words are given with the Marathi primary stems to which they are allied:

षदत "commission," षदता "commission agent," "broker." क्यूक "smartness," "a shock," क्यूब्रा "smart," "sharp," "active." क्षार "scheme," ख्याखा "scheming," " treacherons."

कराऊ "bullock-pack," कराक्या "di for carrying burdens," "a draught-horse or bullock."

कांचरा "a coorse blanket," कांचरुदा "one who wears a kilmbold," "a labouring man."

कावद "pole for energing loads," जावद्या "porter,"

खोद "leptosy," कोइया "leprous."

खबऊ "seah," खबऊया "seabby."

घाट "ghat," घाट्या "Brahman who attends at a ghit."

सीडा "bor=," घोडका "groom."

Here we have a curious preservation of an older form. घोडा is Skr. घोटक, Pr. घोटचो. The M. word points back to a form घोटकिया, घोटकिन् or घोटका, in which the स has been preserved as a fulcrum for the termination.

Gujarati has also words of dubious origin in (4) or (4). The double form arises from the unsattled nature of G. spelling, in which no distinction is made between long and short i. The two examples most frequently given, दुवियो "grieved," सुवियो "happy," do not appear to come from any of the above stems, but from Skr. दु:दिन and सुवित respectively. Other instances are:

निभाळ "school." निभाळियो "scholar" (perhaps Skr. नै:शालिक). भूमि "earth." भूमियो "earthy." O. भूया (perhaps Skr. भूमय, or भूमिक).

कोड "leprony," कोडियो "leprous" (Skr. is कुष्टिन्); see (a).

Without spending more time in considering the subject, it may suffice to suggest, that the fusion of several cognate forms into one, which is so common a feature in these languages, has been at work here also, and that the Skr. terminations given above may have been by careless or ignorant speakers added often to nouns which did not take such terminations in Sanskrit itself, so that words of this group may be referred at will to any of the five Sanskrit stems given, and in isolated instances to others also, as, for instance, H. fulnut "uncle," which is from none of the five stems, but from Skr. funa.

(2). Principally indicative of qualities or dispositions of the mind is the suffix sug, which, although occasionally found as a primary, is far more extensively used as a secondary suffix. Vararuchi (iv. 25) treats this suffix in Pr. as a substitute for mat (and mit), suffixes denoting possession, overlooking the fact that sug exists in Skr. already. In Pr., as also sometimes in Skr., the final s is dropped. This stem is found in different words in the seven languages; each language may therefore be illustrated separately.

Marathi, in which, whether from the greater perfectness of the dictionary, or because the language is really richer in those forms, a larger number of instances has been noted than in its sisters, uses the form with with the cerebral stand long a; it has also with, and occasionally even with, which latter points to the use of the universal stuffix, so that we should postulate a Skr. with. There is also found without, which would indicate a former without, with reference to the remarks in the concluding portion of the last acction. Thus we have:

Shr. ह्रपा "phy," M. ह्रपाळू, H. ह्रपानु-

Skr. ag "trouble," M. agio "laborious," "painstaking."

Skr. केम "hair," M. केसाकू and केसाक "halry."

Skr. गुडक "ball," M. गोंडा "knab," "taft," गोंडाळ "tufted grass."

Skr. [uncertain], M. Wisi "stone," visio "stony."

Skr. तुंड "spoot," "beak," M. तोंडा "moath," तोंडाळ "fool-monthed," "scurrilous,"

These three are combined in a proverb descriptive of the peasant's three greatest troubles—

## गवत गाँडाळ श्रेत धाँडाळ वायकी ताँडाळ

"Pasturage coarse and knotty, fields full of stones, and a scolding wife."

Skr. gu "hand." M. gia, id., gialos "this lih." "with itching pulm."

Another proverb runs—

## हाताळ पुरवतो परंतु तींडाळ पुरवत नाहीं

"The itching palm may be satisfied, but never the scaling tengue,"

Skr. घटिका "a measure of time, about half an hour," M. घडी id.,
घडाकि "an hatenment for measuring time," "a gong," H. घडियाज,
P. id., and so in all.

Skr. बात "wound," M. बाय id., बाबाळ "wounded."

Sir. चिक्कण "greaty," M. चीक "sup," "juice," चिकाळा विकाळा and चिकाळ्या "viscid," "genuny."

Skr. [uncertain], M. श्रोप and श्रोप "sleep," श्रोपाट्ड "sleepy."

As to this last word, the root at in Skr. means "to move," among other things, and the root au, which is in effect an old causal of at in its meanings of "sowing (grain)," "weaving" and "sewing," shows that the movement implied by the parent root is an oscillating movement backwards and forwards, like that of a weaver's shuttle, or a sower's hand; so that we may fairly suppose it to have obtained the secondary meaning of "nodding," as one does when drowsy. In confirmation of this, M. has also ariuf or ariuf "a swing;" so that we may assume a form after au, which would give are, the amaswara, or rather anunasika, being inorganic. (See Vol. I. pp. 177, 327, for the anunasika, boing inorganic. (See Vol. I. pp. 177, 327, for the

Shr. पिधान "covering," M. द्यांप "a mat," द्यांपाळ "matted or bushy" (a tree); see Vol. I. p. 177. Hence is formed a verb द्यांपाळणे "to be bushy and close of follage."

Skr. ममता "mineness," "seilishness," M. id., समताळू "seilish," "seifçooscious."

Skr. दुाव "milk," M. दू u id., दुषाळ "full of milk," "a milch com."

There are also in M. instances of this suffix taking the form ard, as in Sindhi, thus—

M. ala "a tile" alare "tiled" (as a building).

We now pass on to Gujarati, which, like M., principally uses the Æ, though words of this stem are also found with W, but perhaps only through a mistake of the writers. Authorities disagree very widely as to the spelling of Gujarati, which is not to be wondered at in the case of a language with a scanty literature, no fixed standard of pronunciation, and numerous dialects.

- (). जाज "shame," जवाळु "shamelist," " hashful."
- G. जंब "sleep." जंबाक "drowsy."
- G. वादा "word," वादाहा "loquacious," also in a good sense "eloquent."
- tl. रेती "sand," रेताक, रेताळ, and by some रेताल "sandy."
- G. पचरो " stone," पचराळ "stony."
- G. सोही "blood," नोही बाळ "bloody."
- G. दाडी "leard," दाडी आळ "branded."
- a. घडी "a ghari," घडी आळी "gong."

With words ending in this language affixes the termination, without modifying the stem word. In the case of nouns in \$\text{1}\$, it adds the suffix to the oblique form in \$d\$; the fem. in \$I\$ is the same in the oblique as in the nominative; so that we may say that in all cases the suffix is added to the oblique form. An exception is \$\tau\_{\text{1}\infty}\$, but here we derive from an earlier form \$\tau\_{\text{1}}\$ in use in the other languages. The language of course uses, like all its sisters, Tatsama words, at the discretion of the writer, and words like \$\text{pu}\_{\text{1}\infty}\$ are almost Tatsamas, the corebral \$\infty\$ being merely a vulgar pronunciation of \$\vec{w}\$. This suffix is found appended even to Persian words by the indiscriminating vulgar; thus from "shame," is formed \$\vec{w}\_{\text{1}\infty}\$ or \$\vec{w}\_{\text{1}\infty}\$ "bashful."

Sindhi more frequently changes w to T (Vol. I. p. 247). Thus

- S. मेदि "buffalo," मेहाद "buffalo-herd."
- S. ध्या " herd of cattle," ध्याद " herdsman."
- S. 33 "camel," will's "camel-herd."
- S. অত "barley," ব্ৰাজু "(wheat) mixed with barley."

8. घडी "bour," घड्डान्, "स्वो "gong." S. सुदु "clauds" (callective), सुद्धानी "cloudiness,"

The final vowel, whether it be the u of the mase, or the i of the feminine, disappears before the suffix; but long t is retained, merely hardened into u, as in M. Quasi-Tatsamas also occur, which are simply due to the fact of the words being honestly written as the people pronounce them, and not as Pandits would have them; such are facing, gain, for many, gain.

The word factory "candlestick," from fact "a light," is quoted by Trumpp in this place; but it would appear to be questionable whether we have not here a compound from all + may. I shall show presently that compounds of this sort are not unfrequent, and often render it difficult to determine whether any word belongs to this class or not. In this and other languages compounds whose last member is and "time," or may "abode," as will be exhibited hereafter, come under this category, and the confusion is increased in M. and G. by the substitution of the cerebral & for a in these words, as well as in the suffix and.

Panjabi has not any special fondness for the Teound, nor does it particularly affect stems of this formation. Instances are, saidel "woollen," from sa or sal "wool;" adjet "distressed," for adjet, from and "trouble" (Skr. ag). In this case the possessive signification is sometimes lost, and the word used merely as an intensive substantive. Assumed "thorny," from the "thorn" (Skr. aga), where the short i represents the sof the oblique form as. agazies "a large earthen pot," from as "a pot," here again intensive. Azim (ironical) "a vain, pompous man," that is, one who has a gong beaten before him as he walks, from azi "a bell," "a gong." There is also, as in the above-quoted languages, the common word alzama "gong," from azi; but the language prefers the cognate forms of this stem in it, at, and ait, as we shall see presently.

Bangali and Oriya, being languages originally poor, and

having, therefore, a smaller stock of Tadbhavas than the others, are not fertile fields for secondary formations. In them such stems are mostly Tatsamas, like surg; and it will not be productive of any large results to adduce instances from them. The words which the student may meet with will be readily recognizable as belonging to this stem; such as, unergardene," already cited; O. Guise "burglarious," from figure burglar's hole," sometimes written figures for figure; which "middling," from with (Skr. www) "middle;" figures "piercing," from figure hole."

With regard to Hindi, usually so rich in primary and secondary forms, it is somewhat strange to find so few illustrations of this widespread stem. Apart from Tatsamas, it has, however, forms in dhe, and, owing to its habitual neglect of final short vowels, also de, but displays, like Panjabi, a marked preference for the forms in il, ail, et, and at. It also in many instances changes t to r (see Vol. I. p. 247), thus producing dr, with variants drd and drd, where the final u is preserved by being lengthened. The following list will suffice to illustrate all these forms.

H. क्रांड "shade," क्रांडारा "umbrageous" (not from क्रांव + हारा, as Forbes puts lt; the ह belongs to the root).

H. घडी "honr," घडियान "gong."

H. दूध "milk," दुधार "milch," and दुधान, B. id., O. दोहन.

H. गोन "ball," गोनारा "globular,"

U. चिन्ह "mark," चिन्हार "recognition."

Skr. मेमन् "love." पियारा "beloved" (as though प्रिमानु for में).

H. रत "saml," रतामा "sandy" (more generally रतला, see further on).

Many of these words, as in the other languages, have lost the possessive or qualitative sense, and have become simple attributes.

- (3). Closely allied to the last, and like it used to express possession of a quality, is the Skr. va, which in Pr. often doubles the w. making va. This suffix in the moderns takes a lengthened form var or va, and combines with a preceding a or d into va. var, and va. As remarked above, it is a favourite in H. and P., though less frequent in the other languages. These two languages have forms in cla, where the si probably arises from confusion with dia, unless we here admit a change of d into c, noted as not unfrequent in Vol. I. p. 136.
  - H. गाँउ "knot," गडीला "knotty," P. id.
  - H. गडा "pit," गडेला "large pit" (intensive).
  - IL कंबर "gravel," कंबरीना "gravelly."
  - H. घर " house," घरला " domesticated," " tame."
  - H. घास "grass," घसीला "grassy."
  - H. बोट "wound," बुटीबा "bruised."
  - H. क्व "beauty," क्वीना "beantiful." As in Bibari Lal's fanciful verses;

## नांक चढे सीवी कर जिते इबीकी देन॥ फिर फिर भूनि चहैं गई पिय कंकरोनी शैन॥

Sami, 224.

- H. कार् "shade," क्यिना " shady."
- H. दंक "sting," इंकीना "armed with a sting" (said of inserts, as यह कीटा दंकीमा है "this insect has a sting").
- H. इक्का " show," इकेनू " In the habit of butting" (said of horned animals), P. धकेनू, fem. धकेनी -
  - H. इब "form," हबीना "well-shaped," "comely,"
  - II. तोंद "belly," तोंदेन "pot-bellied."
  - H. जन "forest," वर्नेना " wild," "jongly."
  - H. रेत "sand," रेतीचा "sandy," "gritty."

H. बोझ "lond," बुद्धेल "load-bearing," subst. " a beast of barden."

H. सीत "rival wife" (Skr. सपत्नी), सीतेजा "belonging to a rival wife," as in the phrase सीतेजा भाई that is, "a brother, son of the same father, but by a different mother," "a balf-brother."

H. चींक "start" (Skr. चमत्कार). चींकेल "a horse that is given to shying or starting,"

There seems to be no rule for the use of one of these forms instead of another, though in practice we find that one word always uses eld, and another ild, while a third has only the form aild. With respect to the use of the final d, it may perhaps be laid down that such words as have a distinctly adjective sense always take the final d, but such nouns as are used substantively roject it. Thus, if we should say, "he is my half-brother," we must use eld, as widher with the would say, "this man and woman are my brother and sister by the father's side, but by different mothers," we might say, "they are my saulels," and widh a. So also derisively, "Ho, pot-belly!" would be, we night say.

Those words in which Panjabi agrees with H. have been noted above; other instances peculiar to that language are these which follow. घटड in the sense of halting, gives घटकेंगे (f.) "a woman that walks mineingly, or affectedly," sometimes, but incorrectly, written घटकों, possibly from some idea of its being in some way connected with चित्र "play." From घट "stoppage," घटन "a horse that jibs," G. घटिया.

P. चस "strength," "drawing-power," वर्सेन्द्रा "asteingent" (asid of medicines).

Ar. ८ = "autocation," (in India) "rage," पुतेला "augry," घुतेल "a bad-tempered person."

P. घनरा "petricoat," घनरें "a woman who wears a petricoat," i.e. a virtuous woman; prostitutes do not wear the petricoat in some parts, nor the drawers, but only the loin-cloth, al-hi.

- P. द्वांब "storm," मुंबेस subst. " quarrel," " affray," " uproar."
- P. चक्का " weary," व्यक्ता " easily fatigued."
- P. 33 " milk," 303 " a milch cow." H. has also this word.
- P. पत्वर "stone," पवरीला, पवरिला "stons."
- P. ज्ञ "shame," सर्वीसा "shamefast."
- P. 제言行 "the middle" (the name applied to the central part of the Panjab between the Ravi and Bias rivers), 其實明 "a man from the Manjha,"

P. makes the feminines of words of the form eld in o, see Ch. II. § 33 (3). As between all and el, it may be decided that the latter is a softening of the former, which is again shortened from all आइल, where the d belongs to the root. This applies to H. also; thus क्रिया "shady," is for क्रिया, which is क्रिया + इला. while क्रिया is क्रिया + इला. The difficulty lies in this, that often where we have eld, we cannot find the termination in long d in the root; thus there is no reason to believe that चन "forest," was ever चना, yet we require चना to give us चनेना, i.e. चना + इल. The rule will not therefore apply in all instances.

Sindhi has (e) and vel, but also, in conformity with its usual custom, (e) and vel. The long vowel, as in H. and P., seems to have arisen from rejection of one of the two Is of Pr. ve.

रंबो हरु "obstinacy," इटीबो "obstinate," G. id.
देरो खांध "patience," खांधोरो "patient."
एको खर "the desert," खरेबो "a man from the That,"
" चमु "leather," चमेको "leathern."

. चांव "shado," चांवेसी "shady." एरी थ. चांवेरी थ.

.. इम् "a kind of grass," दामरी "made of daths grass."

With Similia on the one hand, and Hindi on the other, is connected in respect of this suffix Gujarati, having in some instances the same words as the former, as in volunt, and in others the same as the latter, as दुधाळ = H. दुधार, also दुधेळ = H. and P. दुधन. Its usual forms are देशों and एरो. As

नातुं "relationship," जातीचो "related, by blood or marriage." घणु "much," घणेरो "abundant" (only need in poetry). माठुं "bad, defective," माठेरो "scanty." घाम " sacat," घमेळो " sweaty."

The language does not seem to be rich in this class of forms. Marathi has numerous formations in in, is, and un, also in ut and ut. Care must be taken to distinguish from words of this class those words which present long i from the tendency of this language to lengthen vowels in a final syllable (Vol. I. p. 155), such as allow "perverse," "malicious," which is merely a vulgar pronunciation of Skr. allow "crooked," Further are to be eliminated a small class of compound words in which what forms the last member, as laife "temple," = laive; also familiar words such as alle or alle "ancestor," from Skr. as through a now disused as and arise "a wife," from ait. These seem to be a sort of diminutives.

As genuine possessives may be cited-

कड "side," "direction," कडी स "relating to a quarter or direction." वाइब "rock." खबबील "rooks." खाल (adm.) "below," खानीज "belonging to the lower part." गोड "sweetness," गाडीक "weetigh." कांटा "thorn," खाँटरा "thorny,"abo जाँटर "a thorny shrub." def. कांटीम "a thorny creeper." (Skr. 明明) 短明 "a चर्चर "divided into squares " (a cloth). division." (Slar. याणि) खण "an खबीन "digging up plants" (most.). escavation," गांडा "toft," गंदिरा "tuited," uju "stanch," बाबेरा "otlaking" also बाबेजा.

घास "sweat."

जुना "eld."

जुनेरें "old clothes," "rage" (subst.).

घट "encumbrance,"

घट "encumbrance,"

चास "smell."

वासरा. वासेना "feild." "rancid."

Here, as in the other languages, the meaning of the possessive has in several cases passed over into that of a substantive.

In Oriya this suffix is not common: an instance is referring thandsome," from refer. I have not found any others, except Tatsamas. The dictionary (Sutton's), however, is a very meagre one, and I have not heard the form in speaking. The same may be said of Bengali, in which language secondary formations of all kinds are comparatively rare, or, if they exist in rural districts, are not fully recognized as other than mere local corruptions. There is a vast field for research in this direction.

(4). The combination of the suffix in with a preceding a is rare, though not altogether non-existent in Prakrit. Vararuchi gives only one instance, cidrullo, for Skr. factoral "changed." The suffix are with the long vowel is found in a few cases in Skr., as arms (also args) "mad," "gonty" (i.e. affected by wind), from ara "wind." In the modern languages it is also rare. For Sindhi Trampp gives no examples, and does not even introduce the suffix in his very full and well-arranged list of secondary stems. There are, however, a few words which may be referred to this suffix in all the languages. Thus

Shr. बातुन "a stroke of wind," S. बाउल "blight from wind," बाउर "winnowing grain." II. बाउरा "mad" (from the meaning of Skr. बातुन given above), also written बाजला, बावना and बादरा. P. writes the word बाउरा, बाउरा, and बादला. (). बाउ "toolish." M. बातूङ "data-lent," also a corrept form बायरूङ "whiriwind," The word बाजल, meaning "a kind of soil on the banks of rivers," seems as if it belonged to this stem; but the connexion is difficult to trace, unless it he "striven by the

wind," such as are the sand-drifts which the violent winds in the dry season heap up in the beds of rivers all over India. B. बाउडा and बाउड "mad," बाउडी "whirlwind," बाबोस "winnowing," O. बाबोस्टा "mad."

From M. I have picked out the following, which should probably come under this head.

इस्क "enrly" (Skr. कुर्ज), खाणीरा "gluttonom," from खार्या "to eat," a primary formation, गामूळ "bloated," "pulpy" (like a pregnant female), from गाम fectus.

Of more extended use are-

Skr. बाम "heat," "sweat," M. बामोळी: बामोळे "prickly heat," "an croption caused by excessive heat of the weather," H. B. बमोरी. hat O. बामर.

Skr. मधा "middle," H. सझीबा "middle-sized," "intermediats," P. सझीळा and मझळा, S. however संझारी, B. and O. सझुबा. It is said in O. of the second of three brothers, thus बढ भारे "eldest," मझुबा "second," क्षीट "youngest."

From Skr. uin in the sense of "defect," "failure," comes P. uince, uince "lazy," "careless," not found in the other languages; also uiges "quarrel," from uig "snare," "deceit;" uingen; "dear," from uig "fondling," and some few more. H. उट्टान "joccee," from उट्टा "jest." The formation is not, however, a very common one in any of the modern languages, any more than it is in Skr. or Pr.

(5). All the various forms of this group of w stems still further soften down to an obscure al, generally but not always preceded by y, thus giving यज्. The typical word is

Skr. घात "wound," H. घाव, M. G. id., S. P. घाउ, B. O. घा. whence comes Old-H. घाइन, H. घायन, P. O. id., P. also घाइन, S. घायनु, M. घायाळ, B. घानि, inverted from घाइन, O. id.

Here only M. has the long d, and is probably from dis, while the rest are from ila, so that they might be referred to a Skr. form wifes, where the a has gone out as usual, and the intermediate form was probably wifes. Panjabi has a great preference for this shortened form, awing perhaps to its fondness for short vowels and habitual neglect of the principle of compensatory lengthening. Even where a word is written with a long vowel, the Panjabi peasant will often slur it over so that it sounds short. Thus

बहुल "knotty." from बहु "knot." नेघट्टा "muddy," "turbid," , संघ "fith." घरन "cavity," "hollow," , घर "house."

दुसज़ू for दुसर्जू "belonging to the other side," दुस्सर् "the other side." They say मोली दुसज़ू निक्क गई "the bellet went right through, and came out at the other side."

रेतना "sandy," , देत "sand." फोलना "Insipid." , फोल "dregs." नाइट्टा "dear," , जाइ "fondling," II. नाइनी.

As an instance of this form may be cited

H. असमा "foremost," "in the front," P. id., S. अन्ते "superior," G. आनमा (prep.) "before," M. अगळा "superior," B. O. आगचि, to which corresponds H. पिछना "hindmost," with a similar series in the reat, as P. पिछना, etc.

Singhi has a set of stems in in preceded by a short vowel, which, however, do not seem to be connected with this suffix, but rather with the Skr. diminutives in w and T, and may therefore be relegated to the section which treats of those developments.

This form is usual in Oriya, as winds "fleshy," from wie "flesh;" weeds "deceitful," from wee "a cheat."

One form fuses into another, or into several others, so constantly in these languages, that the inquirer is at every step howildered by their similarity, and as the meanings, which are after all the safest guides, have also commonly been lost or

ahaded off by metaphors, or by local circumstances, into other classes, there is often little help to be found from them. It is probable, however, that in all except Sindhi, the group of forms in I preceded by short a has really been derived from ala, ila, or one of the cognate forms in Sanskrit; and it must be perpetually remembered that the modern languages often add a termination, which has for them acquired some special meaning, to roots and primary stems in which it does not exist in Sanskrit, or, if it existed, has not been handed down to us, so that the fact of the form itself not being found in Sanskrit is no argument against its being a genuine one for the modern vernaculars. When also the moderns tank on these expressive endings to Tadbhavas whose origin is uncertain, the inference is that they fully recognized the effect produced by such endings, and used them at will, thus constructing numerous quite recent words of their own.

§ 19. The Sanskrit suffix \$\tal{7}\$, though in form identical with the past participle, is also largely used to form secondary nouns, originally possessive in meaning, but shading off like the other similar suffixes into attributives and mere appellatives. It is the parent of a very large range of forms in the modern languages, the most common of which is that which amalgamates the i of the suffix with the long \$\textit{a}\$ of the stem, producing in H. the common form in \$ait\$, though the others frequently keep the two vowels distinct, and \$M\$, more suo lengthens \$\tal{a}\$ to \$\frac{1}{2}\$. Hindi having got hold of \$ait\$ as a termination expressive of habitual occupation, possession or profession, adds it, regardless of etymology, to words which have no final \$\tal{a}\$, shortening the long vowel of the root. Thus from

H. दान "shield," H. दर्नत "shield-bearer," P. दर्नत, M. टानाईत, B.

H. श्रवह "strut," H. श्रवहत "a strutting, swaggering fellow," P.

More regularly, however, from words ending in d, as-

H. कहना "war-song," "pann," कहनि "a warrier-bard," P. id.
H. चर्चा "report," "news," चर्चेत "a gossiping person."
H. ट्या "wrangiing," "añray," ट्येत "a brawler," P. id.
H. चहा "spear," चर्चेत "apearman," P. id.
सता "relationship," चर्चेत "a relation."

In Sindhi this suffix is found in two forms, eto and aito. The s of the former arises from the fact that this suffix is added to the oblique form of the noun, thus yz "son," oblique yz putra, whence we get putra+ito=putreto, year "having a son." In feminines in a the oblique also ends in a; thus fur "daughter," furni "having a daughter," from dhia+ito. Some words in w maso, do not change in the oblique, and in this case the w is elided; but a consciousness of its having been there prevents the i of the suffix from smalgamating with the final vowel of the root, thus भाउ "brother," भारतो "having a brother," for bha(u)+ito. Irregular is situal "having a wife," from alt "wife," where we should expect alital for joi+ito; it has probably been constructed on the model of putreto, without reflecting on the origin of the form. Another irregular word is वाटाइतो "having a road," from वाट "road," which should be edteto like dhieto.

With adjectives the suffix ito is added to long a, as

बाराहती "timely," from बारी "time."
सद्द्वाहती "opportune," सद्द्वा "opportunity."
सुटाहती "sultry," " सुट "sultriness."
विद्वाहती "sleepy." " निद्व "sleep."
विमाहती "regular," " नेमु "rule."

This last word is also used as an adverb "regularly," "methodically," and this is the case with several other words of this stem, in Sindhi; as चर्याहतो "madly," from चर्यी "mad."
पत्थाहतो "respectably," " पति "honour."
विचाहतो "safely," " विचो "charge," "sare" (विचे
"in charge of").

Working round in our usual circle, we come next to Gujarati, which has forms in iyo produced by the rejection of the state of to. These were mentioned in § 18 (1), c. The form in mit is not given in any of the grammars or dictionaries, and does not appear to exist, though it is strange that words like atta, which breathe the spirit of the old Rajput heroes, should not have survived among the descendants of the war-like Chalukyas. Of the there are a few examples, as

बाकीख "recognition," बाकोखितो "an acquaintance." सान "honour," मानितो "respected," "a man of rank." बाग "knowledge," बागितो "akilled," "a complement."

These are also written with long t, as sight, an approach to Marathi pronunciation. In the latter language the formation in this is common as an attributive principally: thus

कडकड "a crackling sound," कडकडीत "that which crackles," also metaphorically "prompt," "amart."

चसखस "sound of rustling of dry grass," खसखसीत "rough," "blunt," "plain-poken."

इण्ड्य "bang! bang!" इण्ड्याति "nolsy"(said of a feetivity). इव्ह्य "squash!" इव्ह्योत "soft," "polpy," "squashy."

So fond of expressive formations is Marathi, that a very long list of words of this class might be adduced; they are mostly reduplicative. Of the form with, one or two instances have been given already, as weith, similar; another is yesten "one that takes the lead," from yes "front." M. and O. have from west "sword," with O. "swordsman," and with M. It would not be unreasonable to suppose that the Marathas

borrowed this word from the Oriyas; the Khandaits of Orissa formed once a very powerful and numerous body of soldiers. Under the Hindu Rajas they were the militia or landachr of the province, and are still found as village watchmen in the South, though in northern Orissa they have beaten their swords into ploughshares, and are industrious cultivators. The khanda, or short double-edged sword, was the sign manual of the kings of Orissa, and is found rudely engraved on all their copperplate grants, thus. The Marathas may have borrowed the word during their long period of sway in that country, as it is strange that it is only found in these two languages, and has a political significance only in Orissa. Or has also

बाह्यद्व "one who collects firewood, straw, etc., for sale," from बोह्य

सेवाइत "a wershipper," "one who attends regularly on an idol," from सेवा "worship."

And with a further suffix aka-

पळातक "a fugitive," from पळाचन "dight," for पळाइतक.

रिकारत "heir apparent to a throne," from रिका "the tilak or mark of vovereignty," H. टीकेत.

बटाइत "chopper," from कटान "cutting."

गलाइत "watchman," "guard for travellers," from मना "traveller."

The gantail was a man who escorted the pilgrims to the ahrine of Jagannath over the dangerous and difficult roads of former days. His occupation is gone in these peaceful times, but the title remains to his descendants, who are now ordinary peasants.

Bengali inverts the suffix into dtl, as in Haral "worshipper," for Haran, but does not use many words of this stem.

Tatsamas of this form are common in all the languages, and inasmuch as its would in Prakrit drop the R and become iya, and thence I, the early Tadbhavas formed by this suffix add another element of uncertainty to the numerous words in long i in all the seven languages. This has been mentioned above under that stem.

§ 20. Most frequent in Sanskrit as a suffix indicating possession is सतुत्. This forms adjectives having for nominative मान् (m.), मती (£), मत (n.), also बान्, बती, बत्. In Prakrit the form becomes बनी, बनी, बनी, बनी, पि Prakrit form is preserved with some modification in early Tadbhavas; but all the languages have a certain number of instances of late Tadbhavas and Tatsamas with the Skr. formation, such as धनवान् "wealthy," which is in use in all. Sindhi, however, must have a vowel-ending, come what may, so it writes द्यादान् "compassionate," and with very slight corruption सीनवान् "virtaons," for Skr. भीचवान् : विद्यादान् "learned," for Skr. विद्यादान् Of early Tadbhavas with the Pr. form बनी, the following are examples: G. द्यादंत" pitiful," धनदंत "wealthy," जसमीवंत "presperous" (Skr. जन्मायंत "bashful" (Skr. जन्मायंत "bashful" (Skr. जन्मायंत ), but these are not very common.

Far more general is the contracted form with exytone forms with and with. With the change of form has come a very extensive change of meaning, so that it is not easy in all cases to see by what mental process the modern signification can be traced back to the original idea of possession.

In Hindi the form in win is almost identical in meaning with that in va. and indicates only rarely possession, as zaid, the name of a troublesome class of vagrant beggars, who in the Panjab lay claim to miraculous powers of warding off evil. They are so called from the zw., a hideous little instrument like a drum, with a bullet at the end of a string attached to it, with which they keep up a ceaseless rattle; so that the word would mean "possessing a dak," P. zwid and zwid, the latter for zwid. Less directly possessive is H. word of a wal" (Skr. www.), or "husband's elder brother," P. faga.

which, however, may be a compound from Mu + ya. Hindi has a string of feminines in Ma, indicating generally action, in some of which we can get the idea of possession, by treating them as adjectives compounded with a fem. substantive.

Thus avid "patrimony," "paternal inheritance," is explainable as वपावती संपत्ति "wealth possessed by, or belonging to, a father," where, however, the idea of possession is rendered passive, as we could not translate it "possessing a father," though this would be more in accordance with the original meaning. We must not be surprised at inversions of this sort in a popular and unreasoning language. Thus, for instance, the Latin suffix -bilis, which originally meant "able to do," is now generally used in the passive sense of "able to be done," amabilis, aimable, amiable, do not now mean "able to love," but "able to be loved," "that which may be loved;" and in the numerous hybrid words which we have formed by adding -able to Teutonic roots, the same rule prevails: thus we say, for instance, catable, drinkable, meaning "that which may be eaten or drunk," not "that which can eat and drink." The monstrous modern word reliable, which is creeping into our language in spite of protest, can have no meaning at all. A reliable statement means literally "a statement that can be relied," which is nonsense; we say "a statement that can be relied on," so that the word, if allowed to exist at all, should be "relionable"!

The majority of these feminines cannot well, by any inversion or supplying additional meanings, be invested with a possessive sense. They refer mostly to accounts and business, and we may supply a substantive way or win. Thus

समझोती "act of explaining," from समझाना "to explain," generally guilful "settlement of accounts," ,, बुधाना "to explain," ) used to gether, P. id, सनीती "giving security for one," ,, सनाना "to come to trust," P. id., (). सनीती

चुकोती "closing an account," from चुकाना "to settle," "wind-up," P. id.

भरीती "payment in full," " भर "full," " complete."

Others, again, are general in meaning, and have no reference to the primitive idea of possession, as

इरीती "the beginning of the ploughing season," from इस "plough" (also हरसोत).

पुनीती "act of encouraging troops," from चुनना "to array."

हिनोती "supplication" (probably only a sulgar corruption of Skr. विनयवती प्रार्थना "modest prayer").

समीती "flesh," "ment" (derivation meertain), P. M. id., G. साब. कुडीती "ransom," from कुडाना " to ransom."

Panjabi has also a fair number of words of this kind, mostly identical with Hindi. G. uses principally with and sol, as in writed, quoted above, unsol "explanation." M. writes soll, as goven, corresponding to H. gold, or sol, as unsol. H. unsilal. In B. and O. the practice of prenouncing a as a has led to the confusion of words of this form with those formed by the suffix ti. In Hindi also it is a fair presumption that many of the words just quoted may be ascribed to the suffix fa. the sol which is lengthened, as in the cases quoted in \$12. Thus words which have all added to the root direct, are from the simple root, while these in which the all is preceded by wit, are from the causal root, whose old form ended in du; thus suffal would be for sure fa, from sures. the older form of the causal

Here comes in more confusion. In H. and P., and occasionally in the other languages also, are found words which we are tempted to affiliate to this suffix, but which are written with Z. It is probable that these words should have T, and the use of Z is due partly to ignorance, and partly to their having

been confounded with words of the form waz, already discussed in § 16 (3). Some are found in P. with both a and z. as in the following list:

- H. सच "true," सचीटी "truthfalores."
- H. Hrw "hand," Ewill "dexterity," P. id.
- H. इर्ना "10 grasp," हराँटी "stall."
- H. मींस " horn," सियोटी " a barnisher made of horn," P. id., " a small horn."
  - P. सिख्या "teaching" (Skr. शिचा) सिखीती and सिखीडी "Jastruction."
  - P. सिंड "upright," सिखीट "uprightness" (also सिधीती).

Under this head, and to be distinctly referred to the suffix and by clision of the q, and occasional lengthening of the preceding vowel, are to be classed the following Sindhi words:

भरों "load." भर्येतु "a porter." पोर्ह्यों "labour," (Skr. पीक्ष्यं) पोर्ह्यंतु "labourer." जिक्क्यों "debt." जिक्क्यातु "debtor."

With characteristic change of  $\pi$  to Z, occur several words which may with great probability be ascribed to this suffix, though Trumpp would refer them, judging apparently chiefly by their sense, to the stem in  $\psi\psi$  through the Pr. form  $\pi\psi$ . Such are

कोवन "boy," क्वीकराट "boyhood" (quasi Skr. शावकरवत कार्च).

This is the only instance he gives among secondary forms. When treating of primary forms, he deduces this stem from the Skr. affix \$5, as noted in \$16(2). From my own notes I take the following:

कंघु "shoulder," कंघारु "a bullock's collar" (quasi Skr. संघयद् यन्त्रं "machine for the shoulder").

§ 21. Closely allied to the preceding is the Sanskrit suffix a, which is divided into two, was being added to nouns of

place to indicate attributives, as दिशास "belonging to the South," and सप added to indeclinables to form words implying production, as तवस "produced there."

The use of these two forms has been very widely extended in the modern languages under the form \$\mathbb{A}\$, which arises naturally from \$\mathbb{H}\$ (see Val. I. p. 327). I shall show reasons in a future chapter for believing this suffix to be the origin of the Marathi genitive in \$\mathbb{H}\$. At present I confine myself to the stems which it forms, merely observing that these stems are more frequent in, if not entirely confined to, Sindhi and Marathi,—a circumstance which adds confirmation to the theory of the genitive, by showing that the use of this suffix was familiar to the natives of those two provinces.

In Sindhi the suffix is preceded by t or e; the former is used where the stem is a feminine in short i, in which the long t of Sanskrit has been shortened in the primary stem, because it stands at the end of the word, but preserves its full length when the suffix is added. Thus

Skr. वृष्टी "Inclosure," S. वृद्धि "thicket," "jangle," वृद्धीचो "be-

Added to masculines in o or w it takes e, the old oblique form, as

8. गोंडु "village," गोंडेची "belonging to the same village."

S. पाडी "quarter," पाइचा "of the same quarter (of a town),"

8. पादि "opposite," पार्चो " from the other side."

Marathi does not insert any junction vowel, as

M. ut "house," utul "domestic."

M. qt "above," qtu "superior."

M. win "within," wing "inner,"

M. yal "frant," yeal "unterior,"

М. मार्ग "behind," सामचा "ponterior."

Substantives are also formed in this way, as which "salted food," "pickle," from way "salt." I can hardly venture so far as to say that this stem is not found in the other languages at all; for I think I have seen isolated instances in P. and G.: but I may safely say that it does not in any, except Marathi and Sindhi, attain to anything like general use. In those two languages it vindicates its claim to be considered as a descendant of stems in W, both from the phonetic consideration, and from the fidelity with which it rotains the meaning of possession, combined most frequently with that of place. I cannot accept Dr. Trampp's theory, which would connect this stem with the Skr. ika through a change of k to ch.

Ika is one of the great k group, of which so much was said under the primary stems, and which must be again introduced here, because it is extensively worked to form secondary stems. We have already seen what ika becomes as a primary among the rest, and shall not be led to suspect it of changing to ck. In Vol. I. p. 269, it was shown that this organic change, though there are traces of it in Skr. and Pr., is not by any means a characteristic feature of the modern languages, and the few instances in which it does occur are those of initials.

To come to the group in ka, which need not, however, detain us long, as in the discussion of its use in primary stems, the method of its application was explained. Of aka as a distinctly secondary form little trace, if any, exists,—that is to say, we cannot point to a class of words being either abstract nouns, possessives, or appellatives, which are evidently formed from other nouns. Of ika, in Marathi, forming nouns in Ψ1, and of its connected forms in the other languages, notice was taken in § 18 (1), γ, to which I have only to add some cases in which the Ψ is retained, as in Sindhi

वापार्को "mercaatile," from वापाइ "trade." वाधिको "commercial," " वाको "shopkeeper." हारिको "rustie," " हारी "pensant." In the other languages this form is rare, and generally found in Tutsamas or very recent Tadbhavas, such as **ETHITES** in use in all, "relating to an assembly," from **EHIM** "an assembly."

चन is used in Sindhi to make adjectives implying habitual actions or states, thus also inhabitants of any country.

हाजू "injurious," from हाजि "injury,"
वेरू "revengeful," , वेरू "revenge."
हाएक "mountaineer" , हुएइ "hill."
बाखिक "a man of Bakhar," , बखद "Bakhar."

Such secondary words of this type as exist in the other languages, as, for instance, H. 32 "a glutton," from 32, have been sufficiently exhibited under primaries, and need not be again refurred to.

There is only one other member of the k-group which remains. Sindhi adds oko to nouns and adverbe to signify adjectives of time, as

> वरिहोको "yearly," from वरिक्र "year." रातीको "nightly," . राति "night."

In all these cases the final vowel of the stem is rejected, and the suffix joined to the bare root.

§ 22. As we draw near to the end of this long series of stems, the illustrations become more and more confined to one or two languages, and we seem to have exhausted the powers of those members of the group which are least prolific. Marathi and Sindhi keep up the game to the last, and in this, as in all other respects, show themselves more fertile in varied developments than their sister tongues. But this fertility is not for them a legitimate cause for beasting; they are, on the contrary, suffering from an objectionable plethorn of forms. Among all the vast range of secondary forms there is very little variety of meaning; the English -y, -ness, -ship, and -hood, do between them as much

work as thirty or forty different suffixes in Sindhi, and it is not pretended that each of these suffixes has in itself some subtly restricted shade of meaning which separates it from the others, and renders it in a special manner appropriate to the individual word with which it is bound up. Bengali gets on very well with one or two, and Hindi with eight or ten suffixes; but Marathi and Sindhi cannot be contented without exhibiting some suffix for each of the innumerable Sanskrit pratyayas, both kriduata and tuidhita. Under these circumstances it seems needless to wear out the reader's patience by going minutely into each one of them, more especially as some of them are of such rare occurrence as only to have a word or two spiece. I shall therefore wind up this portion of the subject by briefly enumerating, with one or two examples to each, such remaining forms as I have met with; and if the reader should be acquainted with any other forms than those I have set down-as readers acquainted with the spoken vernaculars probably will be-he will have no difficulty in determining where to place it in the general system.

The Skr. suffix मद्य produces a stem in आँओ in Sindhi, as दृद्ध "turmeric," दृद्धांची "coloured with tarmeric."

I am unable to find any traces of this form in the other languages, except in Tatsamas. The Wis dropped, giving a Pr. TW, and the H softens into anundsika, with lengthening of its vowel, which is then brought forward in order that the anundsika may be utilized in filling the hiatus. The process as a whole seems unique, though resting on phonetic changes for which there is abundant analogy.

Sindhi has also stems in irs, from the Skr. suffix \(\zeta\), in which it stands alone, as in Skr. \(\zeta\) forms diminutives, in which practice it is followed by the other languages. Sindhi, however, makes appellatives and attributives, as

HIGH "housebreaker," from HIG "a hole made by burglars."

The same language has also a series, peculiar to itself, of stems in with, which are in the main possessives. Thus

बोद "thief," चोराणो "of a thief." चंदु "moon," चांदिलो "moonlight." सेंद्र "merchant," सेंद्रलो "of a Seth."

Connected with which are stems in dal, as patronymics, thus

आयांकी "ean of आरी."

And in tho, na

सङ्गोगो " affianced." from सङ्ग " connexion by marriage."

To these may be added atho, perhaps from Skr. MIZ:

पाकाडी "damp," from पानी " water."

And doo, from Skr. W, as

वायांसी " sandy," from वारी " sand."

As to the origin of all these forms there is much doubt. Standing so much alone as they do, and unsupported by corresponding forms in the other languages, it is difficult to know whence to trace them. Trumpp deduces the first from the Skr. suffix \$\frac{1}{4}\$, which, however, does not seem sufficient for the vowel-changes in dao and duo. The second is probably from Skr. wrafa, and the third from \$\frac{1}{4}\$.

There are several other forms given by Trumpp, but most of those omitted from this list are to be accounted for under the verb, and may therefore be passed over at present. The same holds good of several stems in Marathi, such as those in st., all and the like, and in Gujarati.

§ 23. Some of the languages are not content with one suffix, but will take two in the same word; in this case it is generally that in one or other of its forms which does duty as a second

suffix. Thus in Sindhi stems duo, ano, we find subsidiary forms duiko, dniko, as

These double forms do not differ in meaning from the single forms, and it is probably to this tendency to use ika as a meaningless expletive, which recalls to us the similar habit in Prakrit of attaching a 4 to all and every Sanakrit noun at will, that we should refer a numerous class of obscure words in all the languages, in which, by the side of the regular stem, we have an augmented stem in id or ad. Thus O. uses are quality at for "the business of a Brahman," where we have the suffix qu augmented by इडा=इक Rustic Hindi has इडानिका "mileh (cow)," which is & u+ mm + was. In the Bhojpuri dialect of Hindi the terminations id and ad are added by the valgar to all nouns, whether primary or secondary, without altering the meaning. Other cases, where there are apparently two suffixes, would be more accurately described as compounds, where the latter member, being a verbal root, has become so common as to look almost like a suffix:

§ 24. Diminutives, as well as a nondescript class of words either contemptuous or jocose or familiar, besides jingling and familial formations, are very common in all these vermecular languages, and in many cases the terminations in themselves are absolutely meaningless, and incapable of being solemnly and scientifically traced back to the ancient languages. In such a maze of playful or vulgar developments it would be but waste of time to attempt an elaborate arrangement; the human mind makes a tool of the tengue, and strikes out for itself sounds which satisfy its needs without regard to grammar or etymology. It will be more useful and more interesting therefore to state what forms the languages employ, than to try and find out why they employ them.

The relative size and quality of material objects are elegantly shown in all the languages by change of gender. In this respect they agree with the old Teutonic family, and other members of the great Indo-Germanic class. Masculine nouns express objects which are large, strong, or coarse; feminine those which are small, weak, or fine; and where the neuter exists, it expresses things dull, inmimate, or sexless. This will be more fully drawn out in the next Chapter.

The commonest type of the diminutive is that which has for its characteristic the letter 3; the words of this class occasionally end in this letter simply, but more frequently take the long vowel-ending, as \$1, \$1, \$ . This & appears to have arisen from the Sanskrit suffix 7, which in that language also forms diminutives, and is retained under the forms T. A. otc., in many of the seven languages. It also occasionally modulates into w, as might be expected, and in a few rather exceptional cases, chiefly in Marathi, appears as &. In point of meaning, this termination has a wide range, from words which are pure diminutives to those in which the sense of smallness is only to be made out by researches into the original meaning; then to those in which the idea is that of contempt or familiarity, fondness or triffing; till at last we come to words which have lost all sense of smallness, and are simple appellatives. In this last class it is often impossible, or, in the present state of the subject, very hazardous, to suggest a primitive word, from which that in actual use may have been formed. The words of this class are the pure offspring of the popular mind, and consequently, though the principle involved in their formation is the same in the whole seven, yet the speakers of each language have formed their own words separately, so that, except in closely allied languages, as Hindi and Panjabi, it is rare to find an instance running through two or more. It will, therefore, be advisable here, as it was in many of the primary and secondary stems, to take each language separately.

Sindhi is clearer in respect of this form than its sisters, having a greater number of the words of this type in use as pure diminutives, and not so many as contemptuous, familiar, or appellative terms. Trumpp gives the following:

पंधु "journey" (Skr. पर्य "path") पंधरों " short journey." इद "shop," एटिसी "small shop." बिहु "life," ज़िंदुसों " short life." विहु "lightning" (Skr. विश्वत), विहुरों "brief flash of lightning."

In the case of words ending in u mass, the suffix is either added to the oblique form in a, or the final u is changed to i,—in the latter case probably from the influence of the i of the fem. termination; but where the u is the feminine ending, it remains unchanged.

Similarly, nouns in o change that letter to a or i; of the former an instance is [ a a ] "sweetheart," from [ a ] "heart;" of the latter भोजिशे "a small monkey," from भोजी "monkey."

Feminines in a and i retain those vowels unaltered; but i and is are changed to is and as respectively, or in other words the suffix is added to the oblique form, as

संधी "churning staff" (Skr. मन्त). संधिषडो "a small churning staff." सटू "scorpion," सटुबडी "a small scorpion."

There is also a suffix ro, joined only to adjectives in the oblique form (nouns in a make their oblique in c), to signify somewhat of the quality indicated by the primary, and corresponding to the English termination "-iso," as

> बियो "long," दिवरो "longish." बोरो "small." बोर्सो "smallish."

This latter often takes a double suffix, as wiffed "very small."

Marathi, with its oustomary fullness of forms, has a wide

range in diminutives, and sometimes uses two to one word.

गांठ "knot," गांठोंडे "a bundle," गांठोडी "a small bundle," also गांठोळे. गांठोळी

बांद "picce," बांदोंदे "a small bit," also बांदोरे, बांदोळे.

चिंध "rng" (चिंधी), चिंधही "a poor rng" (contemptaously).

चाम " leather," चामडे " skin," " hide," चामडी " the human skin." Here the sense of diminutiveness is lost.

गांव "village," गांवर "a small village."

Skr. 114 "young of an animal," \$1451 "darling," "little pet" (said to a child).

Marathi has for adjectives also the termination wir, corresponding to Sindhi Ci. and, like it, with the union vowel eafter oxytone nouns, as

मोरा "fair," "light-coloured," मोरेखा "fairish."

But it uses the form in दा also for this sense, as वांबोबा. वांबोट्स "longish," from वांब "long."

The termination \$\forall 1, \$\forall 1, is extremely frequent in Gujarati, so much so indeed that words of this type have in many cases lost the sense of smallness, and stand alone for the primary idea, having pushed the old primary word quite out of the language; such are:

दाहाडो. दाडो "day," from दिवस, through दिहा, दहा. मोहोडु "face," " मुख " मुझ

That this latter word is strictly a diminutive is shown by the existence of the intermediate form unit; the original word ye meaning "the whole face," yes is restricted to the sense of "mouth," and this brings it under the definition of a diminutive, because that class of words not only describes a smaller article of the same kind as the primary, but also an analogous or allied article of a pettier, narrower, or partial kind. With the pure sense of diminution are found the following words in ordinary use:

गामहुं "hamlet." from गाम "village."
पांट की "small bell." " पांट "bell."
जिवडी "little darling." " जीव "lite." P. जिवडी:
भुषाही "somewhat hingry." " मुखी "bungry."
पर्जगडी "a amail bedstood." " पर्जग "bedstead" (Skr. पर्यक्र).
परांगडी "a rescally shambermit," " विरागी "an ascetic."
महादेवडी "s trumpery old idol," " महादेव "an biol of Sica."

These last two are contemptuous, a very common use of the diminutive in all languages. Familiar and slightly contemptuous, but at times with somewhat of a kindly meaning, are:

> यायडी "a nife," from बार् "lady." भारडी "husband," " भार् "brother" (used as a respectful term to males).

These two words correspond to the vulgar English "the master," and "the missis" (mistress), or to the rustic terms "the good man," "the good wife," as in the Marathi चारेच.

राखोडी "ashes," "rubbish," from राख "ashes." दोरडी "a string," "दोर "a rope." शेरडो "a botpath," "शेरी "street."

As in Marathi, so also here, the termination wit (Sindhi (1) is used as a diminutive, thus :

चाद्नो "a small crescent used as an ornament," from चांद् "moon" (Grock μηνίσκος, Latin lunula).

पारली " a small writing-board," from पारी "plank."

Hindi and Panjabi go together; and Gujarati, in virtue of its position as an isolated dialect of Old-Hindi, exhibits often the same words. In the first-named language the tendency, already mentioned, to express smallness by changing a masenline word into a feminine, operates to reduce the number of

diminutives of the type II, and a large proportion of the words of this type are now simple appellatives with no special sense of smallness. Common to nearly all the languages are the following words of this class, whose meaning is in most cases somewhat obscured.

H. झोंपडी "lint," " hored," P. झोंपडी, G. झपड़ं, M. झोंपडी, झोंपडे. O. Hugh. B. Hugh. The primary word Hit is not, as far as I know, in use, but it is probably connected with will "covering."

H. चमड़ा "leather," literally, "a small plees of skin" (see Vol. 1. p. 345), found in all.

H. फ्रोक्टा "boy." Skr. शावक "young of an animal," perhaps already a diminutive from TE "body" (see Vol. I. p. 261, for the summerous modern forms of this word).

H. दिकही and दिइली "a wafer," "a small round cake," probably a diminutive of Ziai "the round sectorial mark which Hindus paint on their foreheads i" this word is derived from Skr. f表明確 (see Vol. I. p. 226). which again seems to be a diminutive from free "a grain of til seed." In P. and G. Zong). Zong), means "a small round hilloch;" and in the various meanings given to the cognute words to the other languages we may detect as a general thread of mouning running through them all that of any small round object, as "a mound," "lump," "cake," "wafer," "piece of broken pottery," and the like,

H. EHEI" a ragahand Dom," from TH "an impure caste."

H. fang "lary,"

a Elmi "alack."

H. गुर्री "bundle,"

" 和 " knot."

H. was "fishbook" (small book),

" Wa "hook."

H. पंचडी "glance of the eye,"

a With "eve."

H. WZIR "amall upper room,"

Well "upper room."

H. WEST "small or bad egg."

WEST "FEET."

II. खलडा "skin,"" hide "(contemptuous) ...

खान "skin."

H. दक्दा, "हो "small piece,"

दव " piece " (Skr. न्तीय).

In some of these, in Hindi fashion, ₹ and ₹ are interchange-

able, and additional emphasis is given to the idea of smallness by the use of the feminine termination \$\overline{\chi}\$.

Hindi has also a few words in with joining vowels e and o, as मरेका "a young peacock," from मीर "a peacock;" सुपीका "young make," from giq "snake;" or without the joining vowel, an बांस्की "a bamboo flate or pipe," from बांस " bamboo" (Skr. \$11), also written with and wight. There is also a form which is interesting as exhibiting the retention of the Sanskrit affix a in a diminutive sense; 7937 "a small pond," from ताम "a lake;" मदेया "a amail hut," from मण्डप "a shed." The first of these words is derived from Skr. Agin. Pr. awini. through a fem. तदानिका, of which the Pr. form would be नजाइया: the last is from अंदिशका. The masculine form of this suffix is seen in दोसक "a small drum," from दोस "a drum," and perhaps in the rure form at, G. at; aka in Pr. becomes ao, and the wis inserted to soften the histor, as in H. बक्रा, from बक्रा "calf" (Skr. बत्स) ; G. क्रोडवी " small sprout," from the "sprout;" sight "small bush," from sig "bush," In some feminines from originals with barytone or mute a terminations, the diminutive is var. as

> चीव्या "small mango," from चंच. आस "mango." चीव्या "boddier," , चंग "body."

In B, and O, the forms of the diminutive II are generally the same as in Hindi, and, as in that language, have to a great extent lost their special meaning. In O, especially, and to a great extent in the others, the addition of II may be made to almost any noun at the will of the speaker, and would in most places be perfectly understood as indicating contempt.

There is to be found more or less frequently throughout the group another diminutive form in Z, generally with joining vowel e or o. If we consider this Z as allied to the T of the above-named form, we should have to put it first as an older form, which has been subsequently softened into T; but there are

of the forms Z and W is either o or c, which two vowels represent, in my opinion, the nom. masc. and oblique endings respectively of the noun, whereas the junction vowel of the Z form is generally a, only occasionally in M. we find o. From this it would result that T is used with words whose ending is a mute, or a consonant Z and W with oxytones in o or a, oblique c; and inasmuch as these vowels in a large number of cases indicate the presence of the suffix ha in Prakrit, words formed with them would be early Tadbhavas, as H. HOWI and the like, while the words in Z would be to a great extent late Tadbhavas. Instances of the Z type are—

Trumpp, from whom I take these instances, points out that the diminutive in Pashtu is regularly formed by utai. Bellew (Pakhto Gr. p. 108) restricts it to nouns ending in s, and gives as instances:

The existence of this form in Pashtu is a confirmation of its antiquity, and justifies my attributing it—as I did above from independent reasons—to the early Tadbhava period. It is not so common in the other languages, though instances are not entirely wanting.

In M. चिंधूर and चिंधीरी "a wretched rag," from चिंधी "a rag;"
hut more frequently without the junction vowel merely, as Z. खांबर "a
amali post," from खांब "post;" उंच "high," उंचर "highish;" बार
"salt," खारर "saltish," "brackish;" कळका "scorched," कळकर

"alightly scorehod;" चेय "pressing," चेपट "flattish;" चिंव "wet," चेवट "moist."

H. चमोटी "a analt strip of leather," P. G. id., M. चामोटा. "टी. from चाम "leather;" हिर्गोटा "a fawn," from हिर्ग "a deer;" G. चर्चु "a writing," अखोटी "a note," "a amall letter."

§ 25. In the formation of compound words the modern languages, while lacking the exuberance of the Sanskrif, are not altogether wanting in strength. It will be useless to discuss those words which have been formed in Sanskrif, and borrowed whole from that language in modern times. The modern grammarians needlessly bewilder their pupils by leading them through all the mazes of Tatpurusha, Bahavrihi, and all the other methods of making compound words, which are in use in Sanskrif. In the present section it is proposed merely to note the instances that have been found of compounds made in modern times principally from Tadbhava or Desaja materials, and to endeavour to ascertain the law that underlies their structure.

That simplest of all methods of forming compound words, which the Sanskrit grammarians call Tatpurusha, is still in force in our seven languages, as it is in most modern languages of the Indo-Germanic family, being a special characteristic of that family, and surviving through all the changes brought about by time. The seven languages therefore have formed compounds of this sort from their own stores, from Tadbhava and Desaja words. Under this class are included those compounds formed from two nouns one of which governs the other; familiar instances in our own language, which is rich in these words, are shipowner, horseman, housekeeper, and the like. In these words we have an inversion, the governed or dependent word being placed first, whereas in the separate construction they would stand last, as owner of a ship, man as (or with) a horse, keeper of a house. Further, it is not only in the genitive

relation that the dependent word stands; according to the grammatical rules of each language, it might stand in many of the other cases or relations. In some of the languages, consequently, the dependent word, when put first, takes the oblique form, which is common to all cases of the singular, and by itself denotes simply the state of dependence, the particular kind of dependence being indicated by case-particles, which are omitted in the compound. Thus:

- 8. घर्धवी "housemaster," from घर जी धवी "master of the house;"
- S. उतर्वाड "north wind," , उतर् जो वाड "wind of the north;"
- —where घर जो, उतर जो, are the genitives respectively of ध्रम "house," and उत्तर "the north." which ending in the nom. in a, form their oblique in a. Similarly, in
  - S. मधेखाज "head-eater," from मधे जो जात "eater of the head" (ब्रह. termenter):
  - 8. करनिदुदो "hand-broken," " बर्नि बोदुदो "broken of hands" (श्रेट, bozy);

we have in the first the oblique singular in a of the noun wall, and in the last the oblique plural in ani of the neun wall. These languages which use the Persian character obscure the real nature of such compounds as these by writing the two words separate, or rather—inasmuch as some Persian letters cannot be joined to the following letters, and native scribes seldom leave any space between their words—it is impossible to say whether the word is written as one or two. Thus in the Persian character may be meant for one word or two, for all that we can tell from the writing. It is only in the case of those letters which have a separate final form that we can tell: thus words on account of the final long of the particle of the final long of the final long of the second would be regarded as one.

In Hindi the rule of placing the dependent word in the oblique form is disregarded: only one class of nouns, that in d, has an oblique form, and this is not cared for; thus we have from with "horse," oblique with the following compounds:

```
भुद्रचंद्रा "harseman," from घोडे का चढा "rider on a horse,"
घुडदोड "harse-tace," " घोडे का दोड "ranning of a horse."
घुडसान "horse-atable," " घोडों का साम (शामा) "house for borne."
```

In all these cases no sign of the oblique form remains; but the Hindi, being sensitive about quantity, shortens the rewel of the first mamber: thus d becomes a, l becomes a, and the diphthongal vowels a and e turn respectively into a and b.

Words ending with the long vowels a, t, a, also generally shorten those vowels, in which case the so shortened vowels drop out altogether: thus, as we have seen, पोड़ा becomes in composition पुढ़: so also पानी becomes पन, and जोड़ becomes जुड़.

The following examples will illustrate the above remarks:

```
पश्चाला "a shed for sell- from पानी "water," and शाला "hall."

पश्चार "a quay," " पानी "water," and घाट "a ghit or

passage."

पश्चाली "a botel-garden," " पान "betel," and बाली "garden,"

also written पंचाली.

पृज्ञाली "a kind of hirowork," " फूल "flower," and बाली "easecode."

वतवहाल "a profix talker," " बात "word," and बहाल "pro-

longstion."

बरमार "a bighwayman," " बार "road," and मार "striking."

जुहसूर "from filings," " जोहा "from," and बृहल "hast."
```

There is also a class of compounds of the Tatpurusha kind in

which the first letter of the second or governing member is elided, as-

H. पुलेख "an oil scented with flowers," from पूज " flower, "and तेंच "oil." H. सहाध "a smell of rotting substances," .. सदा "rotten," and ग्रंध " smell,"

This class is very numerous in Marathi and in Panjabi, less so in the other languages. Instances in Panjabi are—

कपडिजांध" smell of burnt cloth, "from कप्पड़ा" cloth, "and गंध" smell." कर्श्यांध "smell of a camel," " कर्हा "camel," " id. कविग्रांध "smell of raw meat," " क्या "raw," " id. खटिग्रांध "sour smell," " खट्टा "sour," " id.

—in all of which the final long d of the first element in the compound is shortened to i.

One familiar set of words, however, runs through all the seven, namely that formed by MIC "maker." These have been partially given in Vol. I., but may be again detailed here—

Skr. मुवर्णकार "goldsmith," Pr. सोणारी, स. सोनार, P. सुनिचार, 8. सोनारो, M. सोनार, B. सोणार, O. सुणारी.

Skr. कुमकार "potter," Pr. कुम्हकारो, H. कुम्हार, P. M., M. O. कुमार, 8. कुमार, B. कुमार, ध्यांडण कुमोर, O. कुमार, कुझार.

Skr. चर्मेंबार् "Inather-worker," Pr. कमाबारी, II. चुसार्, P. O. id., M. चान्हार्, S. चमार्, B. चामार्, O. चमार्

The Chingana retains the older form in  $\overline{\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet}$ , but in the plural with softening of k to g, as—

machengoro, "fishmonger," from mucho, "fish."
massekoro, "butcher," ,, mas, "flesh,"

The former word is constructed with the plural because it implies "one who sells many fish;" macheskoro would mean "a man who only sold one fish."

<sup>1</sup> Paspeti, Tching, p. 53.

Similar is the treatment of wit "holder," in

\* Skr. सूचधार "corporter," Pr. सुत्तहारी (?). H. सुतार, G. id., B. O. कुतार (सुतार), M. सुतार, with dim. सुतारड़ा, S. सूटहडु:

Skr. क्लंघघार "palanqueen-bearer," Pr. क्लंघहारो (?) or क्लंहहारो, H. कहार, M. M.;

—where the aspirated letter has been changed to \$\ \alpha\$ and ultimately elided. Further illustrations are—

H. खतित "scented all," from खता "sediment of an oll mill," and तीन "oil."

H. राउत" name of a caste." , राजा "king," and दूत" messenger," or राजा "king," and पुत for पुत्र "son."

M. धूपेन "a reshour oll." " धूप "smoke," and तेन "oll."

M. भावटाण "a smell of sour," and घाण " smell."

M. क्येंटास ("a smell of singed food," " क्येंट "burning," and भागा "smell."

Marathi has numerous compounds indicating various kinds of prepared, scented, or medicinal waters, the last element of which is पाणी "water," changed into वणी: thus—

श्रंबवर्गी " mango-water," from "संवा " mango," पागी " water." श्रञ्जवर्गी "water beated in the san," ,, सुद्ध " sunlight," id.

Of compounds which would be classed as Karmadharaya by Sanskrit grammurians, many have been preserved from Sanskrit, but the modern languages have created some out of their own stock. Trumpp gives the following from Sindhi:

वड़ाखाक "a glattoo," वड़ा "great," खाज "enter." घर्माधुरी "well-wisher," घर्मा "much," घुर (s.f.) "wish."

But others which he address, as महाज्ञण "a merchant," from महा + जन = "a great man," and परमोज "the other world," are Sanskrit, and are in use in all the languages.

The following instances of modern Karmadharayas are from Hindi:

```
बर्परा "big-bellied," from बरा "big," and पर "belly."
अन्नमानुम "polite," " भना "good," " सामुस "man."
लग्नतंगा "long-legged," " लग्ना "long," " तंगा "leg."
सधकपानी "bemiplegia," " प्राधा "half," " लगान "skull."
सधकपा "balf-ripe," " प्राधा "half," " क्या "raw."
लग्नवाझा "ahare"(lit.long-cared) " लग्ना "long," " लान "rar."
```

The adjective in Hindi typically ending in d, an adjectival termination has been added to the nouns which form the last member of these compounds, in all cases in which the compound itself is used adjectivally; and the first member of the compound, if it contains a long vowel, has that vowel exphonically shortened.

Marnthi is very rich in compounds, almost more so than any other language of the group. A few instances of Karmadharayas are here given:

```
बाळमांजर "a pole-cat," from काळा "black," मांजर "cat."
तांबदमाती "red earth," , तांबद्या "red," साती "earth,"
बांबतांग्या "loog-legged," , बांब "long," तांब "leg."
बाळतींद्या "lockless," , बाळा "black," तांब "face."
बरोगत "prosperity," , बर्ग "good," गत"condition"(गति).
```

In Gujarati, as far as I can judge from the works available for consultation, the practice of making compounds out of modern elements is not carried to so great an extent as in some of the other languages. Instances are:

```
भजमनसाइ "paliteness," from भजा "good," मानुस "mun,"
मोदुनावस "a grandee," " मोदो "gran," माजस "man."
```

Dvigu compounds, namely those in which the first element is a numeral, are exceedingly common in Hindi, and almost equally so in the other languages; thus with दो "two," as the initial element, sometimes shortened to द:

U. दोभाषिया "an interpreter," from भाषा "speech."

H. दोपड़ा "a cloth of two broadths," .. पड़ा "strip of cloth."

U. दोलडा "a necklace of two threads," ,. जद "thread."

H. दोबीया "pregnant" (with two lives), .. बी "life."

H. दुसरी "a weight of two sers," " सर "a neight of 2 lies. Avoirdupols."

H. दुश्रही "a two-anna piece," अशि "an anna."

With all "three," shortened to fa:

H. frest "a necklace of three threads."

H. तिपीजिया "a building with three doors," from पीन "a door."

With चार "four," which becomes in composition ची, i.e. चड from चतुर, with dropping of त, according to rule, and elision of final र:

H. ची बली "a four-anna piece."

H. चीन्रट " a framework for a door," from काट "wood"

II. चीकडी "earring," " वडी "ring."

II. "TUC!" a bex with four partitions," " UC "bouse."

H. TRIE "the four months of the rainy season," " HIE "month."

All the compound numerals are Dvigus, as will be noticed presently. It is not necessary to give illustrations from the other languages, as they are formed in precisely the same way as in Hindi.

Dwandwa compounds, strictly speaking, do not exist. The Dwandwas of Sauskrit consisted of two nouns or more compounded together into one word, the latter of which took the terminations of the dual noun of the a-stem, or that of the plural, according to the number of things expressed in the compound. But as the modern languages no longer possess the indexional terminations of the old synthetical languages, it is clear that no Dwandwas in the Sanskrit sense can exist. There are abundant alliterations where two or more words are put close tegether, and only the last word takes the case-affixes: thus one may say, \(\frac{12}{12}\) \(\frac{1}{12}\) \(

Bahuvrihis are easily formed in all the languages by giving an adjectival termination to any of the foregoing classes of compounds, and in fact several of the examples given above are Bahuvrihis. Further illustrations are unnecessary.

§ 26. Namerals.—The cardinal numbers up to ten inclusive are simple adjectives, some of which are declinable, others not; from cleven up to ninety-nine they are, as was stated in the last section, compounds to a certain extent of the Drigu chameter. Each numeral, though a strong family likeness runa through them all, stands on its own basis, and is derived directly from Prakrit, through the operation of the phonotic laws of its own language, and it will therefore be necessary to examine each one separately and in detail.

ONE.—Skr. 贝森, Pr. 贝森, H. 贝森, P. 文本, S. 信責, 夏森, 贝森, 信養, 夏森, 贝森, 阿爾克, 含硫酸 (Sindhi is never contented with one form); G. 贝森, usually written 弘本; M. 贝森, 孔森, O. B. 贝森; Gipsy yek. It is indeclinable in H. and P., but may take case-affixes when standing alone; the two Sindhi forms in 文 are diminutives, and that language has put on an 文 in front, the reason for which is not apparent. B. pronounces it very short and large,

something like good, rhyming with the English words pack, which. The U is long in all the other languages except P. and S., and the double w of Prakrit has therefore been reduced to a single. Old-II. has constantly want was

Two.—Skr. base \(\mathbf{g}\) and \(\mathbf{g}\), Pr. \(\mathbf{c}\), H. \(\mathbf{c}\), P. \(\mathbf{c}\), M. \(\mathbf{c}\), O. B. \(\mathbf{g}\), Gipsy \(dia\); but S. \(\mathbf{g}\), G. \(\mathbf{g}\). Here S. and G. stand apart from the others, they having, as pointed out in Vol. I. p. 331, assimilated the \(\mathbf{g}\) to the \(\mathbf{g}\), and thus made \(\mathbf{g}\), out of which S. gets its peculiar sound \(\mathbf{g}\); S. appears to have taken the base \(\mathbf{g}\), and G. the base \(\mathbf{g}\). H. and P. have probably also taken the base \(\mathbf{g}\), and resolved the semivowel into its vowel, thus getting \(\mathbf{g}\), whence \(\mathbf{e}\); the forms \(\mathbf{c}\), \(\mathbf{e}\), \(\mathbf{g}\), and \(\mathbf{g}\) are, however, found in the earlier poets. M. \(\mathbf{e}\) in contains the type of the neuter plural; this is unknown in Skr., and has probably been extended to this numeral from M. \(\pi\)

THERE.—Skr. base [4, nom. pl. nont. 4]fff, whence Pr. तिथा, ami Gipsy trin, H. तीन, P. तिन, G. तथ, M. तीन, O. विचि. B. विच. All these are neuter plurals by origin, and from this, as observed above, M. has apparently imitated its दोष "two." P. has also a commonly used form & which agrees with S. 2, as far as the subjoined \u00bc and vowel are concerned; but S. has changed a into Z, under the influence of the following T: both these forms lead back to the Skr. masc. TU: If it be asked why two languages out of the group should adopt the masculine, while the others take the neuter, it might be answered, that S. and P. (especially S.) are often more archaic in their forms than the other languages, and that H. has probably not deliberately adopted the neuter planal; but as three is the first numeral that has a distinctly plural meaning -one and two being respectively singular and dual in the parent speech-II. has indicated the idea of plurality by adding the sign which indicates planslity in the noun, where, as it will be seen hereafter, the termination of the neuter plural has usurped the place of all other plural forms. Kashmiri, a very archaic dialect, uses चिन्न, and we may therefore place it, together with the Gipsy, P. and S., on a higher level of antiquity than the others; Gipsy by its additional न (unless this is to be considered merely as anumasika) standing halfway between the three western languages and H., and its followers O. B. and G. It is characteristic of G. to be careless about short vowels; तम for निम्न is a parallel to तम "ys," for नम.

Four.—Skr. base चतुर, must. pl. चलारि, whence Pr. चलारि.

B. and O. चारि, S. चारि, H. P. G. M. चार, Gipsy star, shtar, ishtar, probably by inversion from tsar, \ having in M., and possibly formerly in S. also, sometimes the sound of to, also in Kashmiri tsar = चोर, a sound due to non-Aryan influences.

The entire loss of the nexus ख, which had become च in Prakrit, is unusual, but possible in the case of so-much-used a word as this, and it need not surprise us when we think of तरखाँचे = तहां, colloquially तां.

Five.—Skr. base पंचन, but the final a drops in the nominative, and in fact throughout the declension. Pr. पश्. H. पांच, and so in M. G. B. and O., but S. and P., have पंज, with the termis softened to its media. Gipsy and Kashmiri have pastsh, which is written in the latter पांच, and M., though it writes पांच, pronounces pasts.

Six.—Skr. base un, but nom uz, which is also the declensional base. Pr. w, whence H. w, and dialectically w, P. w. S. w and ww. G. w. O. ww (pronounced chich), B. wu; but M. ww. where the w is regular Marathi for w. see Vol I. p. 218, and the w akin to S. ww. How w came into w it does not concern us to inquire, as the process took place in earlier times than we are dealing with; Kashuiri has still w, as fing, with which may be compared Gipsy (ut. win) sho, shoe.

SEVEN.—Skr. HR, the \(\pi\) being dropped as in \(\frac{\pi\eta}{\pi}\), Pr. H\(\pi\).
whence H. HR (see Vol. I. p. 287), where this numeral is treated of as illustrating the strong nexus). The Gipsies use εffa, which shows traces of Greek influence, επτά becoming έφτά; as Paspati (p. 77) points out, there is a frequently occurring change of \(\pi\) to \(\phi\) in the transition of words from Greek to Chingana.

EIGHT.—Skr. wei or wg. Prakrit wg. H. wiz, P. wg. S. wz. M. G. O. wiz, B. wiz. All these are regular phonetic changes. Kashmiri has also wiz, but Gipsy again approaches the Greek with ochlo, which Paspati says is modern Greek ὀχτώ for ὀκτώ.

Nish.—Skr. नव, Pr. खन्न, H. नी, which is also written नव, P. नी or नव, S. नैव, G. M. नव, O. नच (noh), B. नव, Kashmiri नी and नी, Gipsy again Greek enea=हेक्टर्ट. Had the Gipsies only learnt to count as far as six when they left India? With the exception of ten and twenty, they have few distinctly Indian numbers above six.

TEN.—Skr. दग, Prakrit दस, whence H. दस, B. and O. दग in literature, thanks to the Pandits; but people when they talk or write to one another use दस; G. दस, P. दस and दह, M. दहा, which is strange, as M. does not usually change स to इ. S., as might be expected, has दह, Kashmiri दह, Gipsy desh. This numeral presents no special features.

There is a regular ascending scale in the numerals. The cardinals up to ten are simple derivatives from Sanskrit; from ten to nineteen they are compound derivatives from the same, but inherit the system of composition handed down from the carlier language. From nineteen onwards they form their numerals in a way of their own, which agrees at the same time in principle with that of Sanskrit, but differs from it so far that the materials of which the compounds are composed are of modern origin in many respects.

It will be more convenient to exhibit each group in a tabular form. Here follows the group of "tens":—

III	क्रमविश्वति	जामवी भट्ट	डमीस	उन्नोह	दमीह	बोगमीस	एकवीस	SHIETH	afin
81	WEIGH	朝を北京	Wante	Wanti	d'and	अहार	व्यवस	124	भाउत
11	सप्रदेश	समारह	समरह	सवादा	H di	मतर	सथा	सतर	सतिर
22	पोड्य	संस्था	समित	मोका	मीरव	事	भोका	मीहक	明
51	पंचदंश	मका रह	4xe	पंदरा	dere .	der	पंथरा	dur	प्रोगेर
7	चतुंदश	में वहा	वीद्र	वादा	वीडम	मुख्द	बीदा	मेह	वीव
13	चयोद्य	निरह	भेतर	E	High services	भर	नित	THE STREET	E.
10	वाद्या	बारह	बारक	बार्स	मारह	4	बारा	नार	बादी
Ξ,	पनाद्रम	प्रजारह	मारह ।	गिषार्	मारहे	भाविश्वार	भक्ता	प्रमार	स्मार
	Skr.	, <u>p</u>	H	a.	of:	ö	M.	0	B.

These all follow Vararuchi's well-known rule ii. 14, by which the ₹ of ₹₹ is changed to ₹, and ii. 44, by which ₹

is changed to g. This type Tg is throughout retained by H., which even in "sixtoen" has dialectically with as well as the more classical Hing; the final g, however, not being a favourite or common ending in any of the languages, is mostly dropped, and its place supplied by long a, produced by combination of the two short vowels; thus ares becomes are hy elision of g, and then M. Tto. The final long o, which is exhibited above in B., is quite inorganic, and arises from the habit Bengalis have of pronouncing was or this short o is lengthened in counting, and we thus find and, but it would be quite as correct, or rather more so, to write TIT, pronouncing bloo. P. and S. add anunasika throughout, and S. retains the V. but it can hardly be said that the nasalization of the final syllable has any organic foundation : it is, I believe, merely another instance of the nasal way these people speak. One often hears a distinctly nasal twang given by natives to words where no nasal is written; and until some better and more rational standard of spelling shall be introduced, it is merely lighting with the air to reason about the origin of these anunasikas, many of which are nothing more than local accent, and have no foundation in etymology.

As to "eleven," it is noticeable that the \$\overline{\pi}\$, which was dropped in scenic Prakrit, still holds its place, though sometimes softened to \$\overline{\pi}\$, or even \$\overline{\pi}\$, in the moderns; the \$\overline{\pi}\$ or \$\overline{\pi}\$ which appears in \$H\$. \$\overline{\pi}\$\overline{\pi}\$, P. and \$G\$., is probably by inversion from \$\overline{\pi}\$\overline{\pi}\$, and the prosthetic \$\overline{\pi}\$ of \$G\$, is inorganic. In "twelve" is seen the rejection of the initial \$\overline{\pi}\$ already noticed. "Thirteen" seems to have been wrongly worked out by Cowell; following Vararuchi (p. 121, note), he would make trayodaša first into tregodaša, which is not, I think, what Vararuchi means, as in \$\overline{\pi}\$. The couples teraha with sundersm = sanukaryam, and similar words. He probably supposes a transposed form taryodaša, which by his rule becomes terodaša, by the palatal vowel leaping over into the preceding syllable (Vol. I. p. 135): the steps are trayo, taryo, tario, tario, taro. Then the syn-

chronous change of dasa to raha produces teroraha, easily contracted to teraha in Pr., because o is habitually short, and teroraha, ter'raha, is a natural contraction.

In the case of "fourteen," Prakrit does not change \( \) to \( \), probably because the \( \) of \( \) of \( \) had been assimilated to the following \( \), making \( \); this is followed by all the languages except S., which sticks to its favourite \( \).

More irregular than the foregoing is "fifteen." Here the anuswara operates, and by Var. iii. 44, the nexus ℍ is changed to ℍ (it would in Pali have been ℍ). This double n has become anuswarn, and আ inserted, or in some cases ℍ, owing to the influence perhaps of the following ℳ. Bengali has preserved the Prakrit type, merely lengthening the vowels by its broad rustic promunciation. Here also the ℍ is an occuntricity for et ℍ and one might accurately write Ψη.

Skr. has in "sixteen" changed the \$\neq\$ of \$\neq\$\$\eq\$ to \$\neq\$, under the influence of the final \$\neq\$\$ of \$\neq\$\$\neq\$, and this cerebral sound is expressed in the moderns by \$\neq\$\$ in those languages which possess that sound, and by \$\neq\$\$ or \$\neq\$ in those which do not. I am not aware of any authority for a \$Pr\$, form \$\neq\$ \neq\$ and give it as more conjecture, following Trumpp; though perhaps \$\neq\$ \neq\$ would be more correct for \$\neq\$] = \$\neq\$ and \$\neq\$ = \$\neq\$\$\neq\$\$.

Of the remaining two there is only this to remark, that P. lengthens the second syllable of "seventeen" in imitation of "eighteen," while M. reverses the process, shortening "eighteen" in imitation of "seventeen."

The form for "nineteen" means "twenty less one," and was probably originally एकोनिवंशति, from which we get the G. योगणीस, by inversion for यगोणीस, and Old-H. यगुनीस and गुनीस; M. preserves an accurate form in एक्पीस. The same system prevails in "twenty-nine," "thirty-nine," and the rost. Prakrit has एक्प and एक्प (Weber, Bhag, p. 426).

The series "twenty-two," "thirty-two," "forty-two," etc., has been exhibited at Vol. I. p. 331, and the series "twenty-seven,"

"thirty-seven," and the rest, at p. 289, where also is shown the series "seventy-one," "seventy-two," etc., so that it is unnecessary to give these in detail again; the forms of "seventy" are included in the following table for completeness' sake, and because there are some inaccuracies in the forms given in Vol. I. p. 288;

o o	TIC TIC	सत. सब.	E. 87	年, 中	布	信	में. मंभर	F.	भव
XC.	मवाति	गुरुष	Close	信	OF THE	聖	ग्राट	A A	1BL
UXXX.	wifter	षसीर.	sur)	बस्मी	बमी	啪	Peril	ani)	dist.
tax.	मप्रति	समार	समार	ममर	मत्र	मिमेर	समर	<b>समो</b> रि	समार
E.	विधि	मिंदू-	साउ	H 050	सरि	HIG	साउ	साहित्	माएड
1	वंबाग्नव	प्रवासा	पत्रास	पंत्राह	पंजाह	पवास	पन्नाम	पचाम	पंचास
XI.	चलारिश्वत्	चनानीसा'	<b>कालीस</b>	माळी	मालीह	माळ्याम	पाठीस	वामिय	चित्रम
XXX	fame	तीमा	मीस	afte	alter .	भीम	मोम	E	THE LEASE
ž.	fauth	बीखर	मीस	योह	alle	भीम	मीम		Tall I
	Skr.	£	E	ď	uć	ď	z	6	

Weber, Bhagayati, p. 426.

Oriva does not use any derivative of sufa, but instead star, i.e. "score." H. also uses sits for the same. The languages are quite regular in "twenty" and "thirty," in the latter occasionally retaining the nexus ir, but more usually dropping the r. In "forty" the Prakrit of the Bhagavati has changed \(\tau\) to \(\mathbf{H}\), and the nexus it is dropped throughout. More irregular is "fifty," in which, as in "fifteen," Vararuchi's Prakrit substitutes \(\mathbf{H}\) for \(\mathbf{T}\); in this it is followed only by Marsthi; the other languages refer back to the Sanskrit form, softening the \(\mathbf{H}\) into \(\mathbf{H}\) in S. and P. only. "Sixty" is also quite regular, as are also "eighty" and "ninety," except that M. and G. make the initial into \(\epsilon\) and "ninety," except that M. and G. make the initial into \(\epsilon\) and "ninety," except that M.

It is when these numerals come to be compounded that they exhibit such wide variations of form, as to render it necessary for the student to learn each number up to "one handred" separately, and even when the phonetic and structural mechanism is explained, there remains a large residuum of eccentricities and peculiarities. Following the example of Skr., the modern languages do not merely, as do most other families of speech, add one number to another to express the numbers intermediate to each decade, but compound the two elements: thus H. does not say do our bls, for "two-and-twenty," but makes a single word balis; so do all the other languages. I shall first show the changes which the units undergo, and then those of the tens, as in every case the lesser numeral precedes, and they say "twotwenty," "threetwenty," and the like.

"Two." In by far the greater number of instances the form is \(\mathbf{q}\), as in \(\mathbf{q}\) quoted above, and in the examples given in I. 331. In "thirty-two," however, the long d is shortened to a before \(\mathbf{q}\), making \(\mathbf{q}\)\(\mathbf{q}\). In "forty-two," the \(\mathbf{q}\) of the \(\mathbf{q}\) of the \(\mathbf{q}\) is clided in Pr., when it becomes the second member of a compound, so that we get \(\mathbf{q}\)\(\mathbf{q}\)\(\mathbf{q}\) in Bhag., the \(\mathbf{q}\) being inserted to fill the histus. This \(\mathbf{q}\) in the moderns either

softens to ए. as in H. बेबाबोस "forty-two," or is added to the letter preceding, as O. आकीस. P. here has बत्तः, in imitation of "thirty-two;" G. is irregular in बोहोत् "seventy-two," and B. and O. insert an inorganic र in विरासी "eighty-two," विरासवे "ninety-two." With the exception of these few Irregularities, the general type बा॰ is regularly kept throughout.

"Three." The regular type is \$\frac{1}{3}\$, shortened in some places to \$\frac{1}{3}\$, and occasionally with an euphonic \$\ta\$ added, making \$\frac{1}{3}\$; thus—

- H. तेर्स "twenty-three," तेतीस or तेतीस "thirty-three," तेथाजीस. also तेत' and तेत' "forty-three," तिर्घन "tifty-three," तिरसड. ते' "sixty-three," तिहत्तर "seventy-three," तिरासी "eighty-three," तिरानवें "ninety-three,"
- ?. तेर् "twenty-three," तेती "thirty-three," तेताली, तिर्° and तंत° "forty-three," तिवंबा, तिर्° "fifty-three," तेहुउ "sixty-three," तिहत्तर "seventy-three," तिरासी "sighty-three," तिरासवे "alacty-three,"
- 8. देवीह "twenty-three," देदीह "thirty-three," देतालीह "fortythree," देवंजाह "fifty-three," देहिंड "sixty-three," देहतरि "seventythree," दिजासी "eighty-three," दिजानवे "almety-three,"
- G. तेवीस "twenty-three," तेतरीस "thirty-three," तेताचीस. तेहे॰ वं॰ "borty-three," तेहेपन "bity-three," तेहेसठ "sixty-three," तोहोतेर "eventy-three," तेत्रासी. तासी "elghty-three," तोगू "ninety-three."
- M. तेवीस "twenty-three," तेतीस "thirty-three," वेचाळीस "forty-three," वेपत "lifty-three," वेसष्ट "sixty-three," वेहत्तर् "seventy-three," व्यावारी "righty-three," त्यात्रव "ninety-three."
- 0. तेर्श "twenty-three," तेबीश "thirty-three," तेयालीश "forty-three," तेपन "fifty-three," तेपि "sixty-three," तेसुरि "seventy-three," तेयाशी "elghty-three," तियानवे "ninety-three."
- B. तेर्ष् "twenty-three," तेचीश्र "thirty-three," तेताश्रीश्र "fortythree," तिपास "fifty-three," तेषड्रि "sixty-three," तेहात्तर "≅श्रकीythree," तिराशी "eighty-three," तिरागश्रद "ninety-three."

"Four," takes the compound from at throughout, with variant spellings ची, चब, and चब; the numbers may be made up after the example of the threes, an euphonic Theing inserted before a vowel, like fat. "Five" is in composition us, un, a, and P. and S. da, M. has QH in Quelet "thirty-five," and G. पिस in पिसाळीस "forty-fiva." "Six" is always क्. क्य. or क्. except in M., which is unlike the rest, changing w into w and स in Its usual fushion : thus while "thirty-six" is इतीय, and "fifty-six" क्ष्पन्न, it uses सन्नीस "twenty-six," प्रेचालीस "fortysix," सासष्ट "sixty-six," शाहनर, शहनर "seventy-six," शांखणी "eighty-six," and mine "ninety-six." "Seven" and "eight" exhibit no irregularities, and "nine" is not used as a prefix, the periphrasis with 37 "less than" the next higher number being used in its stead. H. however has नवासी "eighty-nine," and विवासके "ninety-nine," in which last it agrees with P. नदीनचे and the other dialects. S. has two singular forms, नधानवे and वधानवे, apparently from नव + वधि + नवे "nine upon ninety," or some such expression; in one case the a, in the other the st of stat has suffered elision. Chand uses this method of compounding by means of wfw in the lines-

## सो संवत नव सत शह ॥ वरस तीस कह श्राम ॥

"That (was) the year nine hundred (and) over Years thirty and six before."—L 221.

meaning to indicate Samvat 936, A.D. 879. The reduplication of the W is metri gratia.

With regard to the latter part of the compound, बीस becomes ईस in H., ईश in O. and B., ई in P., but बीस in G. and M., बोह in S.

तीस remains unchanged. चालीस loses its initial, and sometimes takes त. as in H. ततालीस.

"Fifty" undergoes very wide changes. In H. it changes from पचास into वन in एखा + वन "fifty-one," बावन "fifty-two,"

बावन "fifty-four," सतावन "fifty-seven," बटावन "fifty-eight;"
and into पन in faर्पन "fifty-three," हप्पन "fifty-six," प्रपण
"fifty-three;" both these are probably the first half of an older form पंचास, the annowara of which has been hardened to ज, the initial प is softened to च after vowels, unless it be thought preferable to see in these forms a relie of the Pr. पद्मासा. In P. पंचाद changes to चंजा, except in पांचा "fifty-four" and विपंजा "fifty-six." The same occurs in Sindhi, whose पंजाद is regularly changed to चंजाह, as एक्ट्याह "fifty-one." G. follows IL, as do also O. and B., with very trifling changes; for "fifty-five," however, they use G. पद्मादन, O. पद्मादन, H. पंचाज, in opposition to H. एचपन.

With "sixty" S. and P. have recourse to their characteristic change of H to E throughout; thus

P. इकाइट. S. एकहाँड "sixty-one."
" वाहट. " वाहिंड "sixty-two," etc. etc.

This course is followed by all the languages except O. in the analogous case of unt, but only by P. and S. in the case of "sixty." (For the series "seventy-one," "seventy-two," etc., see Vol. I. p. 288.) O. combines the u of unt with the following u. one of which it rejects, and inserts a labial vowel, thus producing uft, as quagit, augit, etc.

"Eighty" presents no noteworthy features; but in M. नवद "ninety" rejects the final Z, which is perhaps a relic of the n of नवति in composition, and doubles the n, at the same time restoring the cerebral type of Prakrit, which was inadmissible in the single word, because the n was there initial; thus it gets na, as in nearna "ninety-one." G. follows an analogous process, changing not or no into m proceeded by anunasika, as in nation "ninety-four," uninety-five," win "ninety-six." The other languages are regular.

In the junction of the two elements of these compounds it is to be observed that a long d is often inserted, but I am unable to lay down any rule for its insertion or omission, unless it be that where the initial letter of the second member is weak, a is inserted; where it is strong, omitted. Compare H. बाइंस "twenty-two" for म + बीस with बसीस "thirty-three" for म + तीस; but even this rule hardly carries us through all the series. The Prakrit had originated these compound forms long before the modern languages came into existence, and the secret of the composition must therefore be looked for in that stage of speech; and the data for Prakrit numerals are unfortunately so defective, that us yet I have been anable to formulate any rules on the subject. Marathi introduces a joining vowel s in the series with "forty," and "I in the "seventy," "eighty," and "ninety" series; but the reason of this is not easy to find.

Above "one hundred" composition ceases, and the words are written separately, except in M. G. and S., which, from "one-hundred-and-nine" can combine the lesser numeral with the Skr. उत्तर "above," thus:

"One-hundred-und-one," M. एकोत्तर श्रे, S. एकोतर सी. G. एकोत्तर सो.

§ 27. The ordinals of all the languages are formed each on its own basis for the first four numerals, but after that follow a regular system of genuine Sanskrit origin.

"First" has the following forms: H. पहिला, P. id., M. id., S. पहुली, पिहिली, पिहिली, G. पहुली. O. and B. generally use the Skr. पहुल, but the H. पहिला is gaining ground, and is heard among the lower orders constantly. If we derive these words from पुण्या, we are met by the difficulty of admitting the change of H into w or ζ, and I therefore suppose that they come from an unrecorded comparative usq, which would stand in the same relation to the superlative usq as Latin prior does to primus. Bopp (§ 293) has already established the fact that usq is the superlative of H, and similarly (§ 321) he shows that all the cognate languages use the superlative form, as primus, πρώτος for πρότατος, and cruto=crst, from er=cher.

So also our own "first," in Old-English fyrst, is the superlative of "fore." There must also, one would imagine, have been a comparative, and this could be nothing else than wat.

"Second" is H. ZECI. P. ZEI. ZEN, S. [AN]. AND. G. AND. M. ZECI. O. ZEII. but O. and B. often uso [ZEII]. It is probable that the rude uncivilized Origas and Bengalis did not possess the idea of an ordinal till late times, when they naturally had recourse to Sanakrit to supply the want. Old-H. has also ZEII, which, together with the Panjabi form, are from Skr. [ZEII]. Pr. ZZEI. also ZEII, but the modern ZEII reform to the form ZZEII, formed by hardening the ZEI into EX, and then dropping one E (Vol. I, p. 249). The S. and G. come from another Pr. form [Effek, reduced by dropping the ZE this is further shortened into TEI. For the H. and M. Ze and ZECI, see under the next paragraph.

"Third." H. 南東江, P. 南東江, 南東江, 高東江, 夏南, G. 南南江, M. 信東江, O. and B. 信東江 is in use, but 有奇理 is common also. This ordinal is parallel to the last, and is derived from the Skr. 有奇理 by the same process as the words for "second." The form 南東江 with its analogous 東東江 secons to be a recent compound of the numeral themes 東、南、with some word meaning progression, and probably connected with the root 東 "to go," but there are no certain facts on which to

found an opinion.

"Fourth" is regularly derived from the Skr. पतुच, eee

Vol. I. p. 144.

From "lifth" enwards the ordinals are regularly formed by adding H. 41, Old-H. 41, P. 41, S. 41, G. 41, M. 41. B. and O., having no ordinals of their own, use the Sanskrit terms when necessary. All these terminations come regularly from the Skr. 44, the termination of the ordinals. Hindi uses for "sixth" & I, the regular Prakrit form of Skr. 42, and with this agrees G. & I, but all the rest are regular.

<sup>1</sup> See far farther discussion of this question Chap. III | 84.

§ 28. Besides the cardinal and ordinal series, the languages have other sets of numerals formed in ways peculiar to themselves. Such are the fractional numbers, in which all the seven languages are rich.

"A quarter" is H. पाव or पाउ, P. id., S. पाउ, G. पाञ, M. पाव, O. पाए, पा. B. पोचा (पञा): the origin of all these is Skr. पाइक, P. पाइक "a quarter," the compound सपाइक="with a quarter," becomes in Pr. सवाइक, and in H. सवा, P. सवा, सवाइ, सवाइका, S. सवाइ, G. सवा, M. सजा, O. सवधाइ, B. सवधा (sau'd). Thus H. सवा तीन "three and a quarter," and so in all the rest. On the other hand, the deduction of a quarter is expressed by derivatives of Skr. पाइक, i.e. पाइ + कम "a quarter less." H. पीन, P. पट्टा, पट्टा, S. पीको, G. पीका, M. पाइका (nearer to the Skr. than any), O. पीन, B. id. 'Thus पीन तीन "two and three quarters," or "a quarter less than three." In H. P. O. and B. we appear to have the locative form, in the others a nominative.

Two other numbers also are peculiar to this group: "one and a half," H. इंड from Skr. हाई, concerning which see Vol. I. p. 238, and "two and a half," H. चढाई, P. डाई, S. चढाई, G. चढाई, M. चढाई, O. चढाई, B. चढाई, the origin of which seems to be Skr. चर्ध + इचं, to which M. adds the conjunction च.

For "three and a half," "four and a half," and the rest, the languages add to the complete numeral the word H. P. साइ. S. साइ. G. साइ. M. साइ. O. साइ. B. साइ. from Skr. स + अर्थ = "with a half;" thus "three and a half" is H. साइ लोग. "four and a half" साइ चार, and so on.

The other species of numerals, such as "once," "twice," and the rest, will more appropriately be described under the head of Adverba.

## CHAPTER II.

## GENHER.

CONTENTS.—| 29. Natural and Grammatical Gender.—| 30. Use of Gender in the Seven Landscaues.—| 31. Typical Terminations of the Adjrective.—| 22. Treminations of the Masculine Gender.—| 33. Terminations of the Feminise.—| 34. Terminations of the Neutra.—| 35. Formation of Perinces from Masculines.—| 36. Gender of Wordserving in Consonants.—| 37. Decay of Gender.—17 Cause in Bendaliane Orita.

§ 29. Gender is of two kinds, natural and grammatical. Natural gender is that which refers to living beings, and is threefold: there being one form for males, a second for females, and a third for mankind or animals regarded merely as such without reference to sex. The human mind has, however, not rested content with this simple and natural use of gender, but has, by an effort of imagination, extended the distinctions of sex to inanimate objects, abstract ideas, and, in short, to all nouns of every kind. All languages are not alike in this respect; some retain all three genders, others only two, and some have had the good fortune to emancipate themselves entirely in the noun, and to a great extent in the pronoun, from these awkward and combersome awaddling-clothes of speech. This is happily the case with our own bountiful and practical language, and is an advantage for which we ought to be deeply thankful to our Norman ancestors, whose keen common sense led them to reject much that was useless and unwieldy in the speech of our English forefathers.

The older languages of the Indo-European family have all

YOU IL

three genders; those of the Semitic family got on very well from the beginning with only two, having never thought of developing the neuter. In the middle and modern Indo-Germanic languages the German still retains all three genders, while most of the others have only two, and some none at all.

Natural gender exists in all languages, grammatical gender only in a certain number. In those which do not observe grammatical gender, natural gender is frequently distinguished by baying two separate words for the two sexes; in those which acknowledge both kinds of gender, the distinction is affected by a variation in the form of the word, most frequently in the terminating syllable or vowel. In consequence of this habit, it has come to puss that the form of the word has created the gender; thus, in deciding what gender should be ascribed to a word which indicates an object from its nature incapable of classification by sex, the form of the word is the only guide; and if it happen to be of a form similar to that which is appropriated to the male sex in living beings, it will be classed as masculine; if to the female sex, as feminine; and if to neither, as neuter. Both classes of gender exist in the languages we are considering, but in a very different degree. Gender is in all of them indicated to a great extent by variations of form, especially of termination; but it must be observed that whereas substantives have, as has been shown in the last chapter, a very great range of terminations, adjectives and the participial forms of verbs have very few. It is principally by observing which farm of an adjective or participle is used with any given substantive, that we can tell what its gender is. For instance, H. sarak, "a road," is the name of a thing in itself incapable of natural gender; it is only by noting such phrases as bart sarak, "a big road," sayak bentt, "the road is being made," that we discover. it to be feminine. Hence it follows that in those languages which use very few participial forms, or whose adjectives have no distinctive forms for gender, we are unable to truce the

gender of substantives very clearly; such languages have only natural, not grammatical gender, and even the natural gender only in a limited number of instances finds expression in the form of the word used.

§ 30. Sanskrit has all three genders, so have the Prakrits. In the modern languages, only Gujarati and Marathi have all three. Sindhi, Panjabi, and Hindi have only masculine and feminine; Bengali and Oriya have no gender at all, except in the pure Sanskrit Tatsamas, now so largely introduced, which retain the form of the Sanskrit gender, but even this only in the higher style. In B. they say कोट बाबब "a little boy," कोट बाणिका "a little girl," and कोट बज़ "a little thing." In the highflown literary style they would write मुन्द्र गर् "a handsome man," सुद्री स्त्री "a beautiful woman;" but in the common style, which is, after all, the true language, one would hear बुद्द मेरी for "a beautiful woman," without regard to gender. The same holds good in Oriya, and in both, even in the high atyle, there is no distinction between masculine and neuter. In this Chapter, therefore, we may dispense with these two languages altogether.

In Hindi, Panjabi, and Sindhi, the reduction of the three genders to two has been effected by turning both the masculines and neuters of Sanskrit into masculines; and as the common people in the two first-named countries are very careless about the use of the feminine, it may almost be said that grammatical gender scarcely exists out of books. The use of gender is still further reduced, even in literature, by the fact that a great number of the adjectives in those two languages end in consenants, and do not possess separate forms for masculine and feminine, so that they afford no clue to the gender of the substantive, which is only perceptible from the numerous participial forms of the verb.

In Sindhi some of the neuter nouns of Sanakrit have become

148 OENDER.

feminine, but the most part are masculine. As before mentioned, all neuns in Sindhi end in a vowel, and the distinction of gender is therefore more clearly recognizable than in the other languages wherein consonantal terminations abound. There are, however, some adjectives, mostly of Arabic or Persian origin (though some are pure Sindhi), which, although ending in a vowel, do not change either for gender, number, or case; as we plentiful," Skr. acc; as we plentiful," Skr. acc; as we which are Sanskritic; and whole," which are Sanskritic; and "whole," "good," "distressed," which are Arabic and Persian.

Marathi still retains in full and every-day use the whole three genders, and the same is true of Gujarati. Not only have the substantives three genders, but the adjectives also, and the greater part of the tenses of the verb, being participial in form, have also three genders, so that to a foreigner the difference in this respect between these two stilted languages and the simple, easy, but in no whit less expressive Bengali, is at every turn apparent.

In all the five languages which have gender expressed, the masculine is used to denote large, strong, heavy and coarse objects; the feminine small, weak, light and fine ones; and the neuter, where it exists, represents dull, inert, and often contemptible things. So far is this carried, that in cases where the original word was only masculine or neuter, a feminine form has been invented to express a smaller or finer article of the same kind; and, conversely, where only a feminine form existed, a masculine to express a larger or coarser object has been struck out. Instances are:

Skr. भाष्ट्रं "pet" (a.), H. हांडा "a large cooking pet" (m.), हांडी "a small cashiron," or "earthen pet" (f.).

Shr. गुढ़ and गोल "ball" (m.), H. गोला "cannon-ball" (m.), गोली "bullet," "pill" (f.).

Shr. रश्चिम "rope" (m.), H. रसा "cable" (m.), रसी "string," "cord" (f.).

Here the final i of raçmi having been lengthened, and i being universally regarded as a feminine termination, a masculine in d has been created. This distinction runs through all the languages, and is even found in B. and O., which, although careless in the matter of gender, still recognize the difference between the termination in d, as denoting larger objects, and that in i, as denoting smaller. This will be more fully treated under the heads of the various terminations.

In M., with its three genders, the different shades of a common meaning, as indicated by gender, are illustrated by the following examples:

चिरटा (m.), "a large piece torn from a plantain leaf, used to अपका up goods in."

चिटी (f.), "a small piece of the above."

चिर्णा (m.), the same as चिर्टा.

चिर्मी (f.), the same as चिर्टी, also "a small chisel."

चिर्वे (a.), "a small chisel," " the act of tearing."

गांदा (ma), "a large cart, or waggen, for conveying loads."

बाडी (f.), " a small cart or carriage for conveying persons "

बार (क.), "a clumsy or ricketty old car or dray."

दोर (m.), " a cable."

होरी (/), "a thread."

दोरा (m.), "a string," "thread," "streamlet," "track."

घोडा (m:), " a stone."

uisl (f.), "a large mass of rock." (Here the fem. expresses the larger object, which is unusual.)

In the case of animals, the masculine and feminine indicate the respective sexes, and the neuter either the young or the whole species collectively; as

घोडा (m.), "horse." घोडी (A), "mare." चोड (a.), "the harse," f.e. the genus Equas generally. बबारा (m.), "u he-goat."

वकर and बद्धार (s.), "a kid," also the goat species in general.

The same practice exists in Gujarati; as

योडी (m.), "borne."

घोडी (f.), "mare."

भोड़ें (a.), "a wretched screw of a horse," also horses in general' or collectively.

पाची (st.), "a buffale-bull."

पार्टी (f.), "a buffalo-cow,"

uli (u.), "a buffalo-calf."

These peculiarities of gender and its terminations will come out clearly as we work through this Chapter, and need not be further illustrated here.

§ 31. The adjective in all the languages exhibits the general type of the terminations for gender, and is so fixed and regular as to afford a standard for each language. The general type of the adjective is as follows:

	HE	ROUE	inc.	PERMAL.			
	M.	F.	N.	M.	F.	N.	
Hindi	ā	1	-		by and tan	_	
Panjabi	4	£	-	1	ldn.	_	
Sindhi	0	1	_	d	iyun:	_	
Gujarati	o	1	ting	â	1	du.	
Marathi	4	1	en	1 .	yd	In.	

It is not of course meant that these are the only terminations of the adjective or participle, but that among the numerous

<sup>\*</sup> Narmadde ankar's exemple is "When the Gaskwar same to Bombay, he brought a great number of horses (gherom phoyus) with him."

terminations that are found these are the chief, and, so to speak, radical ones; the others may be regarded as exceptions and deviations, to be explained in their place.

In the participial forms which so largely enter into the construction of all verbs, these terminations are unvaryingly used for the respective genders, except in some instances in Marathi, in which the incorporation of the substantive verb into the participle has, by the operation of suphonic laws, wrought a change in the vowel.

Taking then the terminations of the adjective as the central type, it will now be necessary to go through the range of substantive terminations in order, so as to get at a clear comparison of them all, and to ascertain which are regularly derived from the central type, and which are exceptions, to be accounted for in other ways.

§ 32 (1). The masculine termination d in H. P. M., and occasionally B. and O., corresponds to the termination o in S. and G. in a large majority of instances: as

This termination is in S. masculine, without any exceptions; in G., however, some words in o are feminine, in which the o is not the pratyaya, or formative syllable derived from the Sanakrit -as, Pr. -o, as in the above-mentioned class, but arises from some phonetic corruption of the root-syllable. Such are the feminine nouns.

0. 南西] "locch," Skr. 南南南 (人). 0. 表] "moriar," "司((他). 0. 数] "litard," "司((人))(国)((中))()

The number of these words is not large, nor are they in themselves important.

The termination in d exists throughout the whole seven languages, including G. and S., in certain words: these are—

- (\$\beta\$). Words derived from Skr. nouns in नृ, making their nominative in ता. Of this formure a great number of Tatsamas denoting the agent, as कता, दाता. etc.
- (γ). A few words of foreign origin, running through all the languages, and chiefly relating to males, so that they are masculine by natural gender. These are mostly Arabic, Persian, or Turkish, but have been in use in the Indian languages from very early times, and have even undergone some corruptions, as will be seen in the following list:

H. बाला "master," "sir," II, P. बाला, काबा, S. जाजा, G. id.

H. बाबा "child," bb, P. id., S. बाबो, G. id., M. बाबा.

H. हाना "sage," 🗓 , P. M., S. दानाउ, दानाझ, दानझ, G. दानाव, दानो, M. दावा-

H. दरिया "river," ५,७, Р. दरिकाछ, 8. दरियात, दरिकाझ, 6. दरियात, दरियो, M. दर्यो.

H. उमरा "nobles" (pl., also singular), ने, कार्य उमराउ, P. उमराउ singular, S. उमराउ, "क्र sing., G. उमराव, "रो sing., M. उमराव sing.

H. जुदा "God." مَكْد. P. W., S. Id. and जुदान, G. जुदा, खोदा. M. जुदा-

H. दारोबा "averseer," क्ष्रीठ, P. दरोगा. S. द्रोगो. G. id., M. दरोगा-

The word उसरा, though really a plural, is constantly used as a singular in modern speech. It will have been noticed that

there is a tendency to add a u or r on to many of these words, and so to account, as it were, for their being masculine, by giving them the old masculine termination. G. also effects the same by boldly changing the final d into o.

(2). The termination I is the typical termination of the feminine in Tadhhavas and Desajas; that is to say, in nouns of the medieval and modern kinds, in which, as we have frequently before noticed, a principle of development prevails of a character essentially different from that which distinguishes the classical Sanskrit or Prakrits. In opposition, however, to this generally feininine character of f, a number of nouns are found in all the languages, which, though ending in I, are masculine. This class seems to have given some trouble to our grammar-makers, and their difficulty has been increased by the laxity of practice in some of the languages. Especially is this the case in Hindi, where, owing to the leading authors having been men of strong poetic instinct, but of little learning, a tendency has grown up to give to each word the gender indicated by its typical termination; and words which, from their origin, ought to be masculine, are sometimes found coupled with feminine adjectives or participles. This source of error is, however, confined to words which have only grammatical gender; those which are musculine by natural gender, as describing male beings or their occupations, are exempted by their nature from the possibility of being mistaken for feminines.

Musculines in I may be divided into the following classes:

(a). Those derived from Sanskrit agents in  $\P$ , which in that language form their nominative in  $\P$ ; as the modern languages ignore the grammatical fiction of a base, as distinct from the actual nominative, it is from the latter only that they take their forms. Instances of this class are the following (see also the list in § 18):

Skr. इस्ती "elephant," hanc इस्तिन् (m.), H. G. S. हाथी, P. इत्थी, M. इत्ती, B. O. हाती.

Skr. सामी "lard," base स्वासिन् (m.). In all साई, except though in the commoner word गोस्तासी, which becomes in the modern languages गोसाई, M. has गोसावी.

Skr. साली "gardener," base मालिए (m.). In all माली, but G. and M. माट्डी.

Skr. पथी "bled," base पश्चित्, H. पंछी, M. G. P. id., S. पश्ची, H. पाखी, and in East-B. पाडी.

Skr. माची "witness," base साचित्, H. माखी, and so in all.

There are, moreover, in this class many words of uncertain origin, as well as others whose origin is not at all uncertain, though, owing to phonetic changes, it is not so apparent at first sight. In some of these words we may attribute the form to a feeling that long f as a masculine was appropriate to names of trades or professions, so that it was added to words for which there existed no prototype in Sanskrit. Such are—

H. घोषी "washerman," and so in all. B. and O. have घोषा and घोषा.

- H. पटवारी "village accountant," so in all, but rare in M.

H. प्रोमी "neighbour," in all, but more correctly with द.

H. मोदी "shopkeeper," in all, but S. मोदा.

H. TTET "a non-resident cultivator," so in P. B. O.

The exact form from which भीनी is derived is uncertain. It should be Skr. भागि, from भाग "to wash," and the vulgar language probably used the word in this sense, though in classical Sanskrit the word seems to be used exclusively in the other sense of the root, namely, as "a runner." पानी is from पानी, and that again from पान, from पान in the sense of a "side;" a pake cultivator being one who lives, not on the spot, but in another village, and is thus, as we should put it in collequial English, an "outsider." प्रवारी is a somewhat abnormal compound of the mediaval period; the first part uz is from un

"a letter" or "writing," and the second seems to postulate a form TITE "doer," perhaps from the root of " UTE, more accurately UTE, is MATIET. HIE has been by some derived from the Skr. HIE "sweetment;" but I believe it really comes from the Arabic "sweetment;" but I believe it really comes from the Arabic "sweetment;" ators;" the ordinary Indian mod! is not a sweetment-seller, but deals in grain, and entables of all sorts.

In a subsequent section (§ 35) it will be shown that the majority of these words testify to the existence of a Sanskrit original in (4), by forming their feminines in (4), or in forms derived therefrom.

(β). Nouns derived from Sanskrit substantives in 7, with the sense of a male agent. Here the gender is natural, not merely grammatical. Common instances are:

Skr. धातु "brother," H. भार, so in all, but P. also धाउ, S. भाउ. M. भारत

Sir. नपु "grandson," H. नाती, so in B. and O., but M. मातू.

The number of words of this class is not large, nor do they run through all the languages; in fact, as has already been mentioned (Ch. I. § 14), the tendency of wais rather towards of this class.

(γ). Words derived from Sanskrit masculines and neuters in
 t, by lengthening the final vowel; as

Skr. इपि "curds" (n.), H. इही (m.), P. इहीं (m.), M. and G. id. but m., S. इहीं (f.).

Skr. पति "lord" (m.), H. पती (m.), but oftener पत-

Skr. भगिषीयति "sister's husband" (m.), H. बहुनोई (m.), P. भन्नाई, O. भिनोई.

Skr. Tiffs "carpenter" (m.), H. TET, O. B. M. id., but G. S. TIST. which is an independent formation, from the modern verb "to cut."

The origin of the termination sard will be discussed under the Verb, to which it properly belongs.

(δ). Derivatives from Sanskrit masculines and neuters in (a).
(ξ) and (ξ). Concerning the former of these, see examples in Ch. I. § 18, (β), (γ). Of the two latter examples are:

Skr. पानीद "water" (n.), H. पानी (m.), and so in all, but generally पानी. In G. and M. it is m.

Skr. प्रिय "beloved" (m.), H. पी (m.), "lover," "husband."

Skr. बोचिय "a class of Brahmans," H. सोती.

Skr. चविय "a Kehattriya," H. खबी, क्बी, क्की, P. id., S. खिबी,

Under this head probably comes the list of obscurely derived words in Sindhi given by Trumpp at p. 96, though, with some three or four exceptions, the origin of these words is not at present traceable.

(c) Words derived from Sanskrit masculine and neuter nouns containing \(\mathbf{\text{c}}\) or \(\mathbf{\text{t}}\) in the penultimate syllable, but in which, the last syllable having fallen away through phonetic changes, the \(\mathbf{\text{c}}\) or \(\mathbf{\text{t}}\) has become final, the former being lengthened to \(\mathbf{\text{t}}\). Thus;

. Skr. धूर्त "clarified butter" (n.), H. घी (m.), M. घी (n.) but rare, P. G. id. (n.).

Skr. जीव "life" (m. and a.), H. जी (m.), and so in all.

So completely, except in the case of professions and trades, has the idea of the feminine character of taken possession of the popular mind among the modern Aryans, that many words, which ought to be regarded as masculine, are treated as feminine, simply because they now terminate in t. Thus in H. भवना "butter" is found with feminine adjectives, though it is properly masculine, being derived from Skr. भवनीत (n.), and the corresponding word in M., भोगी, is neuter. So, also, H.

<sup>1</sup> The Setie are one of the highest clams or getres among the Maithil Brahmans. The Rajas of Darbhanga belong to this potra.

fast "sale" is treated as feminine, though derived from Skr. fast (m.). In M. several words which are neuter, of this termination, are written with anuswara as to because the anuswara, being derived from the final H of Sanskrit, seems to the people to be more appropriate to that gender. Thus they write unif "water," Hin "pearl," and others. It is by the operation of a similar tendency that we in England now treat such words as riches, alms, as plurals, though they are really singulars corrupted from richesse, almesse; so also our old singular pease, from pisum, has been changed into a plural peas, and a singular pea formed from it, though the s has nothing to do with the plural sign, but is a genuine radical part of the word.

(3). The termination a 3 is masculine, as in the cases of the other long vowels, in words derived from Skr. masculines. rule is a good guide, in spite of a certain number of exceptions, in all terminations, namely, that the gender of the Sanakrit original is fairly kept in all modern words, and affords a clue to the many apparent irregularities; as, for instance, in the case of words like ची, पानी, etc. Inasmuch, therefore, as the termination 3 in Sanskrit is of all three genders, we cannot say that it is either regular or irregular for a word in any of the modern languages to be masculine, feminine, or neuter. We must in each case trace the word back to its origin; and we shall, in a large majority of cases, find the modern word retaining the gender of its parent. In Gujarati no distinction is practically made between long and short u; and even in Marathi some confusion exists. In Marathi, however, and Sindhi, the long 6 is generally masculine; in H. and P. words of this termination are about equally divided between the two genders. No examples of the musculine nouns of this termination need be given. The rules for the formation of the stem contained in

<sup>1</sup> The translators of our English Bible knew better when they wrote "an alms" (Acts iii. 3), though they use "riches" so a plural, "Your riches are corrupted."

the preceding Chapter will serve to guide towards the Sanskrit word from which the modern word is derived, and the gender can thus be easily ascertained.

(4). The short vowels are generally elided at the end of a word in Hindi, as well as in P. and G., and to a very great extent also in common Marathi. It will therefore be more convenient to reserve the consideration of such words till we come to the section on consumantal endings. When the short vowel is not elided, it is frequently lengthened, to enable it to retain its position, and the words in which it still remains as a short rowel are all Tataannas, like nin, fair, and, ny, the gender of which is identical with that of the Sanskrit.

It is only in Sindhi that short vowel terminations are of any account, and our discussion of them is confined to this language.

Short u T is the typical ending of masonlines.

Short a wis the typical ending of feminines.

Short i T is chiefly feminine.

Masculines in 3 are derived from the Prakrit termination o, and represent the class I have called barytones in the last Chapter, thus corresponding to the masculine consonantal endings of other languages.

Under this head come also nouns derived from Sauskrit masculines and neuters in 3, thus—

There are also a few words in which the final a is derived from the \$\frac{1}{2}\$ of Sanskrit, as quoted in Ch. I. \$ 14, fuz = fuz, etc.

There appear to be no instances of masculines in a w, and very few in w. Of the latter, instances are uv, from Skr. ufa "lord;" faxeufa, from Skr. agenta "the planet Jupiter;" ufa

<sup>\*</sup> This is Trumpp's desiretion (Sindh) Gr. p. 23). I am disposed to derive the word regularly from Skr. #4. Pr. #41.

"Vishnu;" and as shortenings of द from इन्, as noted above in (2, a), केन्द्रि from केन्द्रिन "lion;" सहाद for सहाद, from सहाद "helper," are familiar examples.

§ 33. As in the masculine, so also in the feminine terminations, there exists a double system. The termination d, which is typical of the feminine in Sanskrit, is in the modern languages a resultant from e, and typical of the masculine; yet it is found as the termination of many fem. words, just as t, the modern fem. type, occurs in many masculine words.

(1). Final d is feminine in the seven languages in the follow-

ing classes of nouns,

(a). In Taisamas which are feminine in Sanskrit, such as पूजा "worship," जिंता "thought," र सवा "tongue," क्या " story," and many more very common words.

(3). In a considerable number of modern Tadbhavas, which, though changed from the pure Sanskrit form, still retain the distinctive termination, and with it the gender: such as

Skr. चुना "patience" (f.), H. क्रिना, क्र्मा, P. S. जिमा, G. जमा,

Skr. चारा "pilgrimage" (.f.), IL जारा, P. जातरा, S. जाटरा, G. id., also जातरा, M. जना, बी.र.

Str. मुधा "honger" (A), H. सुधा, P. सुविशा-

Skr. आजा "order" (5), स. आव्या, P. जानिका, S. जान्या. G.

Skr. हता " morder" (f.), S. हचा-

(γ). In some words of uncertain origin, but purely local in type, as—

は、何何可し(元)。"a small box," but in all the rest m. in d, with a fem. in f.

H. चिडिया (f.), "a bird," in P. and M. without the final syllable and f. H. ब्रिया (f.), "an old woman." 160 GENDER.

In these three very common words the final UT is probably a recent addition, and the words were originally, as they are still in the cognate languages, [34], [42], and [42], the i having been shortened, as is usual in Hindi, in consequence of the additional syllable. Or we may suppose them to come under § 9 (2), and to have been feminines of the form मिषमा, where the suffix that has been softened into ind, though it would be useless to look for a Sanskrit original for the two first words. The third may, however, well come from a Skr. form [434].

This remark leads to a consideration of somewhat extended application. It may be asked why d, which is in Sanskrit a fem. ending, should in the moderns be so typically and universally a mase, ending, and similarly why t, which in Skr. is quite as much a mase, as a fem, ending, should have in the moderns so almost exclusively attached itself to the fem.? The answer would appear to be found in the extreme prevalence in Pr. of the practice of adding the suffix aka to nouns of all classes. We have to begin with the oxytone nouns in Skr., which become nouns in o and d in the moderns, and to them we must also add the Pr. formatives in aka=ao, of the type ghotaka= ghoras = ghora; the union of these two sets of words results in a preponderance of mases, in 4-o. Now, as the feminine of aka is ika, and ika becomes iya, and more frequently still t. if we suppose that to every one of the words to which Pr. tacked on ska for the mase., it also tacked on ikd for the fem., the prependerance of i as a fem. ending in the moderns is explained, the more so that we can add to the I from ika a large class in which already in Sanskrit the mase, in -as forms its fem, in 1; such as 明天 (m.), 明天 (f.). Moreover, the typical ending of the adjectives being 4-o for the mase, and I for the fem, it was natural to use an adjective ending in I, with a substantive of a similar termination; and so it comes to pass that all grammarwriters treat masculines in I and feminines in I as exceptions, though few of them attempt to account for their existence.

(2). Final i is feminine then in all cases except those noted in the last section, and this holds good for all the seven languages. Final a is feminine in words derived from Sanskrit feminines, as 其"earth," 可具"daughter-in-law," H. 可表, P. 可表, S. 可表, etc.

In Sindhi, as noted above, the feminine terminations are a and i, and the majority of these words are identical with the feminines in mute n of the other languages, which have been shortened from Skr. feminines in â.

(3). In some classes of adjectives, mostly very common and vulgar, a feminine in a is found. This is very common in Panjabi, and frequently with the suffixes a, va, or va, where the a may be regarded as a lengthoning of the st of the masculine. Such are—

धकेली "(a cow) that theasts or butts," mast. धकेलू.

चुड्डी "whore," from चुड्ड "cunnus," also written झुड्डी. सुट्टी. and

जडी "adulteress," from जढवा "to copulate," masc. झड्.

हांद्रों "a woman with projecting teath," from देंद्र "tooth," masc. हांद्र

नखरेजी "a coquette," origin uncertain,

बाजी " an immodest woman" (probably from बाज, for बाज = बजा).

Most of the words of this form are words of abuse used by women to one another, the fair sex in India being possessed of a remarkably fartile invention in the matter of vituperation. In Marathi also will is often a feminine auding, as in wrant "woman," where the o is probably a lengthening of the masculine will. In Oriya, when addressing woman, they always use will.

6 34. The neuter has less variety of termination than the other genders, and exists only in Marathi and Gujarati. The type of the neuter is M. C. G. S. but M. also has a neuter in S.

162 GENDER.

which, however, is not frequent, and occurs principally in words denoting the young of animals, which have also mase, and fem. forms for the two sexes of the animal; as चकरा (m.) "he-goat," चकरी (f.) "she-goat," चकर (s.) "a kid of both sexes."

Both the typical terminations point back to the st of the Skr. neuter, that of G. in st, by virtue of the process so often observable in the case of final st, by which that letter resolves itself into its two elements, the labial and nasal, the former of which passes through st into st, and the latter into anuswara, and then into anunasika or a simple nasal breathing. The st of Marathi would seem to be derived from a weakening of the st of sinto st.

Though the other languages have no neuter for the noun, yet the infinitive, which is a verbal noun, is derived from a Skr. neuter, and in most of the languages retains a neuter form. Hoernle (Journ. As, Soc. Bengal, vol. xlii. p. 66) combats the theory that the neuter form observable in Old-Hindi, and in saveral dialects of Modern-Hindi, as well as in G. and M., and he might have added in Sindhi also, is derived from the Skr. neuter in w. Thus he will not take Old-H. well, dialectic करनीं, करनां, करने, from Skr. इर्ष, na he says the process is opposed to certain glottic laws which he appears to have formulated for himself. He would apparently derive the Marathi infinitive aci from a Prakrit form acfunt; and the other infinitives with a labial type, as atvil, from a further Prakrit form word. The phonetic changes thus indicated are indeed possible, and quite regular; if we once concede Prakrit forms actival and acqui, there is no difficulty in deducing from them modern forms still and at if respectively; but we want more evidence as to the said Prakrit forms, and their meaning hardly corresponds with sufficient accuracy. But leaving this question of the infinitive for its proper place, we may follow Hoernie in his process of applying the principle

to nouns in general No such general ending in To or WW is of course to be found in Prakrit for neuter nouns of all kinds ; but the author would have us believe that such an ending did exist in a great many cases, and that its origin is to be found in the favourite suffix W, so that we are to postulate in the case of every Marathi neuter in to a Prakrit form in Tw. derived from र्क, and in the case of every neuter in उं or के, a similar उध from 34: why Maratin should always select 78, and Gujarati 33, is not explained, and seems in fact unexplainable. It is, however, highly probable that we have in this theory au indication of the direction in which we should search for the explanation of such forms if dissatisfied with their derivation from the simple Sanskrit neuter; and it must be admitted that the author's illustrations from the forms of the oblique cases of stems in the in Marathi are strongly confirmatory of his theory,

§ 35. A large majority of feminines in all the seven languages are formed from the corresponding masculine nouns, though there are of course many which have an independent origin; as, for instance, words which possess natural gender, in which the female unimal has a distinct name from the male one. But in names of trades, castes, and the like, the female is generally derived from the male.

Masculines in & G. S., or & H. and the rest, form their faminines in I: as

This is an extremely common process, based on the typical endings of the two genders, and need not be further illustrated. It is extended also to the ease of masculines ending in short a in S., and with mute s, or in other words, with a consonantal ending, in the other languages. Instances are:

S. q "washerman,"	परि "washerwoman."
S. 11 1 1 he-ass."	गद्रहि "alie-asa."
K भी द "drinus,"	घीरि "firms."
P. anie "sugar-cane,"	कुमादी 🚜
P. unu "vetch."	कुलधी धः
P. 南電 "a cowry,"	कोडी "a small cowry."
H. बन्दर "monkey,"	बद्री "she-mankey."
H. बन "forest,"	बनी "copec."
II win a hambaa 9	with a day of a second

Although, however, instances are to be found, such as those given above, of a feminine in the being derived from consouantally ending masculines, yet the practice is not very common, the feminines in I being more generally derived from masculines in 6 and 4; especially is this the case in masculines derived from Skr. by means of the common suffix wa, which, as has been shown, produces wal, and then an and an, while its feminine is regularly was, yielding was, and then to as in alter, when a later (m.) "horse," willow, willow, will all (f.)

Extremely common, and spread throughout the seven languages, is the feminine ending whose varied forms are given below, and which is confined to the expression of nationality, caste, occupation, bodily and mental qualities, and other attributes of living, and chiefly human, beings.

H. has, in the first place, feminines in दन, दनी or जी, derived from Sanskrit दनी, the feminine of masculines in दे (रन); thus

Skr. हसी "elephant," H. हाथी, Skr fem हस्तिनी, H. हाथिन. इाविनी, or हथनी. This form it also uses in feminines derived from a masculine in t, which t is thereby shown to represent the Skr. . . as

धीबी "washerman," धोविन "washerwoman;"

मार्जी "gardener," साजिन and माजिनी "gardener's wife;"

but the termination is by no means confined to those words which have in Skr. the form **TT** (m.), **TT** (f.), but, like all terminations which have once become typical, is added to words derived from all sorts of formations; thus

सोनार "goldamith" (Skr. सुवयंकार), सुनार्न "goldamith's wife."
चमार "leather worker" (Skr. चर्मकार), चमार्न his wife.
कुन्हार "pottor" (Skr. कुमकार), कुन्हार्न his wife.
वास "tiger" (Skr. बाझ), वासन, वासनी "tigress."
कुन्हार the female of that

Through the habitual carelesaness of this language in respect of unaccented short vowels, this termination is often sounded merely as we.

कुंबडा "a seller of vegetables," कुंबडन and कुंबडिन "a female seller." बाला "cowherd "(Skr.बीपालक), खालन and खालिन "conherd's wife."

हम " chent" (Skr. ख्रम). उसन and दिनन " female chent," or "a thag's wife."

पापी "sinner," पापन, पापिन, पापिनी "peccatrix."
बरेडा "washerman," बरेडन his wife.
बढर "carpenter." बढ़न his wife.

In this latter word there has been contraction from चढरच.

Regular derivatives from the Sanskrit are the words indicative of conditions peculiar to females:

Skr. गर्भिणी "pregnant," H. गामिन and गामन.

So also a feminine in रून, चन, or भी, may be made out of all sorts of masculines, to indicate the wife of a man of any class or

trade, rather than a woman who practises the trade herself. Thus one often hears familiarly witeff "a thief's wife," from wit "a thief." This does not necessarily imply that the woman is herself a thief, but that her husband is. The same remark applies to the following:

GENDER.

पंडित "a Pandit," पंडिताशी his wife.

गुद्र "a toucher," मह्याद्य his wife.

डाकुर "a chief," डाकुरानि and डाकुराइन "a chief's wife."

पोधरी "a headman." पोधराइन "a headman's

These forms unfer and unger are from Skr. unel, the latter by a common inversion. They are oven added to words of Persian origin; as

"a sweeper." मेहतराणि "a female sweeper." पंड "a Mughal." मुगलाणि "a she-Mughal." चाकराणि "a female servant."

Even in words ending in wit we have the feminine ending

बनियां " trader," बनियाइन "a trader's wife."

The Muhammadan government conferred the title of Khan is on Hindus in some parts of Bengal, and from this the common people have formed a scarcely pronounceable feminine. Khan throughout India is pronounced with the final a masalized, as though written et, and the feminine is therefore citate, valgo citate or citate, which the reader may pronounce at his leisure.

Panjabi has also this method of forming the feminine, and chiefly neglects the \(\mathbf{\chi}\) and uses the termination \(\mathbf{\chi}\) or \(\mathbf{\chi}\), the former used after a cerebral, the latter after other letters, a practice in which Panjabi reverses the Skr. usage. The application of this feminine ending has grown to be irrespective

of the termination of the masculine, as in H. This will be seen from the following group of examples:-

भोबी or भोवा "washerman," भोवण lie wife. भोड़ी or भोड़ा "a cheat," भोड़ण ld. (f.) कुडडी "teprous mun" (Skr. कुडी), कुड़ रन "teprous woman." कुसाबी "had companion" (m.), कुसाबण id. (f.) बरोभी "angry" (Skr. कोभी), करोभण ld. (f.) भांडळवा "tricksteer," भांडळन "trickstress,"

The intelligent and progressive caste of Kayasths, which is so leading a class in Bengal, is very scantily represented in the Panjab, and the name is somewhat corrupted.

काइच or काइच (m.), काइचयी, काइचायी, काइचित्रायी, and का॰(f.).

मी is used also alternatively with बहुबा, as बोधरी "headman." बोधरकी. बोधराइबा his wife. करमानमी id. (f.)
मुखार "elllager" ((Skr. सामवारी.))
मुखार "elllager" (H. मांबार). कमीनमी id. (f.)
उमा "eleat." उम्बी his wife.

From the feminine again, by some forgetfulness of its origin, has been formed a masculine 3441; so deeply scated is the feeling that a feminine in & corresponds to and postulates a masculine in a. Precisely in the same way in Latin the feminine maxile, literally "manned," from mus, maris, has given rise to a masculine marilus.

Persian and Arabic words also undergo the prevailing Indian conversion into feminines. Hence spring the common but curious hybrids—

मुसेन "augry," i.e. Arabic ं with Aryan ऐल. कि... गुसेसाथ । जनाद "executioner." Arabic र्राट्र कि... जनादणी bis wife. कारीगर "artifacer," Persian र्राट्र कि... कारीगरणी bis wife. करजदार "debtor," Ar. and P. قرض دار fem. करजदार्था करजारें id., corrupt Arabic نرضاني iem. करजारें

Masculines in चाई shorten the द and add म for the fem., as धदवाई "weighman," धदवाइम his wife.

When a word of this form ends in anunasika, that is rejected, as मुसादे "devotee," नुसाद्या his wife.

So also with words ending in wi, as single "merchant," fem, single single a merchant's wife." In P. as well as in H. this feminine termination implies rather the wife of a man who is described by the mase, word, and not a woman who herself does the thing implied, as in the H. instance of sites, which does not contain any imputation on the honesty of the lady so styled, but on that of her husband.

Sindhi affixes this group of terminations to masculines of all sorts; thus it is added in the forms for and aff to masculines in short w; as

जदु "a Jai," ऋटिया and जटियी, also जट्यायी. "a Jai's wife." बरोचु "a Beluch," बरोचाणि his wife. सींड "llou," सींहणि "lloness."

To masculines in o, but less frequently than the fom. in द: as अंघो "a drummer," अंघिया, अंघियो, अंधायो, and अधादणी, his wife,

Trumpp teaches that in the case of masculines in short u, as we, the final u is changed to t, before adding the fem. termination; but if this were the case, we should have a double feminine. In the cognate languages, where the masculine ends in a consonant, we have feminines in \(\mathbf{\tau}\), and this leads to the conclusion that the \(\mathbf{\tau}\) is part of the termination, and \(\mathbf{\tau}\)Equivalent abound be divided thus: \(\mathbf{u}Z + \mathbf{\tau}\) not \(\mathbf{u}Z + \mathbf{\tau}\). In the original Skr. of this form we have the masc, base \(\mathbf{u}\)That has been dropped in the nom., yet all the other cases rotain it, and it must therefore be regarded as the

true ancient form, and the feminine sign would be only \(\circ\); but the modern languages having got hold of \(\circ\), and regarded the whole of it as the feminine termination, have tacked it on to all sorts of masculines. The only real instance of a double feminine is in such words as \(\circ\)zurell. which is doubtless \(\circ\)\(\circ\) + \(\circ\)\(\circ\). A similar confusion of forms gives \(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\), where there is \(\circ\)\(\circ\), the original or regular fam. of \(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\), with the \(\circ\) changed to a somivowel before the fam. ending \(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\), and has been regarded as in some way connected with \(\circ\)\(\circ\), and has thus come to be pronounced as \(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\), or perhaps \(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\), and has thus come to be pronounced as \(\circ\)\(\circ\)\(\circ\), as in the other languages, Sindhi has clapped on final \(\circ\) from a feeling that the word is femining.

In the case of masculines ending in a, this vowel is shortened to w or elided altogether, and the phrase, "a Hindu female," may thus be expressed in six different ways; thus

# हिन्दियो, हिन्दियाः हिन्दुयो, हिन्दुयाः हिन्दुयायी, हिन्दुवाद्याः

Gujarati has the terminations in considerable variety, as चल, एल, आसी, सी and भी, of which एल is posuliar to this language. Examples are:

मोजो " servant," गोजण, मोजेण " female servant." भोजी " masherman," भोजण, भोजेण " washerwoman." कोळी "a certain caste," कोळ्ण a waman of that caste.

Taylor (p. 28) gives also feminine forms धोवख, कोळख: but these are not noticed by Narmada Shankar. They might arise from the final दे of दूनी being shortened to the semivowel; and the form एए is from आनी, Pr. आसी, through the H. inversion आद्न. Gujarati retains the Prakrit form of the mand ग.

वाणियो "mader." वाणियगः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियाः वाणियः वाण

It appears that in cases where there are two forms of the feminine for the same word, one form is in use in one part of the country, and the other in a different part; but it is not stated which form is used in any specified part.

Marathi in particular affects a long vowel in the final syllable of words ending with a consonant; and in the class of words now under consideration it accordingly takes regularly to in the feminine nominative, but shortens this to to or in the oblique cases, because this syllable is no longer final in these cases. Thus we have—

मुगर् "skilful mao." मुगर्भा "skilful woman," "a good housewife." भावी "dovotee," भावीग "female devotee." बाद्य "tiger." वाद्यीग "tigress." कुण्यो "pensant," कुण्योग his wife.

Sanskrit feminines in vel, whether they have my corresponding musculine in Marathi or not, are treated by it in the same manner as the above:

कुटीमा "procuress," Skr. कुट्टिनी: वहीमा "sieter," " भगिनी:

With regard to O. and B., as they have no means of indicating gender, so also they do not possess any regular method of forming feminines. In modern times a large number of Sanskrit feminine words have been dug up out of dictionaries, and are now used in their Tatsama form; and there are old Tadbhavas, like the word for "sister," which have not been formed from masculines in any modern language, but have come down ready made from ancient times. Of these it is unnecessary to say anything.

Nor need we here introduce those words which are names of female animals, the male of which has a different name; like H. In "ox," His "buil," in "cow." Each of these words stands on its own basis, and descends from some Skr. word which has no connexion with the masquine.

GENDER. 171

§ 36. With regard to the gender of that large class of words which, in all but Sindhi, end in mute o, or in other words in a consonant, I am convinced that it is quite impossible to lay down any law. Each word must be traced back to its Sanskrit or other origin, and the reason for the gender will then be apparent. A large number of these words have come to their present shape from rejecting a final a or other feminine ending in Skr. (see the remarks in § 11), and it is therefore more waste of time to attempt to find out any rules founded on the consonant which has thus accidentally become final. Stevenson, in his Marathi Grammar, occupies several pages with lists of feminines anding in a consonant, which it would be impossible for the student really to carry in his memory; practice alone in speaking the language can teach him the gender of these words. It is of little consequence to know the gender in H. or P. In the forms of Hindustani spoken all over India, gender is habitually neglected by all classes, and it is only in the area in which Hindi is the mother-speech that much attention is poid to it, and even there only by purists or accurate speakers. In a great portion of Bihar one hears such phrases as तुसा मा जाता है "your mother is coming;" and in the Parbatia or Nepali dialect, gender is not preserved at all. It exists, however, in literature, and its existence cannot therefore be ignored in Hindi even; and correspondents in the Marathi country and Gujarat inform me that the threefold gender of those languages is always correctly used, even by the lowest and most ignorant peasantry-an assertion which I should hexitate to receive in its entirety until confirmed by actual observation.

In Marathi gender is distinguished by native grammarians in three ways, and the rules which they lay down are useful as far as they go, though necessarily incomplete, and leaving much that is unclassified. They distinguish gender—(1) by signification, (2) by form, (3) by both combined. Of these three, the second, or that by form, applies only to the termination, and 172 GENDER.

breaks down as soon as it gets from words ending in a vowel to those ending in consonants. It is a good guide for vowel-andings, and consequently embraces the whole of Sindhi. In Marathi it helps us to some few rules for consonantal endings. Thus nouns in the are of course feminine, as shown in the last section; so also are those in the and the last section; so also are those in the and the last section; so also are those in the and the last section; so also are those in the and the last necessary is that nouns in the that is a preceded by anunasika, are masculine; but there are so many exceptions to this rule as to destroy its value for practical purposes. Similarly untrastworthy is the rule that nouns in the last member of a nexus, are neuter; for thereupon follows a long string of such words all masculine.

In all the languages the large class of stems in  $\Xi$ , mentioned in  $\S$  9 ( $\beta$ ), is chiefly feminine, but contains enough masculines to render it unsafe for a foreigner to treat all such words as feminines in speaking the language.

Reduplicated nouns are nearly everywhere feminine; but as many of these have vowel-endings, they come under head (3), which is a very perplexing method indeed, and leads to more confusion than either of the others. The only really trustworthy rule under this head is that which prescribes the gender of abstract nouns; those in un or un being neuter in those languages which have the neuter gender, and masculine in those which have it not. Unit is masculine in all, and mifeminine, as in Sanskrit.

Under head (1) we are led into a maze of conflicting considerations. Leaving out, as sufficiently accounting for themselves, words which have natural gender, the artificial gender reminds us of the incoherent old "Propria que maribus" of our school-days. Winds, mountains, rivers, periods of time, and the like, appear to range themselves under various genders with a most inextricable caprice, and the usual tagrag of exceptions hangs on to the skirts of their army like a mob of unruly camp followers. No one perhaps really believes that the speakers

who unconsciously developed their respective languages ever stopped to think what the gender of a word was, or intentionally made it masculine because it was a mountain, or feminine because it was a tree or a river. The utmost we can admit is that instinctively large coarse strong things were treated as masculine, and their opposites as feminine, while things really or constructively inert were usually spoken of as neuter.

It will perhaps be safer to conclude that all words whose gender cannot be ascertained from their present form must be traced back to the older form, where they will generally be found to be in possession of a termination which at once explains the reason of their modern gender.

Even this rule, however, is not free from exceptions, for there are not wanting words which, though clearly derived from Skr., have changed their gender in the course of ages. Especially is this the case with words denoting the body and its parts, where a sense of tenderness or familiarity seems to have operated to cause them to become feminine, that gender being used to denote small delicate objects. From the same sentiment the Romans turned the names for parts of the body into diminutives, as auricula, occilus, and the like. Instances are—

Sir. En "body" (m.), H. En (f.), P. and G. also En (f.), but in M. it is more, and S. En (f.), where the fem. T has been added.

Skr. ग्राम्य "oath" (m.), H. साँह (f.), so also is the late Tadbhava सपद: M. ग्राम्य is ex. and f. when meaning "an ordeal," but en when meaning "a curse."

Shr. 可证 "eye" (a.), H. 有证 (f.), P. 电元 (f.), G. 可证 (f.), S. 可证 (f.), where the retention of the final T has probably led to the word being considered as fem. Chand uses 可证 (= 可证).

Skr. आसय "sickness" (m.), H. आंच (m.) "uncons excrement," M. id. (f.), and S. ऑच (f.).

Skr. बाह्र "arm" (m.), H. बाह्र (f.), P. id. (f.), S. बाह्र (f.). In G. it is f., but takes a fem. ending wifft; so also in M. बाह्री (f.).

Skr. वजु "thing" (a.), H. बचा (f.), P. वसत (f.), S. वजु (f.), G. वज (f.), M. वजु (f. and a.), वजु (f.).

Skr. विष "poison" (m.), H. विस. विश्व (m.), S. विश्व, विक्व (f.).

Skr. अंच "entrolls" (mostly in pl. अंचािका) (n.), II. अंतर, जांत (मी. बोक्क with form, ending जंतरी and जंतडी. S. आंडो (m.), M. जांत (a.), G. जंतक (n.).

Skr. धातु "root," "metal," "element" (m. and m.), स. धात (f.)
"semen virile," S. धातु (f.), P. धात, धांत (f.), G. धात, धातु (f.),
M. id. (f. and m.).

The following are from Sindhi; they are all feminine, though the Sanskrit original, and in some cases the derivatives from it in the cognate languages, which I have added to Trumpp's list, are either mass, or neuter;

- S. चामु " offspring," Skr. चाम्, H. चांस (m.), and so in all.
- S. बिंदु "samen," Skr. विंदु (m.), H. बिंद्, बूंद् (f.), बिंदी (f.)
  "drop;" in which sense S. has also चिंदी (f.), M. बिंदी (f.), G. बिंदु (n.),
  probably because है in G. is the regular neuter ending, and the u of this
  word has been confounded with it.

Trumpp here inserts S. पूजन (f) and पूजन, which he would derive from Skr. पूजन (m), but this is apparently an error; the word should be derived from Skr. पूजना or पूजिना "the day of the full moon," which is feminine in Skr., and carries that gender into S. Stack writes it पूजन, and gives the forms पूर्णमानी, प्रामानी, otc., which exist in all the other languages.

S. तंदु "thread," "wire," Skr. तंत् (m.), H. तांत (m. and f., but I think more usually f.). G. M. id. (f.), P. तांत (f.), तंद् (f.), and तंदी (f.) "catgut." In all it has frequently the sense of the string of a musical instrument, as a late, etc.

S. 电号 "woman's milk" (f.), from Skr. 明明 (m.) "breast." S. han

Trumpp, Sindhi Gram, p. 89 et seqq. Only such words have been inken from the first as show a change of gender from Sanskrit to Sandhi.

also चंगु (m.) in this sense, and H. चान, चन (m.). In Modern-H. the form चन is usual, but Chand has—

#### इक् धान पथ पान॥

"From the same breast drank milk," literally (there was) a drinking of milk,-i, 170, 7.

S. 项语 "sealing-wax," Skr. 研行 " lac" (m.).

S. इंडु "ringworm," Sitz. इंडु (m.), H. ट्रंट् (m.), G. ट्रंट्र (m.), M. ट्रंट् (f.), ट्रंट्ड (n.). The feat gender of ट्रंट् in M. is probably due to the existence of the Persian الله "justice," which, like all Persian words in ट्रंट, in M. is treated as a feminine. P. ट्रंड is however f. also.

S. 研阅 "potash," Skr. 研阅 (m.), H. 研阅 (m.), M. id.; in same senses it is m., in others f. P. 研阅 (f.), G. 研阅 (m.).

S. 和東 and 和明 "dirt," Skr. 和前 (n.), H. 和明 (m. and f.), M. 和明 (m. and f.), G. 和志 and 和明 (m.), P. 和志 and 和明 (m. and f.), 和志 however is always f.

S. 医囊 "assatistida," Skr. 医囊 (m.), H. 副和 (m.), P. 國和 (f.), M. 慢和 (m.), G. 医和 (f.).

Sindhi does not always stand alone in its change of gender, and it will have been noticed that the various languages are capricious in their use of gender as regards these words. Most of the instances given are monosyllables, and there is a tendency in all the languages to regard monosyllables, or nouns whose final a has become mute, as feminines. It would seem also that there is a faint and not easily definable tendency to attribute a feminine gender to certain consenants, as \(\mathbb{T}\) and \(\pi\). This may have arisen from the fact that a majority of words ending in those letters are really by origin feminine. Although the stuff and backbone of these seven languages is pure Indo-Germanic, yet we must not ignore or underrate the influence which Arabic vocables have exercised. This influence began in Sindh so early as Muhammad Kasim's conquest of that

176 GENDER.

country in the early part of the eighth century. Mahmud of Ghaznin's numerous expeditions extended the knowledge of the sacred language of the Musulmans to all Western India in the eleventh century; and by the middle of the thirteenth, Arabic words were heard in almost every city and town. Our seven languages were then only just growing into their present shape, and Arabic words were thus weven in with their structure as it grew. The idea of Hindi or Marathi ever having been without these words, is a mere dream of Sanskritizing purists. In the most obscure corners of rural India these words are heard, and it not unfrequently happens that the old Arabic term is more familiar to the masses than the grand new-langled Sanskrit word invented by the Pandits. Thus the somewhat hybrid word, spiringna, is used in the sense of "a fine," and is understood everywhere, while the newly-coined waters is not. Now in Arabic the termination - is distinctly feminine; in that, it is the regular method of making feminines from masculines: thus, عَدَالْت , نُوبِت , فُرِيت , يُركَث , بَولْت , and many others of the same kind being feminine, there would doubtless grow up an impression that whenever a word ended in t it was feminine; and as the masses know nothing and care nothing about derivation, the use of that gender would extend to all words in t, no matter whether of Aryan or Semitic orgin. This final is in Arabic often written as A, though still pronounced f: thus we may write with or U.S., both pronounced by Arabs daulat. In borrowing these words, the Persians frequently pronounced the final as h: thus we get wo darjah, and the like. From the frequency of these words an impression would, as in the case of t, arise that there was something inherently feminine in final A, and we thus account for such words as 28, 418, being feminine. In some of the languages these words having become, by usage, thoroughly feminine, have had one or other of the vowel-endings peculiar to that gender added to them, as in S. 218 and others.

With regard to the other changes, which are less easily explainable, we must, I think, have recourse to the argument that the medieval Aryans, before entirely doing away with any poculiarity in the structure of the ancient language, began to be careless about its use, just as in the Merovingian period in France, the Latin case-endings were used carelessly one for the other, till confusion resulted in their eventual abolition. So in the decay of gender, which has evidently taken place in the Indian group; the first step was a careless and irregular use of the genders of individual words, by which, if any one word of very common usage were feminine, a whole group of other words of similar sound would be made feminine too, and the same with any familiar masculine word.

§ 37. That the use of gender has shown signs of becoming less habitual, and gradually dying out, is undeniable. While it has died out entirely from B. and O., it is not much regarded in H. and P., and only two genders remain in S. The full range of three genders remains only in M. and G. When we cross the frontier into the territory of the Iranian languages, we find no gender at all. To ask why this is so, would be to ask a question which is virtually unanswerable. The neuter is certainly a very useless abstraction, and it is not surprising to find it the first to be thrown aside. In the modern Romance languages this has happened as much as in all the modern Aryan languages except G, and M., which have no parallel except in Medern High German. In the Indian group, the Prakrits retain all three genders; but the earliest mediaval Hindi has only two. the masculine and feminine, and even these two are much confused. It can hardly be said that Chand deliberately means to use a neuter, when he claps on an anuswara to a formless nominal stem to eke out his metre, or uses a word in its original Sanskrit form, as in the lines178 GENDER.

### प्रथमं भुजंगी मुधारी यहंगं॥ जिने नाम एकं सनेकं कहंगं॥

"First (there is) a taking of the well-adorned Bhujangi,
Whose name (though) one is taken in many ways."—i. 5.

Here the numerous anuswaras are introduced merely to make the line sean, the metre being U--IU--IU--I and a nasal is commonly doubled by prefixing anuswara: thus ग्रहेंगे is to be read as though it were written ग्रहत्न, and प्रथंने as yarra. Frequently, too, we find a masculine participle or adjective with a famining noun. Thus, while in one line we have पत्र होत महे सता !- where महे "she became" agrees with Title "queen" in a provious line-there occurs, a few lines below, क्या क्यो बदोह "the bride made lamentation," where the verb is masculine, and in the next line wai "took," which is also masculine, refers to the same noun sail. The same indifference to gender, even with living beings, exists occasionally throughout the poem, and it may therefore be concluded that at that age, or before it, the strict observance of the three genders of Skr. had ceased to be usual. The masculine being the most common of the two genders that remained after the disuse of the neuter, gradually absorbed the feminine in ordinary writing, unless there was any special necessity for the employment of the latter, as, for instance, in the case of living beings. however, the poets retain tolerably accurately the two principal genders, the people must have grown careless about them at a comparatively early date; for Nepali, whose origin as an independent language dates from a.n. 1322, has little or no cognizance of them; and the earliest Bengali and Oriya poets, who wrote in the first part of the fifteenth century, show no traces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Though we may here argue that we have an instance of the objective construction, though the subject is not, as it should be with that construction, in the instrumental. It will, however, he seem from Chapter III. § 57, that the early and mediaval poets regularly omit the instrumental in the objective construction.

of the feminine as attached to anything but living beings. Grammatical gender had perished in the eastern area of the seven languages, then, by the fourteenth century, leaving only natural gender, and even that but indifferently attended to.

It is not surprising that Bengali and Oriya should have lost the distinction of gender earlier than the other languages, seeing that they had so little occasion to use it. In these two languages the participial forms of the verb, which have in the other languages usurped the place of nearly all the old synthetical tenses, do not exist; and by their absence a great and constantly occurring necessity for the use of gender was taken away. Thus in Hindi the verb has only one synthetic or Prakritic tense remaining, namely, the indefinite present, the third person singular of which ends in  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U})$ , as in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U})$  as in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U})$  as in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U})$  as in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U})$  and the third plural in  $\mathbf{U}$ , as in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U})$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  and the other tenses are formed by participles: as

Although the future is not a participle, but formed by adding at to the indefinite present, yet this at, like the terminations of the present and future, changes its vowel for gender and number, and makes a feminine at, pl. m. a., f. at. So that in all three tenses there exists a necessity for remembering the gender, so as to make the verb agree with its subject or object, according to the nature of the construction.

But in Bengali there is no such custom, thus:

B. Aorist जरि, O. जरह.

" Present करितेष्ट्रिः " कर्षण्टिः

" Past करिजः " करिजः

" Futuro करिजे or \*वेकः, " जरिजः

None of these tenses change their form in any way for gender,

180 GENDEL

and there is therefore no need to remember the gender of the subject; the objective construction also is fortunately unknown in those two languages.

If to this potent reason for disuse of gender we add the universal shortening of final long syllables, which led ultimately to their suppression, it will be readily understood that languages, which had no means left of marking gender, should soon cease to be aware of its existence at all, and in this respect should go even further than English. While our language retains distinct words for natural gender in the pronoun of the third person, these two do not; it means "he," or "she," or "it," and all the cases of this pronoun are the same throughout, as will be seen more in detail in the Chapter on the Pronouns.

Seeing how much the existence of distinctions of gender tends to make a language difficult to foreigners, it is not perhaps a mistake to regret that all the seven languages have not followed the example of these two, and got rid of gender before literature stepped in to arrest their development, and stereotype the forms they at present possess; and we may certainly set our faces against the obnexious pedantry of some modern Bengali writers, who, in resuscitating a Sanskrit adjective, bring back with it the gender which the spoken language has long ago got rid of.

### CHAPTER III.

#### DECLENSION.

CONTENTS.— \$ 38. Inflection.— \$ 39. Prefaration of the Ster in Orita and Bengali.— \$ 40. The same in Hindi and Panjadi.— \$ 41. The same in Gelabati, Marathi, and Sindil— \$ 42. Table showing Territation of the Ster.— \$ 43. Formation of the Plural in the Universe Languages.— \$ 44. Formation of the Plural in the Multiform Languages.— \$ 46. Origin of the Plural Forms.— \$ 46. Origin and Analysis of the Sindular Origin Forms of the Sindular Origin The Structure Forms of the Structure for the Structure for the Structure of Oblique and Plural Forms from Creater Languages.— \$ 50. Internal Mobifications of the Ster in Marathi.— \$ 51. 62. Quasi-synthetical Forms of sing Case.— \$ 53. Adjusting.— \$ 54. Numerale.— \$ 55. Cabe applies.— \$ 56. The Original Forms of Structure.— \$ 57. Instrumental.— \$ 56. Adjusting.— \$ 56. Adjusting.— \$ 57. Instrumental.— \$ 56. Adjusting.— \$ 56. Generalize.— \$ 56. Case \$ 56. Generalize.— \$ 56. Locative.— \$ 57. Instrumental.— \$ 56. Adjusting.— \$ 56. Case \$ 56. Generalize.— \$ 56. Case \$ 56. Generalize.— \$ 57. Instrumental.— \$ 56. Adjusting.

§ 38. The modern noun in all the seven languages has the same number of cases as in Sanskrit, nominative, accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, locative, and vocative. In Sanskrit these cases are distinguished by changes in termination, as naras, naram, narena, naraya, narat, narasya, nare, nara, This is the fashion with the old inflectional languages—a cambrous and somewhat clumsy system, which the human race, in its onward march, has now in many instances discarded for the simpler and more spiritual method of detached particles. In the Indian group, Hindi stands, as usual, prominently forward in this respect; while the opposite pole is represented by Sindhi, the rade and complicated speech of backward and un-

182

civilized desert-tribes. It is false philology to say that to Sindhi must be assigned the first place among its sister-tongues, because it "has preserved an exuberance of grammatical forms, for which its sisters may well cuvy it." As well might the active rifleman of to-day, in his tight-fitting easy dress, and with his handy but deadly weapon, envy the warrior of old, staggering along under half a ton of steel armour, and with no better tools than sword and spear! As well might the modern traveller, carried at the rate of thirty miles an hour in a comfortable railway earriage, envy the ancient German plunging through the muddy forest-roads in his vast and unwieldy bullock-waggon! Nature never works backwards, but ever onwards. The granite peaks of the Himalaya are worn by rain into a thousand wrinkles, and their substance is carried by countless rivers down to the plains of India; should we call the fertile soil of the Gangetic delin the "degenerate descendant" of those ice-bound peaks? Had the languages of India, and its soil, remained to the present day frozen hard in the bonds of a rigid synthetical system, or imbedded in the granite of its hills, they would not now suffice for the daily needs of its active and versatile millions. There is no language on earth so widely spoken as English, nor is there any tengue that has so freely and fully shaken off all inflections, genders, cases, tenses, and the rest: yet who shall dare to say that the language of Shakespeare and Milton is wanting in poetry; that of Bacon, Locke, and Hamilton in precision and clearness; that of Burke and Macaulay in power or eloquence? If the words of Sanskrit have in the present day lost many of their consonants and vowels, it is because they had too many to start with; ath is a handier word than ashtau, and no one would care to waste his time in saying abhyantare who could express his meaning just as well by bhitar. Let us not be misled by unphilosophical talk. The modern languages are not corruptions of the Sanskrit; they are improvements on it; and those that retain the greatest amount of its antique and elaborate forms are the least

advanced of the group. In none of the seven languages are the case-endings of Sanskrit preserved. The only trace of an inflectional or synthetical system is to be found in certain changes effected in the last syllable of some nouns, to prepare them for taking the detached partiales which express the relations of case. Strictly speaking, a noun has in none of the seven languages more than four forms: the nominatives singular and plural, and the modifiel stem or oblique, or crude, or formative, for both numbers. Of the various names suggested for this latter case, I shall use the commonest, or oblique. The crude form of the noun is a term which I shall use when speaking of the oblique employed without particles, to signify vaguely all cases except the nominative. Of course the languages differ very much in this respect, as in all others. Oriya and Bengali have only one form for both nominative and oblique in both numbers. Hindi has an oblique form only in one class of nouns, while the rest indulge in a great many variations, whereby they gain nothing in clearness, while they lose much in simplicity and practical usefulness.

§ 39. To begin with the simplest of the group, Oriya and Bengali. Oriya has one form for all possible conditions of its noun. The case-particles, though not detached, do not affect the form of the stem. Thus, in a noun ending in mute short a, which is as much as to say, ending in a consonant, we have the declension—

Sing. N. ghar, "house,"

Ac. ghar-ku,

G. ghar-ar,

L. ghar-e, for
ghar-re,

for kar-re.

In nouns ending with a vowel the result is the same. Thus,

raja, "king," gen. raja-r, "of a king," where the initial vowel of the particle has been sacrificed, but the stem remains untouched. So in the loc. raja-re. The genitive, however, is the only case whose particle begins with a vowel, and consequently the only case in which any hitch can arise. Further instances are—

pati, "lord," gen. pati-r.
bahu, "wife," "bahu-r.
swami, "master," "swami-r.
nati, "actress," "nati-r.
bhu, "earth," "bhū-r.

Some pedants profess to teach that words of the type said (आमिन) shorten the i in the oblique cases, but this is a more attempt at aping Sanskrit. The popular speech takes no head of such refinements.

Bengali is similar to Oriya in its treatment of the stem, which it subjects to no preparatory change when used with case-affixes, though, as these affixes are different from those in use in Oriya, it is necessary to give examples. Thus, in nouns ending in a consonant, we have the following cases in which collision might occur, owing to the particles beginning with a vowel:

Sing. N. kukkur, "dog." Pl. kukkur-erd.

G. kukkur-er, kukkur-er diger.

L. kukkur-e, er kukkur-ete.

In the plural, however, it is more usual to add a word denoting plurality, as will be shown hereafter, to which the caseparticles are affixed. The form of the plural in -erā is generally restricted to words descriptive of human beings, as santānerā, "sons."

Words which end in vowels retain the form of the nominative stem throughout, and avoid collision by cliding the initial vowel of the particle, just as in Oriya, though—owing to the very unsettled character of the language, which has not yet made up its mind which of its varying forms it will regard as the classical, or generally to be adopted—some difference of practice is observable among good speakers and writers. I follow the guidance of Shamacharan Sirkar, who, however, like most learned Bengalis, leans rather too decidedly to the Sanskrit and high-flown side of his language, though he is practical enough to notice most of the peculiarities of the speken language. Thus—

Sing. Nom. ghora, "horse,"

G. ghora-r.

L. ghora-s.

= ghora-y.

Further examples are-

nări, "woman," G. nărl-r, N. Pl. nări-rd.
paśu, "beast," G. paśu-r, L. paśu-te.
jau, "lac," G. jau-r.

Very frequently the plural signs are entirely omitted, and the fact of plurality left to be inferred from the context. In Old Bengali, instead of cliding the initial vowel of the case-particle, collision is avoided by inserting \(\mathbf{q}\). This letter is not pronounced, but acts as a fulcrum merely. Thus the G. of "lae," would be written \(\mathbf{q}\) or in its own characters (\mathbf{q}\), the dot under the \(\mathbf{q}\) indicating that it is to be softly pronounced, and not, as usual, like \(j\). For instance, in Kabi Kankan's Chandi, where the merchant's wife Khullana is subjected to the ordeal of being burnt in a house built of lac and other inflammable substances, Vishwakarman builds for the purpose—

জৌয়ের আজা জৌয়ের পাড়ি জৌয়ের কপাট jan'er ara, jan'er pari, jan'er kapat. Beams of lac, rafters of lac, doors of lac. § 40. Hindi, with which in all important respects agrees Panjabi, comes next in respect of simplicity. All nouns ending in a consonant remain unchanged throughout. The only class in which any change takes place is that of nouns in d, when derived from Skr. nouns in -as, through the intermediate forms an and o. Nouns of this class form their oblique singular and none pl. in c. As Sing. N. 321 "son," Obl. 32. N. Pl. 32. The oblique pl. is in Old-Hindi ani or an, in Modern-Hindi -on. Thus—

Sing. N. घोडा "horse." N. Pl. घोडे. Obl. घोडे. Obl. घोडें.

To this oblique form are added the case-particles, as will of "to a horse," will of "to horses." Exempted from this rule are those nouns in a derived from Skr. nouns whose nom. is already a; as the "king," that "giver:" these do not change in the singular oblique, or nom. pl.; thus they say that of "to a king," that "givers." The rule is carelessly kept in old writers, and even in the present day among the peasantry one may often hear with of; moreover, the neglect of the plural is very common, and it is collequially more usual to employ the singular, as will with twenty horses." Instances of neglect of the rule in Old-Hindi poets are these—

### तिहि वेरा आयो कहै। देरा माहि पनग ॥

"At that time came semehow Into the tent a snake."-Chand, L 246.

Where we should expect & H. A similar passage is

### राज भाए डेरा मधि॥

"The king came into his tent."-Chand, L 194.

And in the Bhaktamālā occur कटोरा में "in the cup," जरिका के "of the boy" (Namdev.).

The feminine noun in I undergoes no change in the oblique singular; in the plural the inflection of plurality is appended to the simple unchanged stem, as all as "to a daughter," all as "to daughters." No other preparation of the stem occurs in Hindi, which is thus, except in the one instance of nouns in 4, as simple as Bengali or Oriya. Panjabi retains unchanged all nouns suding in a consomant; those which end in a vowel are treated much as in Hindi. Thus—

N. Sing. ਸੁੱਫ਼: "boy." Pl. N. ਸੁੱਫ਼ੇ. Ohl. ਸੁੱਫ਼ੇ. Ohl. ਸੁੱਫ਼ਿਆਂ-

So also in words which have anuswara over the final d; as

N. Sing. वशिकां "trader." N. Pl. वशिष्: Obl. वशिष: Obl. वशिकां

Panjabi has no fear of the hiatus, any more than Hindi has, and even in nouns where the final a is preceded by a vowel, it makes no effort to prevent collision; one instance in point is the word hast quoted, another is uvest "a trier," obl. uvest parakhane, pl. n. the same, and pl. oblique uvest markhane, where no less than four vowels follow one another. It would not be inaccurate, however, though unusual, to write uvestal, thus avoiding the hiatus altogether. Still, a few words, ending in a and a, change that letter to a before the termination of the oblique plural; but even this is optional, and in a language so split up into dialects as Panjabi, no hard and fust rule can be laid down. fus "father," writes the oblique pl. usi " to fathers;" and "crow," and i " to crows;" and "mother," usi if " to mothers;" but fustal, and in some districts.

There is nothing more to be said about these two languages at present.

§ 41. Gujarati is older in form than Hindi, and is in fact little more than an archaic dialect of that language, brought by the Châlukya Râjputs into the peninsula of Kâthiáwâd, and there isolated and cut off from the other Hindi dialects, and thus gradually developed into a separate language, retaining antique forms which have dropped out of use in the parent speech. Its grammatical formations are consequently more complicated than those of Hindi, and in respect of the preparation of the stem it exhibits special poculiarities. Nouns ending in a consonant (i.e. mute a) in this language have more than one form, but the oblique form is not universally applied. In some cases the case-particles are affixed to the direct or nominative form, in others to the oblique; the accusative and dative (which are really only two different branches of one case, the objective) and the genitive affix their case-particles to the direct form; the instrumental, locative, and ablative use both the direct and oblique forms. Thus from \$\frac{2}{3}\$

Acc. and	D.	(#)		1.54		देव ने
G	*	j.	20		74	देव नी
Inst		8.	45	*8)±	-9	देव ची-
Abl						
Loc		8	4		- 2	देव मां

The oblique form is the same as the nom.; but there is also an oblique in e, as देव, of which more will be said in a subsequent section; this form alone is used as an instrumental and a locative; but both these cases ordinarily take case-particles to define their meaning more sharply; in which case we semetimes find the direct, and sometimes the oblique stem used; thus, abl. देव बी and देव बी, instr. देव बरीने, id. It will be pointed out in its proper place that Gujarati is fond of heaping up pleonastic case-particles, this is one instance; देव, already bearing the meaning "by a god," the form देव बी is pleonastic, but is utilized to express a slightly different shade of meaning. The result in Gujarati is a striking proof of the essential unity of all the languages in the group, the termination e running through them all in a singularly homogeneous way. It would be strictly

correct to say, that in nouns with a consonantal ending, Gujarati, like its parent Hindi, lms no separate form for the oblique, the form in e, which has now crept into use, being, as will be shown presently, an old case-ending, or rather two separate case-endings confused together. The plural of nouns of this class is formed by e, as 241 "gods;" to this the ending in e is added, as 241, but only in the instrumental and locative.

Masculine nouns in o, corresponding to H. in à, from Skr. a-stems, have three forms for the singular; the nominative in o, the purely oblique in à, and the instrumental-locative in e, thus—

- 1. Sing. Nom. दाहाडी "day."
- 2. Objective दाहाडा में.
- 3. Instr. and L. दाहाडे.

But the instrumental and ablative append their case-endings to both 2 and 3, as दाहादा थी and दाहादे थी. The genitive uses only 2, as ZIZIZI WI; and the locative, when it takes the case-ending, uses 2; when not, it uses 3: thus we have both CIEISI Hf and CIEIS for "in a day." Strangely, too, the instr. sametimes adds its e to form 2, and appears as ZIEIZIO. The plural of this form is regularly d, as grees "days;" but this appears to have been felt too vague, and in modern times an o has been added, which brings the plural of this form into bomogeneity with the plural of consonantal nouns; thus it is now spoken ZIEIEIEI, to which latter forms case-endings are affixed. Here, again, the instrumental adds its e to the fuller form, giving a string of vowels, as दाहादाबीए dahādāde, "by days." Precisely similar in all but the nominative is the corresponding neuter noun in 3; thus, मोहोदे "a face," pl. Hisler, where the amowers alone differentiates it from the mase,; the modern form with o, however, drops the annawars, and is thus identical with the muse,, as in मोगोडाची. Nouns

ending in all other vowels, including o, when it is not derived from Skr. bases in -as, add the cs and os to the final vowel, without making any phonetic combinations. Examples are:

```
N. Sing. puti, "lord," Instr. putie, N. Pl. putio, Instr. putioe,

"nudio," river," ", nudie, " nudio, ", nudioe,

"rustu, "thing," ", vastus, " vastuo, " vastuoe.

"cahii," wife," ", vahiie, ", vahiio, ", vahiioe, or

"chho, "mortar," ", chhoe, ", chhoë, ", chhoëe,
```

The spelling of Gujarati is still very unfixed, and the fulcrum was is often used, as in Bengali, where vowels come together, and has no effect on the pronunciation, ufau and ufau are written indifferently.

Marathi, which comes next, is in every respect a complicated language, having been unable to work itself free from that maze of forms and terminations which an ancient synthetical language always leaves behind it. In the matter we are now discussing, its usual ill-luck follows it, and the student is irritated by the variety of the changes he encounters. In addition to special forms for the locative and instrumental, it has the regular four forms, the two nominatives and two obliques, and is, moreover, encumbered with three genders. The variations in the oblique, which is also the crude form, are divided by grammarians into six classes, a division which will be followed here, though it is not quite free from exception. There is some want of fixity in Marathi in this point, and authors are not quite at one as regards the forms to be used in some nouns.

 Masculine and neuter nouns ending in mute a, lengthen that vowel in the oblique form of the singular; masculines

No distinction is endingly made in G. between long and short i er s. In fact, the ordinary current band has not distinct characters for the two sounds.

have the same form for pl. nom. as for sing., while neuters add t; the oblique plural in both cases is formed by wt. Thus we have—

MASSING. आप "father," N. Pl. आप. घर "house," घेर.
Obl. आप! धरा घरां घरां

Similar to this class is that of nouns in \(\mathbf{q}\) and \(\mathbf{g}\), which lengthen their respective vowels; all three genders are treated in the same way.

N. Sing. जांव "poet," N. Pl. जांव. | N. Sing. मधु "boney," N. Pl. मधु. Obl. जांवी, Obl. जांवी. Obl. मधू. Obl. मधू.

The three short vowels are so far perfectly homogeneous in their treatment.

(2). Feminines in short a, such as the words given in § 11, where the short a has arisen from shortening the long d of a Skr. form, form their oblique sing, in e, their pl. nom, in d, and pl. oblique in dg; and words of the same class, which have retained their original long d, are formed in the same way. Thus also "tongue," and will "mother," differ only in the nominative singular.

N.Sing. जीस "tongue," N.Pl. जीसा. | N.Sing. साता, N.Pl. साता. Obl. जीसे. | Obl. जीसा. | Obl. माते, Obl. माता.

(3). These same feminines in short a, however, exercise the feminine privilege of not knowing their own minds; for while some take a in the oblique, others take I, and a large number vacillate between the two.

> N. Sing. 'আग "lice.'' N. Pl. আगी. Ohl. আगी. Ohl. আगी.

फांक "a slice," has फांकीला and फांकेला "to a slice;" चीड "offence," चीडेला and चीडीला. (4). Long vowels follow the same general principle as the short ones; their vowel being already long, no further change takes place in the oblique singular. The formation of the plural is multiform, and will be discussed in a separate section; the oblique plural, like that of class 1, only differs from the singular by the addition of anaswara in nouns ending in a; in other cases anaswara is added to the form of the nom. plural, which is a regradescence of the vowel of the singular into its semivowel, effected by the addition of a.

This may be considered the typical method of treating nouns ending in a long vowel, but there are yet two other processes.

(5). A few words ending in ₹ and ₹ mase, and neut., and all diminutives in ₹ and ₹, have a way of their own. They reject the labial vowel, and form the oblique sing, in â, pl. ân. Masculines have the same form for both nominatives, neuters having the invariable neuter pl. in ₹.

(6). Lastly, as if purposely to complicate their language, the Marathas have a totally different method of treating nouns of all three genders ending in long vowels, as well as those neuters which end in an anaswara. The process here adopted is the hardening of the final vowel into its semivowel—W in this case having no semivowel of its own, takes —and affixing to

the secondary stem so formed the masculine oblique termination of for mase, and neuter nouns, and the fem. e for fem. nouns. This class, however, is not well fixed, for many masculine words in it may optionally form their oblique as in class 4, and the feminines as in classes 2 and 3. Thus fay "a scorpion," m, may in the dative form be fayer or fayers. The femining may either make as above array, or under this rule array cither make as above array, or under this rule array in these ways: thus array grandson," m., array, array, and array of the strains and array of the strains, and array of the strains are species.

Types of this class are the following:

		QJIII.	W. TT.	0.312
In Wil-	सासरा "father-in-law,"	सासयाः	सासरे.	सासर्योः
In T.	जांवर् " son-in-lan,"	वांवया.	वांवरे.	जांवयां-
,,	माळी "gardener,"	माळ्या.	माळी.	माळ्यो.
In Ta	画表 "leech" (f.)。	जळवे.	वळ्या.	जळ्गा-
**	भाक "brother" (m.),	भावा.	भावः	भावां-

Exceptional is will "waman," making Obl. feet; N. Pl. feetal. Obl. feetal. The above are all the forms in use in Marathi, and in this last class it must be noted that polysyllabic nouns in which do not join the varising therefrom to the preceding consonant, but keep a short a between; thus, are "ship," arrays not arising; also that manosyllables in a, not only change that rowel to a, but still retain the vowel, though shortened, as a needle," quite.

We now pass on to Sindhi, in which language we have the good fortune of being able to avail ourselves of the inestimable labours of that sound scholar. Dr. Trumpp, whose grammar of Sindhi is the only grammar of any of our seven languages which has as yet been written on correct philological principles. In the following abstract I work entirely from Dr. Trumpp's materials, though I have altered his arrangement slightly in

order to bring it into harmony with that followed in respect of the other languages. Sindhi has, like Gujarati, the locative in r, as \$\frac{3}{6}\$ "in a country" (Skr. \$\frac{3}{6}\$). It has, besides, the usual four forms, to wit, the two nominatives and two obliques, as in Marathi.

(1). The consumental ending or mute a of the other languages is represented in Sindhi by a for the mase,, and a or i for the fem. Nouns in a have the following scheme of forms:

Where the final u is preceded by u, the semivowel is inserted to prevent histor; it is also inserted after wi, and optionally after other vowels, except i or t.

Nouns which end in short u, derived from other sources than the Skr. a-stem, remain unaltered in the oblique singular, and in the oblique plural either follow the nom. plur, or sing. The words of this class are chiefly, if not entirely, the old words of relationship, which in the formation of the plural follow the Prakrit system.

S. SING.	QUL	8,72	ning.
पिड " lather,"	पिज.	पिउर.	पिवनि वर पिवर्तिः
साउ "brother,"	भाड-	भावर.	भावनि ल भावर्गिः
मेगु " sister."	भेणु-	मेणूं ज भेगार्थः	भेणुनि or भेणकृति-

In the feminines in a the vowel is shortened in the oblique planal, as-

(2). Nouns in short a, which is always fem., do not change for the oblique sing.; their oblique pl. is given below.

ह. बावर. का.. अ. ए.. 000. काम "beam," काम, जार्मू व्यकामां, कामें व्यकामुनि सध "wish," सध, सधू व्यस्थां, सधे व्यसधुनि

And the same holds good of nouns in short i, both mase, and fem., the only peculiarity being that mase, nouns use the older and longer form in the exclusively, as att. "lion," obl. pl.

(3). The termination o, corresponding to the a of Hindi and the other languages, modulates into c for the oblique singular, and do, έn, or ni for the plural; thus—

N.S. मधी "head," Obl. मध, N. Pl. मधा, Obl. मधा, मंदी, मधी,

When this final o is preceded by a or a, it inserts c, to prevent histus, but not when preceded by other vowels.

(4). The other long vowels may be grouped together, as below:

भा N. Sing, आमा, Ohl. आमा, N.Pl. आमार्ज, Ohl. आमार्जन

रू भानी, "मानिष, मानी, "मानिषी, "र्हीन, "इवार्यकार," "इवार्यकार," "इवार्यकार," वाषु "वाषुष्ठा, स्वार्यकार, वाषु "वाषुष्ठा, स्वार्यकार, "वाषुष्ठा, स्वार्यकार, स्व

When the anuswars precedes the final vowel, it is retained in the oblique forms also.

§ 42. The following table exhibits the whole of the typical terminations of the nominal stem in all the languages except Bengali and Oriya; these two languages having no change of the stem need not be included:

MARATHI, SINDER,	MARIE EDM. SPECT. MARIE PEDM.	明 日本 明 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	का काई का बाएं कि एं उमि, लट	1	-		twi.tt.tfn	d)	阿阿	A M. F.N.	
GUJARATE	Ī	1 個	声	1	je e	色	E	Ø	D	वर्षा	
PANZABI.	Mars Ten.	1	Ŧ	ber	*	w	Ē	b	p	d	-
HINDI.	**************************************		मा बा	-	2	v	T 41	p	р	10	-
Ропм.	Nom. Sing.	Oblique " Nom. Pl	Oblique Pl.	N. Sing.	O. Sing.	N. Pl	O. Pi	N. Sing.	O. Slug.	N. Pl	O Di
STRU.		A mute,			~				D		

	M. C. C.	1		-		*	1		1		-		
	N. Simg.	7		1		F	מו	F	F	F	<b>P</b>	F	F
	O. Sing.	Þ		Þ		F	F	F	등	T 411	F	Þ	II.
	N. Pl	D	WITT	P	事	明朝	बाको यांची	F	Þ	F	de	To the	ATT N
-	0, 19,	净		rear rear		all all	वाची यांची	¥	d d	F	F	बा. ए. बान	बारविन
	N. Sing.	abov.		16er				100	de	choi	34	200	400
-	O. Sing	ide!		de				stort	F	रेस्वे वा	el el	E	E
	N. Pl		Ē	igur.	更			- Sec	die	田	1	she!	र्ष, रक्त व
-	0.Pl	E		E				iber.	<u>d</u>	뮵.	च	Test, etc.	Tall, etc.
	N. Sing.	15		简					15	15	节	jō.	
	O. Shig.	15		15		+		15	भा वा	16	P	44	
	N. Pl	15	Grant	15	STATE				梅	F	(III	16	
	0.E	田町		that!				-177	बा वा	T d	F	डक्री, सद.	le.

From this table, which is designed to show merely the leading and typical terminations of each language, are purposely left out all rare or exceptional forms, such as the small handful of words ending in o, not derived from a-stems, a few in e and at, and the like.

§ 43. Before entering upon the attempt to explain and account for the manifold variations of the oblique form of the noun, it is necessary first to exhibit the system of forming the plural. The terminations of the nominative of the plural have been given along with those of the oblique in the foregoing sections, but nothing has been said in explanation of them.

In respect of the plural this group of languages may be divided into two classes: first, those which form their plural always in one unchanging way; and, secondly, those which have more than one way of forming it. In the latter classtand Marathi and Sindhi, in the former all the rest.

Of the former, or uniform languages, the simplest are, as usual, Oriya and Bengali. Oriya formerly made its plural by adding e, as kumdr, "boy," pl. kumdre. This plural is still found in poetry. Thus Dinkrishna (a.v. 1520) writes of Krishna and Balarama—

### कुषां कुषां ने कुमरि रोहति॥ कळकंठ कंड हेळे निहंति॥

"When the children weep with wailing cry, They easily surpass the song of the Kakila."

- Barrkollole, iil. 110.

And again-

## कर्ग देर ग्रुन साधु बने ॥ कुमारंक जनमिले केते दिने॥

"Giving ear, listen, O virtuous men!
Some days after the children were born."—id. iv. 1.

-where hundre, jane, and dine are the nominatives plural of humar, jan, and din respectively. This form, however, was

probably found too indistinct, and was liable to be confounded with the instrumental and locative singular. A noun of multitude was therefore adopted; and the word selected was HIT "measure," a word already in use in isolated expressions, such ue दिनसान "daily," in other languages. A distinction is made in the use of this word between animate and inanimate objects; thus they say वजद "ox," pl. वजदमाने; पदिसा "neighbour." pl. पश्चिमाने; but जान "net," pl. जानमान; भार "a load," pl. भारभान. The e indicative of the plural is here retained. but tacked on to the plural sign man, only in the case of living beings; it is omitted in the case of inanimate objects. The case-particles are affixed to a form #14; thus the genitive sign is मानेकर, now-a-days often, but erroneonsly, written मानक्रर. The Oriya language is absolutely and undeviatingly regular in its way of forming the plural, the method above described is the only one in use, and the language does not contain a single exception.

Bengali has more than one way of expressing the plural, but I reckon it among the uniform languages because of the methods in use only one is a true plural; the others are periphrases or compounds of two words, and not, strictly speaking, plurals at all. The regular form of the Bengali plural is usi the initial letter of which is elided when it follows a noun ending in a vowel. It is not unfrequently clided, even when attached to nouns ending in mute a. This form of the plural is now in practice restricted to rational beings, and even in their case the periphrastic plural has gradually come to be used. Examples of the true plural are—

पुरुष "man," श. पुरुषेरा व्य पुरुषरा-वालक "boy," .. बाजकरा व्य वालकरा-राजा "klog." .. राजारी-नारी "moman," .. नारीरा-

Sometimes a form simply in e, as in Oriya, is used for the

plural, as जोके "people." This is the genuine old plural, of which end is the modern representative. The periphrastic pluris formed by adding to the singular some word indicative of statisticale. The words so employed are the following: गण "multitude," वर्ग "class," सकल, समस्त, सब, "all," समृद्द "whole," वृत्त, गुला, गृला or गुलिय, "number." Of these गण, वर्ग, and perhaps समृद्द, are more commonly used with rational beings, the others with irrational or inanimate things, and गृलि क familiar. Thus they would say, घोड़ा सकल "horses," केले गृलि "children, brats," When these words are used to form the planal, they take the case-particles and endings, leaving the noun quite uninflected, thus—

N. Sing. 可读文"dogs." N. Pl. 專案文 电报表"dogs."

G. - 可读文 电报表 "of dogs."

Obj. - 可读文 电报表 "dogs." "to dogs."

Instr. 李褒文 电报表 氧文丁"by dogs."

Abl. - 南京文 电报表 高文丁"from dogs." etc.

And even in words which use the true, and not the periphrastic plural, all cases but the nominative preserve the noun itself from change by inserting **[27** "side:" thus—

N. Sing. देवता "god," N. 19. देवतारा "gods," G. देवतादिगेर, or shortened ॰देर "of gods," Obl. देवतादिगके "to gods," etc.

Lastly, Bengali being in the verb careless of plural forms, it is idiomatic to use simply the singular for the plural, leaving the hearer to understand what is meant by the context. This is especially the case where a numeral is included in the sentence, as sits a life at use and all the sentence, as sits a difficulty any sakal jdtir anna kháy, "Háris and Muchis, these two castes eat the food of almost all the other castes." Here, though the sense is clearly plural, the whole sentence is actually singular, and would literally be ren-

dered, "Hari and Muchi, this two easte almost of all other caste the food eats." An interesting example of this peculiarity may be adduced from Bharat Chandra's well-known poem, the "Bidya Sundar," where he is describing the strange nations collected in the fort of Bardwan (a.p. 1740).

अध्य शक्तर कांनारशास्त्र निवास । हे ब्रह्मक श्राम्मक कितिकी कतास ॥ क्रिनमात श्रामाम करत (शासकाकी । अक्षतिया मामा ज्या जानरत बाहाजी ॥

"In the first fort is the dwelling of the black-coat Ingraj, Olandaj, Firingi, Faras, Dinemar, Eleman, practises artillery, Wanderer various goods brings in ship."

The whole of these nouns are, of course, in meaning plural, but in form singular, agreeing with the singular verbs kare and anage. The passage, like all genuine Bengali, has a large sprinkling of Persian words, as عبازي , عفري , گول اندازي.

Hindi forms its plural very simply, with its usual practical common sense. Nouns with consonantal ending have the nomple and sing, alike, as area "boy," nom. pl. area "boya." The oblique plural is in Old-Hindi formed by are, which modulates into are and are. In the modern language this becomes are. Feminines ending in a consonant form the nomple in a tra "night," nom. pl. via. Nouns ending in a mase, from the Skr. a-stem, make their plural in a and the corresponding fem. in a becomes are. Thus—

सडका "boy," N.PL बडके. Obt. सडकों सडकी "girl." , सडकीओं. , सडकीओं

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These gations are some live and our European neighbours, who were known those, as now, to the Bengalis by their French names, the French being in these days the most important of the foreign actions. Thus, Ingril is "Anglala," or English; Olambh, "Hollandais," Dutch; Firingi we the Portuguese, and Parks, "Francis;" Dinomir is a corruption of Denouter's, and Elemin are "Allemanis," or Germana.

In Old-Hindi the nom. pl. fem. was \$\colon\), as \$\colon \text{Per}\$ "book," nom. pl. \$\colon \text{Per}\$; this form is still preserved in the participle. These few forms are all that Hindi finds necessary to express ideas, while Marathi and Sindhi have not had the skill to reduce their working apparatus into one or two plain homogeneous classes.

Panjabi is also quite uniform and simple in its plural. Nouns ending in a form the nom, pl. in e, all others have the two nominatives identical. An exception is made by a small class typified by \*\*13" mother," which forms its plural \*\*13", though \*\*13" would not be wrong for the plural.

Gujarati is extremely uniform, simply adding o to the singular of all nouns of every description. In nouns ending in o, from the Sanskr. o-stem, this o becomes in the plural noun d, and this was originally the only plural termination for nouns of this stem. But o having become the general type of the plural for all other stems, the popular feeling could not rest content with an anomaly: the plurals in d were not felt to be true plurals so long as they lacked the universal plural sign o, and this was accordingly tacked on; so that we now hear visit "boy," plural visit and neuters of this class, which formerly made their plural in vi, now also take an additional vi, as view."

§ 44. Marathi plurals are multiform, but need not be given here, as they have already been shown in the last section. The plurals of Sindhi, however, which are also multiform, require to be drawn out more in detail. Trumpp exhibits seven classes, which are here given.

There are a few exceptions, or rather words which preserve a Prakritic method of forming their plural, and these will be noticed in the next section.

§ 45. Having now given the facts as regards the plaral and oblique forms, we may attempt to trace their origin, and the steps by which they arrived at their present condition. In doing this we naturally look to the Prakrits, rather than to Sanskrit, because these modern languages are in reality developments of the Prakrits, or common colloquial speech of ancient India; and although in the matter of phonesis they have been subjected to influences alien to those which prevailed over the Prakrits, yet in the present instances they are almost strictly Prakritic. In fact, these modifications of the stem, to fit it to assume case-particles, are nothing more than relies of the old case-endings of the early Aryan speech, which have been so reduced by use, that what remains of them no langer suffices to indicate the case-relations clearly, and particles have to be called in for the purpose.

A remarkable family likeness runs through all the plurals

of the uniform languages, and extends to a certain extent into the multiform languages. The difference between the two classes is this, that while the former have selected for use one or two Prakrit forms only, and have applied them to all kinds of stems indifferently, the latter have taken the separate Prakrit form for each stem. In this respect these latter are decidedly behind their comrades, and it may be suspected, and indeed partially proved, that Hindi and Gujarati have centuries ago passed through the stage in which Marathi and Sindhi still remain; though, from the off-lamented absence of literary monuments prior to Chand (a.n. 1200), we are anable to trace the steps more than by few and faint indications.

The most widely used form of Sanskrit noan is the a-stem; this forms in the nom. plural mase, and fem. da, neut. dai. The dh of the mase, and fem, is in many of the Prakrit dialocts changed into a; this change rosts upon the tendency to break down a into e, already discussed in Vol. I. p. 137. In those Prakrit dialects which received most literary cultivation, the Maharashtri and Magadhi, the pl. masc. ends in d; this ending has been preserved by G. and S. as the plural of musculine nouns in o; as G. भाजो, nom. pl. भाजा (modern भाजाची), S. ad. The ending in a has been adopted by H. P., and, in some cases, by M. also; as H. P. azi, nom. pl. az. In the case of masculines in d, Marathi has both forms, that in d and that in e. Of these two, however, the latter is the regular form, and the former is only found in a few peculiar terms of respect and the like, as aid "father," nom. pl. aid; where we cannot ascribe the word to a Sanskrit a-stem, but must regard it rather as an onematopoetic or fanciful formation. The retention of the a in the nom. pl. of B. and O. is not attributable to the Skr., but is simply due to the general tendency of those languages to reject all sign of plurality in favour of the periphrastic construction with Au, or AIT, already described.

The special Bengali plural in erá divides itself into two parts;

the first of which, e, is the old plural form of nouns ending in mute a; and the second, rd, by the fact of its being confined to living beings which possess a distinct individuality, as contrasted with inanimate objects, connects itself with the reduplicated plural of personal pronouns, as amard "we," tomard "ye." The origin of this form will be searched out in the Chapter on Pronouns. It is sufficient in this place to note that this ending, by origin a pronominal one, has passed over to a certain class of nouns, and that it is in this latter usage of modern date, not being found in the oldest literary documents of the language. So modern is it, that in the form erd we have really a double plural, the old ending in a having lost its sense of plurality in the popular mind before the rd was added.

The general preference of the lower kinds of Prakrit, and after them of several of the modern languages, for the form of the mase. pl. in e, rests, in my opinion, on the fact that even in Skr. this is the regular form for the class of pronominal adjectives, as Ha; "all," nom. pl. Ha. It is admitted that this method of declension represents an older and more genuine form of the e-stem; and it is in keeping with the general peculiarities of these languages to suppose that they derived their forms from the ancient Aryan language, and left on one side the modifications introduced into classical Sanskrit.

Next comes the neuter plural, which is of special importance, because it has usurped in many cases the functions of all other plurals. In Skr. it ends in ani, as un "wealth," nom. pl. units. In Prakrit this form becomes as or ain, as unit or usur; "usus addit masalom," says Lassen, p. 307, but it seems more likely that the nasal is a relic of the worth Skr. wits, and it would be more correct to regard the form usus omittit nasalom." As early as the seemic Prakrit this form has passed over to the masculine, and we find zent as pl, of the masc. end. In Old-Hindi, the universal form of the pl, for all genders pre-

serves the Sanskrit form better than Prakrit does, by writing चनि: as नर्नि "men," बत्तनि "words." At a later stage the final i is rejected, and the form becomes we, as arene "boys." The language, however, does not feel the need of any sign to distinguish the nom. pl. from the sing.; and this termination, which, as will be pointed out later on, has become fused intoone with that of the genitive in will, has remained in use only in the oblique cases, to which the Middle-Hindi adds an w. making the termination we. Thus in Tulsi Das we have (A.D. 1650) regularly such plural forms as walles "wrestling-places," एकन्ड "some," चरवन्द्र "feet." The Middle-Hindi poets use all three forms side by side; thus in the same page one sees सकतन "devotees," "## ( "youths," and seq "houses." Only in the from of words with a consonantal ending is the Prakrit form in use, Thus from with annswara we get the Old-Hindi form in un, as vid "nights," from which comes the modern form vid. The masculines of this form do not change in the plural, and the retention of this ending for the fem, is probably due to a desire to mark the difference of gender. Although the ending MIT is, as we have seen, neuter, yet Hindi has lost the neuter; and this ending had even in Prakrit got loose from the neuter. and was used for all genders, so that its application to the fem. in Hindi is not surprising. Marathi is more exact in using v, which is contracted from wit, as a neuter, thus preserving the original gender. This of is used for the nom, pl, of neuters of the consonantal noun, as well as those which end in ? and 3. which harden the final vowel of the stem into the corresponding semiyowe before this termination, as Hai "pearl," pl. Hai; तांक "ship," pl. तार्ब or तार्ब. In the case of neuters of the d-stem, which already in the singular end in t, the plural does not shorten the Pr. wit into v. but, rejecting the first vowel. makes the v long, and writes v, as 35 "plantain," pl. 357. The same process takes place in Panjabi after feminines in long d, no बना "colomity," pl. बनाई or बनाई जा.

Gujarati has adopted one, and that the most common, of the Prakrit plural forms for other than a-stems, and simply adds of to all stems without exception, even to the class ending in a, which already had made its plural in d, so that it now becomes do, as noted above.

In the same way as it remins t as the termination of feminines which end in a consonant, so Hindi uses the Pr. form uit in another way niter feminines in long I and D. Thus बटी "daughter," pl. बटीका: जोइ "wife," pl. बोइका, where the final i has been dropped, and the anuswara carried back to the d. The same takes place in Panjabi, as wil "daughter," man, pl. wiwi, and is extended also to feminines of the consomental ending, us दल "a word," nom. pl. मन्त्रो. Chand uses this form also with massulines and neuters, with च or च inserted to prevent hiatus, and, with his customary disregard of quantity. often makes the d short: thus we see ned for newi "spiritual guides," महुदा " pots." To be connected with this is, I suspect, his not uncommon practice of adding आन, as उरान "breasts," गुरान "garas," ऋतान "observances;" but the passages in which these forms occur are so obscure, that it is difficult to pronounce a decided opinion. It is clearly a plural, however, in the following:

#### सहपाप रत द्वान यंध।

"Addicted to great sin, blinded by riches."-i. 187.

There remains to be deciphered the mystery of the multiform plurals in M. and S. In the former the consensutally ending noun, if mase, undergoes no change; when fem, however, it has two methods, it either makes d or i. This arises from the fact, that fem nouns of this type are shortened either from femnous in d or i in Skr. Thus when "tongue," from Skr. fagt. pl. fagt:, makes its pl. accordingly when; but win "free," from Skr. with, Prakrit with, pl. within, aimply rejects the final v of Pr., and takes for its plural with. In declining

words of this type in M., it is therefore always necessary to go back to the original, either in Skr. or Pr., in order to ascertain which vowel has been rejected, and thus to know how to form the plural, -s difficult process, which the more advanced languages avoid by simply having one termination for all classes. Even to the natives of the province who have spoken this crabbed language all their lives, this practice causes difficulties; for the grammarians give a long list of words which may be formed in both ways, though, from not knowing the real reason of the co-existence of the two forms, they are unable to pronounce which of the two is correct. Nonns in short T and 3 of all three genders make no change in the plural, being rare in the vulgar speech, and consequently not the subject of any regular development from Prakrit. Masculines, except those in a, do not change in the plural. This is a practice which seems to run through all the languages to a greater or less degree, as has been remarked under Hindi; and all neuters of whatever class have a tendency towards it or its prolonged form \$. Finally, feminines in \$ and \$ form their plurals in या and या respectively, in which we may see the Prakrit plural ending wi changed into a, as in Hindi singulars. Prakrit, however, does not dislike the hiatus; it makes plurals देवीची. बहुबो, from singulars देवी, बहु, where Marathi hardens the stem-vowel into its semivowel, and uses देखा, बहुवा.

Sindhi is quite as multiform, though less irregular than Marathi. The mase, in u, corresponding to the consonantally terminated noun of the other languages, forms its nom, pl. in u, shortened from the Prakrit pl. of nouns in o whose plural ends in û, as ver, pl. ver. Trumpp (p. 105) points out very justly, that as the singular ending in u is shortened from Pr. o, it is consistent that the plural form should be shortened also; though I cannot agree with him in thinking that the Hindi and P. have done the same, as Old-H. makes the nom, pl. of this class in uni, which has been subsequently rejected, and which,

BY We have already shown, is derived from the Skr. neut, pl. in wife. The feminines of this class ending in a and i have separate forms; those in a, being shortened from Skr. feminines in d. like the corresponding class in Marathi, take either da or da. The former of these is to be accounted for, in my opinion, in the same way as Panjabi feminines of the type we, pl. net, by the passing over of the Prakrit neuter ending wife into the fem., and not, as Trumpp suggests, by rejecting the of the Pr. pl. form with, because this process would not account for the amuswars. It is true that M. forms like and, with, have rejected the o of Pr. and with, with its have not taken the anaswars, which S. has. I therefore think it more correct to connect Sindhi in this particular with its neighbour Panjabi, than with distant Marathi.

The other form of the pl. in si is common to all fem. nouns in the language. No satisfactory reason for this form has been shown. Even if we admit that the o of Pr. plurals has been changed to û, this does not account for the anuswara, which is too important a feature to have crept in by accident. It may have been extended to the nominative from the oblique cases of the Apahhransa pl. (see Lassen, p. 464), as is often the case in other languages. The remaining musculine nouns do not change in the plural.

§ 46. Our next business is with the oblique forms, and this is perhaps the most intricate and difficult part of the inquiry. The oblique forms are, like the nominatives plural, remains of the synthetical declension of the Prakrits, and the mystery is not so much what they are, as how they came to assume their present shapes.

We must start from a fact, patent enough in the Romanco languages, but not quite so patent in their Indian congeners, though even in them it can be established by illustrations as well as assumed from analogy. It is this, that at an early

14

period the distinctive case-endings of the synthetic system became confused, and one was often used for another. Some examples of this practice in mediaval Latin were given at p. 113 of Vol. I. Now in the Prakrits the first step was the total loss of the dative, and the substitution for it of the genitive. The forms of the other cases also began to approach nearer to one another by degrees as they lost the distinctness of Sanskrit, so that in time much confusion crept in, and the terminations, which had been originally very different, all merged eventually into one form, which constitutes the oblique of the modern noun. The languages show their consciousness of the fact that the oblique form is a relic of the various cases (other than the nominative) of the old inflectional noun, by adding the particles which they now employ to indicate cases to this oblique form, and not to the nominative or direct form.

It is not, in my opinion, correct to derive the oblique from any special case of the Prakrit; it rather results from a general fusion of all the cases. I am here speaking of the singular only; the planal oblique, though analogous to the singular, must be traced separately. Taking first the ordinary Sanskrit a-stem, which comprises a very large majority of the nouns in the language, it appears that, after the rejection of the dative, the Maharashtri, or principal Prakrit dialect, retained only the following scheme of case-endings. N. o. Ace. aia, Instr. cna. Ab. ddo, dda, G. assa, L. e. But the distinction between the N. and Acc. was early lost, and there remained, even in this the most Sanskritic of the Prakrits, only four forms, cna, ddo, assa, and e, for the oblique cases of the noun.

Maharashtri is to a great extent confined to poetry. When it is used in prose, it loses some of its distinctive features, and assimilates to the Sauraseni, the principal prose dialect. In this latter the ablative is found to end in a, and dhi, and in the Magadhi, the genitive has also begun to draw towards this type, exhibiting the termination ah. In the dialect of the

Saptaŝataka the ablative terminates in ddo, but has also the forms d, do, du, and dhi. The Bhagavati has a very similar range of case-endings.

It is, however, especially to the Apabhransa dialect that we must look with regard to the modern languages, as this dialect seems in most respects to be the truest representative of an actually spoken dialect. Here we find a still greater fusion of case-endings, ahl. dita, dhs; gen. dhe, dho; loc. e, i, dhin.

Here we must stop as regards Prakrit, for at this point we reach the gap of many centuries which has not yet been bridged over. When we come to the beginning of the modern period, all case-endings have been lost in most of the languages. The work of fusion went on during that obscure period, and we have no means of discovering how it proceeded.

It is next specially to be noticed that Old-Hindi possesses a singular crude form ending in fg, which is applied without distinction to all cases of the singular. This form takes us back to at least three cases of the Apabhranse, namely, the ablative, genitive, and locative, and virtually includes four, as the dative was already fused into the genitive. The universality of application of this termination in fg will be seen more clearly when we come to the Pronoun, which in all languages retains archaic forms with peculiar tenacity. But also in the noun its use is very frequent; thus Chand employs it as a dative, or sign of "direction towards," in

# किहि काज रिपि बावी घरहि॥

"For what cause, Rishi, hast thou come to the house?" -Pr. R. i. 10.

And as an ablative in

### को किहि वंसहि ऊपची।

"Who (am I) from what race sprung."-ib. L 167.

Tulsi Das has numerous examples, as सोताहि देखि वहीं "Having seen Sita speak (to har);" इनकी कथा संदेपीह कहीं "tells the story of them in brief" (संचिप) : आदिहि ते सब कवा मुनाई "having told all that tale from the beginning."

Now it will be observed that in the general fusion of forms the only two types that remain are the instrumental in ess, which is not changed as far as the data for classical Prakrit go, and the ablative-genitive-locative-dative in āhi; but by the simple process of the clision of the \$\mathbb{E}\$, we get \$\data i\$, which naturally slides into \$e\$. The transition is well shown in the scraps of the later Apabhranéa dialect preserved in old Gujarati, thus—

# षट्टोतर सु बुद्ध डी रावणतगर क्यानि॥ एकू बुद्धिन सांपडी लेका भेजण काली

"A hundred and eight (kinds of) knowledge were in the head of Ravan,
Not one knowledge profited him at the time of the destruction of
Lanks."

Here Transact is the genitive formed by adding to the noun the termination Skr. तम (Pr. तम्), by which the noun is changed into an adjective, and agrees with the governing noun in number, gender, and case. The formula (for The square) being in the locative, the termination of the adjectival genitive must be in the oblique form to agree with it, and तम् is therefore used, in which at shows as the shortened form from thi. At a later stage of the language this is written and.

The instrumental retains its form of eng in Chand only in Gatha passages; but even in them, for the sake of his metre, he often rejects the na, leaving only the e, and this again he sometimes modulates into aya.

From the above considerations it results that the distinctive features of the case-endings in Sanskrit all, in course of time, melted down into one form distinguished by the ending ahi, ar, or e. We thus account for the fact that in Hindi and Panjabi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Gujardti bhāahāno itibus," p. 42, quoted from a poem called Munjarism, the date of which is not given.

the oxytone noun in d forms its base in e, as ghord, ghore. The same takes place with Sindhi nouns in e, which correspond to Hindi oxytones in d, as HUI "head," HU. Hindi and Panjabi have only this one method of forming the oblique, and, as I have stated before, only employ it in oxytone nouns ending in d. All other nouns virtually end in a consonant, and are not therefore open to any change; they have rejected all inflection absolutely. In mediaval Hindi, words of this class use the form fig. with no connecting vowel, as THIS "to Rain," and when, in process of time, this affix was dropped, there remained nothing but the bare stem, incapable of inflection. Even in those nouns which, strictly speaking, end in other vowels than d, the same rule is followed, because these languages take no heed of final vowels, and in speaking, at least, reject them always; and even in writing they are of little value.

It is in the languages of the western group-as might be expected-that the greatest diversity exists, and to them we must now turn. Sindhi takes for the general type of its singular oblique the vowel a, for which Trumpp hints at a derivation from the genitive; but we have pointed out that, before the period of the rise of the modern languages as such, the Apabhransa Prakrit had already nearly obliterated all distinction between the genitive and other oblique cases, bringing them all down to the common form ahi. If this be the case in the written Apabhransa,-which, though wandering far from the central type of Prakrit, must still, as a written language, be supposed to have retained greater regularity than the spoken language,-we are justified in supposing that, in the spoken, a still more complete fusion of all the case-endings must have taken place; and it is not likely that a rude pastoral race would carefully observe such minute distinctions as that between dhi, Moreover, we notice that even in the ahe, aho, and 4hin. written language, in one case at least, the final short vowel had been rejected, so that the ablative ends in 4A or d. Sindhi is

very prone to the shortening of vowels. Thus it would be quite in harmony with the general practice of the language, to preserve out of all these endings nothing more than short a. In masculine nouns ending in u, we have really the consonantal ending of barytones of the a-stem, and it is therefore only what we should expect to find that the oblique of this class should reject the a, which is hardly audible in pronunciation, and conelude with a. Thus दास "slave," obl. दास, may be traced back to a Prakrit form nom. दासी दास, obl. दासाहि. दासाहे, दासाही. दासाहि, all of which in the spoken language would fuse into दासहि, as in Hindi, and thence into दासह, and finally दास. The reason why nouns in a form their oblique in a after the Hindi fashion, appears to confirm the view I have taken of the origin of these nouns. When they owe their long final vowel to the fact of their being derived from Skr. oxytones, the presence of the accent on the final syllable prevents the terminations ahi, ahe, etc., from shortoning their a into a; all that takes place therefore is the rejection of \$\epsilon\$, and the termination thus becomes di, which by a natural process becomes c. Sindhi nouns in short a and i do not differ in their oblique from the nom. This is a further confirmation of the view expressed above. The Prakrit oblique of such nouns would end in thi, whi; but the i and a belong to the stem, not to the termination; and when the hi is rejected, there remains nothing, so that the oblique cannot undergo any change. Nouns in long I and a add an a to the stem, which is again a relie of the common form also deprived of its final Mi. Long before the epoch of the formation of these modern cases, the Prakrit had disencumbered itself of the habit of making an euphonic combination between the final vowel of the stem and the initial vowel of the termination; thus the long I and a hold their place, unchanged by any commotions which might vex the termination.

In Gujarati the only change that occurs is in the exytene nouns in o, which make an obl. in d. I have often before

mentioned that Gujarati strikes the student as an archaic dialect of Hindi, whose development has been arrested by its isolation; and it would be consonant with this view to regard the oblique form in d as derived from the full Prakrit dhi, by simple rejection of the final hi—a process which we have shown to be followed by the other languages. Beyond this there is no further change for the oblique in Gujarati; the termination in e belongs to the instrumental, which will be explained hereafter.

Marathi remains to be discussed; lumbering along as usual with its old-world Prakrit baggage of terminations, it offers many troublesome problems to the inquirer. In nouns with a consenantal ording it lengthens the mute a into d: as bap, obl. In Old-Marathi the nominative of bond; ghar, ohl. ghardnouns of this class, like the corresponding class in Sindhi still, and Hindi and the rest in former times, ended in u, the Prakrit barytone o. Thus we have tas, as, nag, and the like. The Marathi consequently did not consider words of this class as ending in a consonant, nor does it now; technically these words still end in short a. Not having, like the Hindi, rejected this final vowel, and with it all power of modifying the termination, it has been able to retain the oblique form in a from ahi, merely rejecting the Mi, as in the others. This it has been able to do in barytones, whereas the others only preserve this ending, softened to e, in oxytones. Similarly in a certain class ending in long d, it exhibits the oblique in d; but in this case it may be equally correct to regard the d as merely a retention of the form of the nom; and this is rendered more probable by the parallel case of nouns in f and s, which also, as shown in the table, have no separate form for the oblique. The nouns in long vowels would be unable to form a separate oblique, because the oblique of the Prakrit would merely differ from the nom. by the addition of hi, as ahi, ihi, ahi; so that when the hi came to be rejected, there would remain nothing. Marathi differs from Sindhi and all the other languages in being still bothered with notions of sandhi, and still hardens the final vowel of a stem before a termination beginning with a vowel. Thus in a class of nouns ending in d, I and û, it hardens the vowel before the universal thi of the oblique, making from bhàû, bhàvd and the like. In museu-lines and neuters of this class, the Prakrit mass, form thi has been used, leaving å: thus they say, bhàvàlà "to a brother," which is bhàv-d(hi)-là; but in the fem. the Prakrit fem. is used. In these words so early as the Maharashtri Prakrit, the fusion of cases had taken place. Thus in the feminines mâlâ, deri, bahû, we find only the following narrow range of endings:

माना "garland," acc. मानं, abl. मानाहि, Instr. Gen. Loc. मानाए. देवी "goddess," " देवि " देवीहि " " " देवीए. नह "wille," " वर्ज " वहहि " " " वहए.

The abl. differs very slightly in sound from the other oblique case, because e is short in Prakrit, and consequently to the vulgar car the general type for the fem. oblique would be e. Thence it would result that in the words सास. स्त्री. after the final vowel had recrudesced into its semivowel, the form of the oblique to be added would not be 4, as in the mase., but e, and we therefore find sasarela, striyela, which are sasav-e-la, striy--e-ld. In this case the Marathi is more sensitive than the Prakrit, for it does not permit the hiatus where the other does. The principle of changing the final vowel into its semivowel having been once introduced, has been ignorantly extendedthrough the influence of that blind groping after analogies which has been so fertile a cause of change in many languagesto nonns ending in a; and as these have no semivowel of their own, the most frequently used of the two semivowels, I, has been applied to them, so that we get an oblique sasarya from a nom. sasard. It is precisely on the same principle that the weak declension of nouns and the weak conjugation of verbs have gained so largely, and are still gaining, both in English and

German, on the old strong forms. The sixth declension in Marathi, namely that which we are now considering, is one of the weak declensions in fact, and as such has gained ground on the strong declensions. Another weak declension is that which comprises masculines and neuters in 3 and 3, which lose their final vowel and form their oblique as though their nom. had ended in mute a, or in former times in a. Thus rateard makes its oblique neither ratsara nor vatsarard, but ratsard, where the final vowel of the nom. has been ignored, and the muse, oblique sign & has been added to a stem rateur. On analyzing the words which fall under this class, it becomes apparent that in most cases the final u or an is a modern invention, and not organic. They are, first, words compounded with the Skr. 35 and HE, in which the final w is short, and might thus easily be confounded in Old-Marathi with barytones of the a-stem like tat, so that they formed their oblique in d, and the lengthening of the final vowel of the nom, is only unother instance of the fondness of Marathi for final long syllables: secondly, they are neuters ending in the diminutive syllables and & which, as I have shown in § 24, are in the other languages gr or gl, and to or th, respectively, and thus come under the head of exytenes of the a-stem, and the oblique would regularly be d. There is, however, very great irregularity and confusion on this subject, the language not having made up its mind as to which of the three forms available it will use.

Nouns ending in short a, corrupted from feminines in Skr. in a, of which the type is [ARI, M. AIN, form their oblique by adding e, as AIN. This is the same rule as that followed in feminines in long t and a, and the e is the regular Prakrit oblique. Thus the Pr. obl. would be HIMIQ; but in this case, as the Marathi has lost the long a, it merely adds the e to the final consonant. This it does also in Talsamas which retain the long a; thus HIMI makes HIM. Here I smell the Pandits. I suspect that the noon, had become HIM, as in Hindi, in which case the

form Hid for Hidly would be regular, just as MH for MHU; but the Pandits have subsequently restored the long a. It is no answer to this to say that Hidl is found in the earliest writings in the language, because, in the first place, the writings are no guide to what the speech of those days really was; no Indian writer could ever resist the temptation to use grander and more Sanskritic words than occurred in the spoken language; the attractions of the so-called adulm blacks have always been irresistible; and, secondly, the formation of the oblique form took place long before the earliest writings that we have; and it is therefore quite possible that when the oblique in a was formed, the nominative in current use was Hig.

There is, as before stated, also another method of forming the oblique in use among the name of this class, namely that in t, which arises from the fact of their being derived from nouns which in Skr. ended in i, or t. In masculines of this class the oblique Prakrit is in thi, in feminines it is in te, both of which have left in Marathi only t. Examples of this class are the following:

Skr. चचि "fire,"	अस्मि,	कार वाहि.	अ. आग. स्रामः	अ. वाट. सागीः
Skr. नुवि "belly,"	कृच्छि.	कुक्तीहि,	जुस.	कुमी.
Skr. मृष्टि "fist," Skr. गोष्टी "assembly,"	सुद्धिः	सुङ्गीहिः	मूड.	मूठी-
and assembly,	गोड्डी,	गोट्टीए.	गोष्ट.	गोष्टी-

This last word is almost a Tatsama; it would be completely so had it not lost the final t in the nominative; it is used in the sense of "talk, gossip, conversation," also of "an affair, case, business."

§ 47. We now come to the oblique forms of the plural, which are in all respects simpler and more uniform than those of the singular. Hindi has but one form for all classes of nouns, namely wi, which must, I think, be distinctly referred to the genitive of the older languages. Sanskrit forms the genitive of the a-stem in west for all three genders; the nome and acc.

plural of the neuter end also in dai, which I have shown to be the origin of the nom. pi. in Hindi. The similarity of the genitive ending to that of the nom. has perhaps led to its preservation for the oblique cases, as in the period when the old inflectional case-endings had died out, and the use of the modern particles had hardly become fixed, there would be no distinction between the different cases of the plural; and Chand accordingly, as pointed out previously, uses such forms as stin, gain, both for nom, and ohl. plural. In his Gatha passages, where he employs archaic constructions, we find a genitive in and, as in

### महिलानं मह सह न्पर्या॥

"The sweet sound (made) by the anklets of women."-Pr. R. i. 17.

(मह = मधुर, सह = शब्द, नृपरचा = नृपुरचा instrumental of a fem. form °रा.)

Prakrit, in the principal dialect, makes its genitive in wei. and extends this form to all classes of nouns, totally rejecting the Skr. genitive in an used in so many bases. In fact the terminations of the a-stem have, as a rule, completely overridden and supplanted all the others. Hindi has rejected the final anuswara of the Pr. and turned the a into anuswara, and this rejection and softening are the probable causes of the present form in wit, the long vowel o having its origin in an effort to compensate for the less of the n. Panjabi, which is not so sensitive, retains simply wi for the oblique planal. There seems to be no room for doubt that the Pr. genitive is the origin of these forms, because the other cases have a different type altogether. Thus the Maharashtri has instr. in ehi or chin, abl. in sunto or hinto, loc. in esu, esun; and though the Aprabhansa has a different range of endings, yet they do not, on the one hand, approach the Skr. genitive, nor afford, on the other hand, materials for the construction of the Hindi oblique pl., the long e of which is in my opinion to be accounted for by a still further lengthening of long d, a letter which occurs only in the

genitive. That the Hindi form is comparatively modern is shown by the fact of its not occurring in any of the middle-age poets, in whose writings the form in wit, we, or wee, is used for the oblique as well as for the nom. This is why I referred at the beginning of this section to the similarity between the nom. neuter in ani and the gen. of all three genders in anam. I believe that this similarity is the cause why no separate form for the oblique was struck out for so many centuries. It is a further confirmation of this view, that Gujarati, with its arrested development, has no oblique form for the plural, nor have Bengali and Oriya, both of which languages must have separated themselves from the central Hindi type certainly earlier than A.D. 1400, as we find Bidyapati in A.D. 1433 in full possession of a distinct set of forms. The Bhojpuri dialect of Hindi also does not possess the form in wi, but makes its oblique plural still in चन, as जीवन का, etc.; so also the Marwari dialect, which uses only wi, which, like the Panjahi form, is the legitimate descendant of Chand's plural in un.

By the aid of this view the terminations in use in Sindhi are also explainable. The Apabhransa dialect, which is more especially connected with Sindhi, has fused all its plural endings into a small range of forms, as instr. chin, ahin, thin, thin, abl. ahun, gen. ahun, non: and acc. au. Only the loc. retains a distinct shape asu; and even in this, when we remember the facility with which Sindhi changes 3 into 7, it becomes probable that a form ahu would not be long in making its appearance. Later Apabhranéa genitive forms in then, when, are also found; so that we really got as materials for the Sindhi oblique little more than one form with trifling variations. The oldest and fullest form of the oblique in this language ends in fa preceded in each class of nouns by the final vowel of the stem. Here we have the म or म of the Skr. forms आणि and आनो, Pr. आणि and आणे, fused together. The other forms आ U, are readily deduced from the Apabhransa forms of and v.

the vowel preceding which is merely a variant derived from the final vowel of the stem.

Precisely similar are the Marathi forms, which are strictly analogous to the singular oblique forms of each class, only differing by the insertion of annswars, which evidently points back to the W of the Pr. gen., and preceded in each instance by the phonetic peculiarities which mark the singular.

On the whole, then, we conclude that in both singular and plural the terminations of the oblique descend from a general form produced by the fusion of all the oblique cases of Sanskrit; but there is this difference between the two numbers, that whereas in the singular no one case has retained its individual existence, or impressed its own special type upon the modern nouns, in the plural the genitive, by virtue of a special strength of type, and by its similarity to the neuter nom., which had usurped the place of the other nominatives, has preserved its individuality, and in a great majority of classes absorbed into itself the other cases. It might also, however, be said that to a certain extent, even in the singular, the genitive has had the preponderance, as the form in fg, although its earliest appearance in Prakrit is in the capacity of an ablative, is yet more easily derivable from the Skr. gen. in we than from any other classical form. Thus, although the universal written Prakrit termination is week, yet it is phonetically more natural that a form will should have arisen, which-by the operation of the tendency to change H into E, a tendency which certainly exists in all the languages, though more extensively in the western members of the group-would become wie. It must be noted, also, that the change took place at a time when these western members were most powerful-Eastern Hindi, Bengali, and Oriya, not having then arisen. The period of the origin of these forms cannot be put later than the seventh century, when the decay of Buddhism brought about those great linguistic changes which laid the foundation of the modern languages; and at that

epoch the eastern parts of India were, as far as we know, comparatively sparsely peopled by men of Aryan race. A phonetic change, therefore, of the character we are now discussing, would naturally be in accordance with the tendencies and peculiarities of the western tribes who then constituted the immense majority of the Aryans.

§ 48. The forms of the oblique are not, however, the only traces which still survive of the old Sanskrit inflections. Simplest of all of these, the locative, which ended in v, has held its own down to the present day in many languages. In the Oriya poems this locative exists, as पुरे "in the village," बीप "in Gop," though it has now been superseded by the analytical locative formed by रे, and modern Oriya uses बीप रे ज बीप डॉर: in the latter of these डा= खान.

In Bengali it still survives, as in will "in anger," with "in fear," win a chariet." Here also, as in Oriya, the tendency to an analytical construction led at an early date to the addition of the particle of, so that in Kasi Dâs's Mahabharat forms with the sense of an ablative. After nouns ending in long of, this ending takes phonetically the form of u, as ultime "in a horse;" but after nouns in other vowels, the modern termination of is more usual.

Hindi does not know this locative form: having adopted s as the oblique ending for the only class of nouns in which it admits a separate oblique form, there was no room in its system for the special locative. Gujarati regularly retains it in all cases, with complete disregard of phenetic combinations, so that it is added to nouns ending in a vowel quite as freely as to those which end in a consonant. Thus we have come in a custom."

ASU "in a tent;" but in nouns of the mase, e-class, in which the oblique differs from the nom, the change of termination is possible, and they consequently write 21813 "in a day." So

also in the plural, which universally terminates in o, the e of the locative is added, giving or, no account being taken of the fact that the locative of the plural in Sanskrit ends in vy. This is the way with the modern languages. Having got into their heads the idea that a certain termination is typical of a certain case, they stick it on to their nonns all round, overriding the more intricate distinctions of the older languages, and thus gaining in simplicity and regularity.

Marathi has a locative in &, universally employed in the older peets, but now going by degrees out of use. The form is the same for both singular and plural, and appears to have arisen from the Pr. locative in wirs, which in Bhagavatl appears as र्जाम, or as Weber reads it र्जाम. The later form was probably wie, which, by rejection of the a, is, strictly speaking, a portion of the stem, and throwing forward the amuswars, becomes fg. Marathi has by degrees got rid of the E, as in the similarly constructed forms of the oblique, and the lengthening of the final vowel is the usual Marathi custom. It agrees in practice with Gujarati, in using the singular form for the plural also. This may be pointed out as another instance of the preference of the Prakrits and modern languages for the older or pronominal declension, as this termination comes ultimutaly from forms like सर्वेशिन .!

Panjahi resembles Marathi in having a locative in \*, which, however, is not restricted to the plural, and is not of very general use; thus, ut "in houses," gul "in hands." In the singular, a locative in wi is occasionally found, as wi; but this is more strictly an ablative, and I suspect we have here, not a relic of a synthetical case, but an abraded particle, as will be explained in another place.

<sup>\*</sup> The corrupt Kontani of Gon uses a locality in \$ , so ति " on the bank," from तर. Skr. तर, where classical M. would have तरी. (Burnall's Specimens of S. Indian Wielcots, Mangators, 1872.) This is probably only a disectoring of the Shr. location in U.

In Sindhi there is a locative, but only in nouns of the u-class (=mute a). It ends in i, shortened probably from the Skr. c, as in \$\frac{2}{3}\$, and not, as Trumpp writes, identical with the locative termination i, because this latter is not used in the declaration of nouns of the u-stem, from which the Sindhi u-stems descend. Moreover, the declarational forms of the u-stem have to so great an extent swallowed up those of all the other stems, that we are hardly justified in looking to any forms but those of the u-stem, unless it be the old pronominal forms of words like \$\frac{1}{4}\$.

Besides the locative, several of the languages have also a relice of the old synthetical instrumental. This case in Skr. ended in the e-stem in eac; and Marathi retains this form shortened into the e-stem in eac; and Marathi retains this form shortened into the e-stem in eac; and Marathi retains this form shortened into the e-stem in eac; and Marathi retains this form shortened into over, as this termination is identical with that of the nome ploof neuter nouns, it has become customary to use a pleomastic construction by the addition of one of the modern particles and "by means of," so that they would now write and the wardness of Marathi, we are enabled to see in force a process which has occurred in the other languages also at a former time, namely, the gradual wearing away of the synthetical case-ending, and the consequent necessity for employing a particle to bring out the meaning more clearly.

Old-Bengali possessed also an instrumental ending in e, probably arising from the rejection of the na of ena. This ending being identical with that of the locative, was abandoned by degrees in favour of an analytical construction with particles, though it is even now occasionally used in colloquial language. Instances from Bidyapati, the oldest Bengal poet (born a.o. 1433, died 1485), are as follows: all unit and and the result of the country of the end of the country of the end of the country of the end of the

—Pad. 80. जिद्र नवद्वे करि शासन दान "having made itself a sent by means of its own new leaves."—Pad. 1450. Kabi Kankan (a.p. 1544) also uses this case frequently, as बाहिर्ते हेंद्र माता संवे कर जाने "from without all hung down their heads through alame."—Chandi, 149. In the same way it is used in many later poets, so that the existence of the form is well established, though it has been banished from the modern literary style.

Gujarati retains this form of the instrumental in ordinary use, though it, like M. and B., has felt it necessary to have recourse, to separate particles to define the meaning more fully. In this latter case, it is, as usual, pleonastic, having, besides the simple form देवे "by a god," also the forms देवे करोने and देवे थी, as well as देव थी. With its usual disregard of the hiatus, Gujarati adds this e to the final vowel of nouns ending in i and u, also to the plural in o, as shown by the examples given in § 41. No traces of a separate instrumental remain in H. or P., though Chand in Gatha passages uses the Skr. forms, as stated above.

Sindhi is the only language which possesses a synthetic ablative, as \$30 "country," abl. \$31, with variant forms \$31. \$313, \$313, \$313, All these clearly proceed from the Sanskrit abl. in MIG. as \$3150, which in Pr. becomes first MIG or MIG, then MIG, and in Apabhransa also MIG. The variant forms morely testify to the unsettled state of this rude language, in which, from lack of literary cultivation, dialectic forms abound. It would seem that while the most correct form is \$313, the most used is \$31, and the anunasilea appears to be nothing more than a modern inorganic addition, such as Sindhi is fond of. The first of the two massls in \$33 is also anunasilea, and is merely the Sindhi method of softening a hiatus. Inasmuch as this form is purely synthetic, and not a mere case-particle, it naturally takes the place of the final vowel of the nom; if it were a case-particle, it would not do so, but would simply be

15

<sup>\*</sup> This is the page of the Calcutta ed. by Gopel Chandra Chakravarii, 1978, B.S. (1871).

appended to the oblique form. The form of the nom, represents the nom. of Prakrit and Sanskrit. Thus TE is the modern form of Skr. नर्स; the Sindhi abl. नर् or नराउ represents on the other hand Skr. 4714, and has never had anything to do with the nom. नव्. It is, therefore, not a correct way of putting it to say that the final vowel of the nominative is changed or dropped before this ending; on the contrary, it should be said that this case is derived direct from the corresponding case of the older language. In nouns which end in t. t. st., the ablative case results from the custom already adverted to, of using the case-endings of the Skr. a-stem for nouns of all classes. In Sanskrit, while TTH formed its abl. नरात, नदी made, not नदीयात, but नदा:, वधू made its abl. बद्धा: ; but all this was too complicated for the rustic folk. By far the larger number of the nouns in their language were of the type बरस, and the minority were soon unde to follow that type too. So it came to pass that in Apabhransa the common abl. ended in he or he, with the final vowel of the stem preceding it, as नराइ, नदीक, or नदीहे, बहाहे, or का. Sindhi gow a step further than this. It knows only one form, ME; and this it simply sticks on to the stem, merely shortening the final vowel by the weight of the termination; thus, नोडी "rope," abl. गोडियां: मिक "wild beast," निद्यां. Thus that which was a bona-fide synthetical case in nonns of the a-stem, becomes almost a separate case-affix or particle in other nouns. This is, in my opinion, not an isolated instance of this process. If my method of interpretation be correct, there are, as we shall see when we come to the case-particles, several other instances of bona-fide synthetical case-endings having been broken off from the stem and used as particles. In the plural, this ending has come to be regarded quite as a particle, and is appended to the oblique form of the noun, as utfeut "from houses," which is the obl. utfa+wi. It is easily seen that this ending has no business at all in the plural, as it represents distinctly the Skr. singular

form win; but so strong is the tendency to extend the use of one good simple form to all possible cases and classes, that no hesitation was felt in tacking the case-sign of an ablative singular on to a form derived from a genitive plural, in order to compose an ablative plural!

§ 49. In striking contrast to the wide range of synthetical forms observable in Marathi and the western languages, stands out the naked simplicity of the Bengali and Oriya, which have senreely any variations of the stem. With the exception of the now almost obsolete form in c, which did duty at once for instrumental, locative in the singular, and nominative in the plural, Oriya has no modifications of any kind; and Bengali has but one, the nom. plural in erd or rd. The question then arises, what is the reason of this difference? Why should two members of the group have so thoroughly emancipated themselves from the trammels of the old system, while the others are still so tightly bound up in them? The question seems to be parallel to that of the difference between English and German, the former of which has altogether rejected, while the latter has very largely retained, a synthetical type. In the case of English, whose development lies open before us, we can see the influence of the Norman race,-a race kindred in blood, and originally kindred in speech, to the subjects of Harold whom they conquered, but who had been put through a preliminary training by a long sojourn in France, as though purposely to the them for the task of raising our rough English fathers to their present high position in the world. To the manly vigour of the old Norse pirate, the descendants of Rolf had added the grace and polish of the vivacious Frenchman. With that teachableness which was so pre-eminently their characteristic, they had sucked in all the awcetness and light which Europe then had to give. They came amongst us as a leaven of cultivation, and they made us what we are. On our language they worked a mighty

change; and it is to this that I would especially draw attention. They taught us by degrees to throw away all terminations as uscloss, retaining only a very few which were absolutely necessary. Under their guidance, the language softened and simplified itself amazingly. Gender was the first thing to go, artificial gender especially; even natural gender remained only in a few objects, and those indicated by uniform and regular methods. The numerous systems of forming the plural all fased into the addition of -cs or -s to the singular, and the case-endings disappeared, till at last our language stood forth clear and active like a trained athlete with his loins girt for the running.

It is something of this sort of influence that we should be disposed to seek for in Bengali and Oriya, and the difficulty of the inquiry is that we cannot find it. We may, however, guess at it, and there are scintillations afforded us out of the gloom of Indian history which confirm our guesses, till at some points they almost touch on certainty. The first of these is the fact, now almost beyond a doubt, of the very modern character of Bengali. The earliest writers in that language, the Vaishnava poets, use a language so much akin to Bhojpuri and the dialects spoken in the eastern parts of the area occupied by the Hindi dialects, as to force on us the conclusion that the Bengali itself is nothing more than a dialect of Eastern Hindi. It is not till the beginning of the sixteenth century that we come to anything sufficiently marked to deserve the name of a separate language. Now long before that time, we know that Hindi had east aside the greater portion of its synthetic machinery. The only relic of the modifications of the stem consists of the s of the oblique of d-stems, as in \$21, obl. \$2. But it has before been noticed that down to a late period this form was not fixed, and the oblique ended vaguely in ahi," After a-stems this would naturally take the form thi; and the rejection of the hi, which

See on this subject Grimm, Donnels Grammatik, vol. I. pp. 684, 700 (first sel.), and Rapp, Comp. Gram. Verbal-Organizates, vol. iii, p. 165.

we have seen was a common process in all the languages, would leave an oblique base in a for the a-stems, and in a (mute) for the a-stems; or, in other words, there would be no difference between the nominative and oblique. Further, it must be remembered that Bengali descends from the peasant language of Bihar, in which, as I know from long residence in those parts, it is not castomary to form the oblique of the d-stem in e, as it is in classical Hindi. The rustic of those regions will say ghord ke instead of ghore ko. The classical Hindi is not based on the speech of the castern area, but on that of the western, and especially of the regions round about Delhi and Agra. It is not surprising therefore that Bengali, an offshoot of the rustic dialect of the eastern area, should be ignorant of this peculiar The crude form in fg is very common in the Vaishnava poets, as duahi "to another" (anya), premahi "in love," karaki "in hand," and the like. Perhaps the rejection, so universally occurring, of the hi, arose from its being confounded with the common particle fg "indeed," and so being regarded as a mere emphatic addition easily rejected without If Bengali had attained an independent altering the sense. existence as a separate language at the early period when the other languages were passing through the stage of transition from synthesis to analysis, it would probably have struck out a course of action for itself. During all that period, however, Bengal was but thinly peopled, and its language was identical with Hindi, and it therefore partook of the changes which went on in that language. Its independent existence dates from a time when the sentiment of the necessity for indicating various relations of the noun by modifications in the terminal syllable had entirely passed away, and it does not therefore partake of any such changes. This modernness of Bengali must always be kept in mind in considering its present structure, because in recent times the language has been so overlaid with words borrowed from Sanskrit, in their Tatsama shape, that scholars

unacquainted with historical facts have been led to regard it as that member of the Aryan group which most closely approaches to the old classical speech, and to give it the position which is held by Italian in the Romanco group. It is time that this misunderstanding should be removed. If we strip Bengali of all the Sanskrit words which have been brought into it during the last fifty years, and examine minutely its grammatical forms, and the true peasant vocabulary, we shall find that it is more removed from Sanskrit than any of its sisters, and it will stand out in its true light as a coarse rustic dialect destitute of refinement and precision.

With regard to Oriya, the same remarks hold good. We know from history that the Oriya race did not enter Orissa from the north, through Bengal, but from the west, across the mountains which separate it from the southern limits of Bihar. Many of the words of the language have the Bihar type of Hindi, and resemble Bengali only in those respects in which Bengali itself resembles Hindi. If we place the immigration of the greater part of the present Aryan element into Orissa at the beginning of the tenth century a.d., on the decay of Buddhism, it will result that the language which they brought with them from the valley of the Ganges must have been already to a great extent analytical; and their subsequent long isolation will account for the retention of forms which the onward murch of the parent Hindi has long ago discarded.

In both these languages there is also great reason to suspect non-Aryan influence. Recent inquiries into the component elements of the Hindu population in both provinces lead to the conclusion that a large portion is still, and has always been, non-Aryan. In fact, it would not be going too far to describe the inhabitants of Bengal and Orissa as aboriginal non-Aryans converted to Hinduism by, and mixed up with, an immigrant element of Gangetic Aryans, whose language, religion, and physical type they have, notwithstanding their political inferiority, largely and deeply influenced. When the scholar whose non-Aryan dictionary has led us to expect a non-Aryan grammar from his pen, shall have given to the world the result of his labours, we shall be in a position to measure the extent to which the wild hill tribes and rude fishermen of the coast have reacted upon their invaders. At present we are not able to do more than hint at the existence of such influence; we can point out neither its direction nor extent.

§ 60. Marathi possesses a process pecaliar to itself as far as the seven languages which we are working at are concerned, but which is also apparent in some of the cognate dialects which it has been necessary to exclude from the present inquiry, as Kashmiri and Pashto. In our seven languages the changes which take place in the stem are confined to the termination, but in Marathi a class of words exists in which internal modification is found. These are principally feminines in two, formed from masculines in t, examples of which have been given in § 35. These words either reject the long ! altogether in the oblique, or retain it shortened to i, or change it to its semivowel च. Thus, Skr. भाविती, M. भावीख "a female devotee," abl. भाविषी, where the i is shortened according to Molesworth; or HIGH, where it is changed to a according to Stevenson; and in either case the oblique termination in I is added, as in nouns of the form आग, obl. आगी. In cases like this it would probably be more logical to say that the noun retains its correct form in the oblique, while in the nom. it is lengthened in accordance with Marathi custom.

There is a large class of these words, and many others not derived from wall are treated in the same way without regard to their gender; thus,

उदीर (m.) "rat." obl. उदिरा, उदरा, and even उद्रा-क्योंक (f.) "Bour," ... क्यांके. sometimes क्यांको-क्योंस (a.) "ear of corn," ... क्यांसा- The Sanskrit originals of these words are det or det. Aug or after, and sting respectively, so that the oblique represents the older form more accurately than the nominative does. The practice has even been extended to words of Persian origin, so deeply scated in the popular mind is the principle of analogy. Thus,

"a pack-saddle." M. खोगीर, old. खोगरा-"reverence." M. ताजीम » ताजमे and ॰मी. "a chaia-bow." M. बेजीम » चेजमी.

Similarly, in a large number of nouns of all three genders, a long a in the final syllable is changed to a; as,

Skr. चडुर "spront" M. चंक्र्र, ohl. चंक्रा. सृत्ति "scrangement." M. जुगूत "trick," ohl. सुमती-मचिका "fly." M. मासूक, ohl सासका.

In all these words, and they are tolerably numerous, there is no authority in the derivation for the long a; and the form of the oblique, in consequence, is due to the slightness of the difference in the vulgar pronunciation between the three short vowels. The above process, it must be explained, is only applicable to words where the vowel is preceded by a consonant; when it is preceded by another vowel, phonetic considerations induce a different process. I becomes hardened to u, and u to u, in such cases, at least in the Konkani dialect. In the Dakhini it is more usual to shorten the vowel, as units "woman," obl. Konkani unum, Dakhini units. Thus Tuka says.

तुका न्हणे येती वार्ने असडे। फुंदोनियां रहे हांसे कांहीं॥

"Quoth Tuka, thus the good wife breaks out, sobbing she weeps and at times laughs."—Abhanga, 567, 3.

And of words in জ, ইজক "temple," ohl. Konk. ইপকা, Dakh.

गाय दें उठी देवापासी

"Sings in the temple before the god."-Abh. 560, 3.

Of the two forms, the Dakhini, which merely shortens the vowel, is the elder and more natural; the Konkani agrees with what I have called above the weak declension of Marathi, which bears evident signs of being comparatively modern. It is, perhaps, a sign of the increased tendency to Sanskritize, that the old histus, which neither Prakrit nor any of the moderns objected to, should have begun to be replaced by the semivowel.

§ 51. In noticing the peculiar ablative of Sindhi, I said that it was not an isolated instance of an inflectional case-ending having been detached and used as a case-particle. The other instances of the same process must now be exhibited, as holding a middle place between purely synthetical terminations, such as those of the locative and instrumental of Gujarati, Sindhi, and other languages, and purely analytical methods of indicating eases, such as the particles at, a, al, and the like. They owe their existence to the tendency, arising out of the general confusion and abrasion of case-endings, towards adopting for all cases one good strong form of the older language. It is the Darwinian principle of the "survival of the fittest," noticed in regard to the phonesis of the group at p. 27 of Vol. I., and by virtue of which the strong forms of the neuter nem. pl., and the common gen. pl. suff and surt, have usurped all the cases of all three genders of the plural in Hindi and Panjabi. In the Sindhi ablative the form sag or wi is purely synthetical for the s-stem, but it has, strictly speaking, no business with any other stem; yet it has been applied to all stems indifferently. Consequently, in all but the u- and o-stems, which descend from the Skr. a-stem, it is no longer a relie of the purely synthetical system, but has half migrated into a case-particle. On the other hand, it cannot be classed with case-particles, as kd, ke, kt, because these latter are modern formations, not derivable from any caseending of Skr. or Prakrit, but independent words fused down into particles. I would therefore put these forms into a separate class,

and call them quasi-synthetical forms; synthetical they are by origin, being derived from Skr. or Pr. case-endings; but they are not so in the manner of their employment, being used frequently in places where neither Skr. nor Pr. would use them, and sometimes even quite detached from the noun, and used with the oblique atem, which itself contains all that is left of the synthetical case-ending.

The first of these forms to be noticed is the Marathi ablative sign By, which is now used as a case-particle, and appended to the oblique stem, as sing. utisa, pl. utisa; it is sometimes contracted still further into 37, and combined with the nom. form, as uses. Lassen has long ago identified this form with the Prakrit ablative plural, which has two forms, ferit and मुखी; from a fusion of both arises अती. This form is found in Karly-Hindi. Thus Chand, केतीक दूर अवसर इंत । "How far is it from Ajmor?"—Pr. R. i. 178, and कहत सिव जिहि पुर करों। "Quoth the Siddh, 'from what city?"-ib. i. 184. Here we have two forms, ga and sail, in the former of which the softening of the nasal into anuswara is compensated for by lengthening the vowel, and in the latter the anuswara has been shifted forward on to the last syllable. Lassen points out that these two forms are both pleometic or composite, that in fewi being composed of the termination of the plur, instrumental ft, and that in Heal of the plural locative ending H, with the particle at, from Skr. au, an adverbial particle with an ablative meaning, generally indicating " from a place." The form from thus means "from by," and is a causal ablative; that in gail is "from in," and is a local ablative. Of course, with the fusion of the two forms into one, this distinction was lost, and, what is more important for our purpose, the distinction of number was by degrees lost too, so that in Old-H. and M. we have this ending used for singular as well as plural. M. has rejected the final at, and lengthened the vowel, and the anuswara not being merely the anundsika or mosal breathing, but a method of writing

ज as the first member of a nexus, having been restored to its full form, the result is the form अन.

In Nepali, a Hindi dialect of the eastern area, carried into the mountains by the last king of Simranw and his followers, when they fled there on the capture of their country by Tughlak Shah in 1322 a.n., occurs the ablative form fun "from," which may be, perhaps, connected with this form by a reverse process. If kinte and sunte could be fused into hunte, they could just as easily become sinto, and this form would result in sil. Nepali has not yet been studied scientifically, and its phonesis is as yet imperfectly known. In a brief memorandum on the language which I have, a tendency to favour the palatal sounds is observable, as in तिसी "ye," H. तुम, and the like; it is not therefore improbable that the form sinte would be preferred to hunto; and by a people so far east as the Nepalis, the preference for over # would not be felt. There is, however, another theory. which would derive all from Skr. Hea. This is open to the objection that Hear means "with," whereas sit means "from." As matters at present stand, I do not venture to decide for either theory, but leave the matter sub judice, but with a preference for the former.

To return to Marathi. There is an older form of this case in refirst. Fig. the existence of which would seem to militate against Lassen's theory, as it is scarcely possible that hunto should have become hunigan. To this it may be answered, first, that these two forms occur in poetry, and the lengthening may be due to metrical causes; and, secondly, a confusion has probably arisen in the popular mind between this form and that of the Prakrit gerund in an Skr. in al. In this form also the old M. adds an in the word in the guotation from Tukaram perhaps univers. Pr. usu, in the quotation from Tukaram

And for which I bog to express my obligations to Dr. Wright, Residency Surgers at Kathmiodo, who courts easily complied with my request for information, by seading this more drawn up by a Nepall Pundit.

in the last section, though modern M. now rejects this termination, and would write the . This gai does not seem to be: organic, but a mere fashion of a certain period. There is always an element of confusion in words beginning with hu or ho, on account of their resemblance to the substantive verb H, which has from an early period sounded ho. It is then possible that the form hunto was regarded as a tense of ho "to be," and the shortened form han would be confounded with the gerund होजन: and as this latter was subjected to lengthening into होजिनिया, so the ablative form may easily have been at the same period lengthened to sinul. The principle of false analogies here at work is to be found constantly in all departments of language, and to it may be ascribed numberless eccentrioities in the vulgar speech. Parts of the verb 40 are used in the other languages as case-signs. Thus Bengali uses its infinitive (originally a locative of the present participle) for दोर्त, in the sense of "from," a usage only to be explained by supposing the idea to be that of having previously been at a place but not being there now, which involves the idea of having come away from it; thus घर इड्ते वासिनाम, "I came from the house," would be literally, "in being at the house I came," or, as we might say in colloquial English, "I have been at the house and have come away." Similar is the use of boke or hokar "having been," in the sense of "through" in Hindi; thus, to express "I came through Benares," one would say 441-रम होके भाषा है, literally, "having been in Benares I am come." It is not surprising, therefore, that the Marathas should have thought that अन् "from" was contracted from होजन "having been;" and were it not for the Old-Hindi forms used by Chand, we might have been prepared to acquiesce in this view. As it is, however, Lassen's theory seems decidedly correct, and has been therefore adopted in this work. To this formation may also be ascribed the to of Panjabi locatives, as in uti. mentioned a few pages back, which is thus to be regarded as in

reality an ablative, and contracted from ut it. In fact, the locative may itself be called an ablative of place, the meaning "in" being expressed by the ablative in many Aryan languages.

§ 52. Another quasi-synthetical form is the dative in Marathi which ends in 3, and is derived from the Prakrit genitive in 33, Skr. S, the dative having been early absorbed into the genitive in most Prakrit dialects. This form in Marathi cannot be classed with the locative and instrumental as a purely synthetic relie, because it has ceased to be restricted to those positions where it would occur in Sanskrit. In the parent speech, the genitive in asya belongs exclusively to the declension of the a-stem; in other stems, the genitive is formed by the addition of ah, and in some cases ah; the vowel is also rejected or amalgamated in some nouns of the i- and u-stems, and in some few classes the ending is uh; so that the singular genitive type may be generalized as simply visarga preceded by certain vowels, whose variations are determined by the form of the stem. But visarga is too weak a thing to last, it is almost entirely swept away by the Prakrits and their modern descendants, and recourse is had to the stronger form usua, or rather sya of the a-stem, with which also agrees the older pronominal declension. This is by the Maharashtri Prakrit applied to all mase, and nout, stems indifferently, thus

Pr. वच्छ "tree," वच्छस Skr. वृबस्तः " खरिम "fire," बरिमस्स " बर्पे: " बन्धु "friend," बन्धुस " बन्धे:

For feminine stems, however, Prakrit does not use this form, and even for those given above it has an alternative form in for the i- and u-stems; so that we may trace the ending systhms; in Skr. it is used only for the mase, and neuter genitive singular of the u-stem, in Maharashtri for all singular genitives, mase, and neuter, in modern Marathi for all datives of all three

genders, singular and plural. It has therefore, in the latter, come to be almost a case-particle; but, probably owing to its shortness, it is, as we learn from the grammarians, used in a somewhat restricted manner, especially in the Dakhin or central part of the Marathi area, in which a more correct form of the language prevails than in the Konkan or coast-line. The identification of this with the world the Skr. genitive would seem to be fatal to the theory which would derive the M. genitive in w, etc., from w. The same form can hardly be the origin of two separate cases. This, however, will be more fully discussed in its proper place.

It is in Marathi more especially that traces of these quasisynthetical forms are found, though oven in the other languages faint indications may be seen. They are valuable as supplying a link in the chain of development, and as showing how, as the spirit of inflectional construction and expression died out of the popular mind, the old case-endings fell into inextricable confusion, one swallowed up another, four or five fused into one, an ending peculiar to one case was appropriated to another, the distinctions between different declensions were obliterated, and the languages, like new wine left to settle in the vuts, deposited all their sediment, and were racked off clear and sparkling. It was all very well for a dreamy old Brahmin, who had nothing better to do with his time than to sit in the shade of a tree and doze over philosophical abstractions, to have a dozen different ways of declining his noun or conjugating his verb; and it was no difficult task for him to recollect each one of a vast growth of terminations and inflections: but life is too short now-a-days for such minutio, the business of existence is too varied, and time is too valuable. The modern languages are not objects of pity, as having degenerated from a higher level; they are rather to be congratulated on having known how to bring order and simplicity out of a rank chaotic overgrowth of forms and types, and having thus become fitted for use in these bustling modern days.

Among the particles that are new used as signs of case, there are several which may possibly be classed as quasi-synthetical; but they are not given here, because some doubt still hangs over their origin and real nature. They will be duly noted in subsequent sections.

§ 53. Adjectives partake of the nature of substantives in so far as their form and structure are identical with them, both being nouns, though the former are attributive, while the other are appellative. But as adjectives are generally coupled with substantives, and as these latter are the principal words in the sentence, indicating by themselves the object referred to, it is natural that they should do the bulk of the grammatical work, the adjective being merely appended to qualify the substantive, and not therefore requiring to be so accurately inflected or declined. In several languages the adjective, consequently, undergoes less change than the substantive with which it agrees. Here, again, we have the old common sense system of simplifying as much as may be. In Sanskrit, Latin, Gothic, and the older languages of the family, the adjective was made to agree with the substantive in gender and case, so that each adjective presents a triple decleasion, masculine, feminine, and neuter. Sanskrit sometimes shirked all this elaborate concord. Its array of declensional and conjugational forms was so formidable that Sanskrit writers themselves seem to have felt the burden of so vast an amount of wealth, and to have endeavoured by various tricks of composition to shake off the load. the necessity of inflecting the adjective to follow all the varying phases of the substantive is to a great extent evaded by compounding the two together by the method known as Karmadharays, by which, whether, as is more common, the adjective precede, or, as also occurs, the substantive procede, only one inflection in used. Thus, instead of saying allo Grus "a blue lotus," they combine the two words into one, producing sinitud, and thus,

when they require to use the genitive for instance, status expresses the meaning quite as clearly as the longer status areas. Latin has not this power, and every one is familiar with the clamsiness that results when one has to string together a number of adjectives and substantives in the lengthy genitive plural forms -aram and -aram.

All that is really necessary in the inflection of adjectives has been retained in the modern Aryan languages, and much that is not necessary in some. So long as there exists some clear means of knowing which substantive in a sentence any given adjective qualifies, it is only needful to decline the substantive. Confusion can only arise in a few instances. Thus in English, when we say, "I have found an old man's cloak," we may mean the cleak of an old man, or an old cleak such as men wear, as distinguished from such a clouk as women wear; but even in this extreme case confusion can be obviated in our flexible language by a different arrangement of the sentence, and in nine cases out of ten the adjective would by its meaning indicate the substantive which it qualified. If we speak of a "blue sailor's jacket," the word blue can only refer to jacket, as such a thing as a blue sailor would be absurd, and we know that sailors habitually wear blue jackets. Such a language as ours is worthy of a civilized and enlightened race, because by its very absence of forms it assumes that those who use it are people of intelligence and do not require to have their minds guided to the meaning by the leading strings of synthetical forms. A Roman required this aid. To him "corulea nauta tunica" was a different thing from "carulei nauto tunies;" and had he been unprovided with the help supplied by the variation of the final letter of the adjective, he would have been at a loss what to understand. Thus we may say that synthetical languages are fitted for the childhood of the human race, analytical ones for their manhood.

Bengali and Oriya do not change the form of the adjective at

all, whether for gender or case; the adjective is placed just before the substantive, and one case-ending does for both. Hindi gives to those adjectives which end in d, a feminine in I, and an oblique singular in e, but does not make use of the oblique form of the plural. Thus one would say काने घोडे का "of a black horse," and not को जो घोडों का "of black horses," but काल घोडी का. The reason of this is obvious. The adjective having been put into the oblique form, common sense shows that it must refer to the substantive in the oblique form, and there is no need for a closer method of indication. The speaker is supposed to be abie to use his wits to this small extent. Panjabi. however, is conscious that its speakers' wits are not sharp enough to be trusted, and the adjective is therefore put through all four forms in each gender; as fast at airi 21 "of low castes" (f.). चिटियां घोडां दा "of white horses" (m.). This gives a clumsy appearance to the language, and ought not to be necessary for clearness.

The Gujarati adjective has all three genders with the typical terminations, wit mase. It fem., and it neat. The feminine remains unchanged for number and case, except that it optionally adds to the plural the universal o. The masculine forms its oblique in a, like substantives of the o-stem, and like them has the locative and instrumental in e, which ending is here also allowed to pass over into the ablative; the plural oblique is the same as the singular, giving in consequence a type quite analogous to its parent Hindi. The neuter differs from the mass, only in adding annewara to the nom, plural. The range of forms may be thus drawn out:

The adjective is thus precisely similar to the substantive of

the o-stem throughout; and from the position which Gujarati holds in respect of Hindi, as well as from the analogy of Panjabi, it may legitimately be inferred that Hindi itself formerly had all these forms, though it has now got rid of some of them. Adjectives ending in a consonant do not undergo any change.

Sindhi declines its adjectives in the same manner as the substantives of corresponding terminations. It follows that adjectives agree in gender, number and case with their substantives, with the exception of that somewhat numerous class of words of Ambie and Persian origin which are not liable to change. Although the genius of Sindhi requires that every word should end in a vowel, either pure or nasalized, and in consequence words derived from Persian ending in a consonant have the short yowel a udded to them, as un from Ja, unit-मान from , , yet it seems to have been thoroughly understood and clearly felt that this final a was something different from the final u in pure Sindhi words, and it has therefore not been subjected to the changes which the rules of the language exact from indigenous words. The same reason has apparently protected Arabic words, whose un of the naminative, the tamein of grammarians, had probably died out of the popular speech of the Arabs before the period of their conquest of Sindh, so that the words at that time were as perfectly consonantallyending as Persian words, and had the a given them by the people of Sindh.

In Marathi the adjective takes, as in the other languages, the typical endings, at mase. I fem. I neuter, for the singular, and I mase. I fem. I neuter, for the plural. This type of adjective is the only one that changes for gender: all others, whether ending in vowels or consonants, remain unchanged. As usual with this language, there is some indecision in the forms used for the oblique. In the majority of instances the adjective merely takes the form yd, in analogy to those substantives in a which insert

the semivowel in the oblique, and which grammarians call the eixth declension. The adjective may, however, take the form s, which is also used by substantives in d as the ending of the nomplural. Thus they say near negative or no all always are always in a good man," and this pair of endings is used also with fem, and neuter substantives. Adjectives ending in any other vowel or in a consumant do not change at all.

It will thus be seen that there is in all the languages a tendency to deprive the adjective of its full range of terminations—a tendency based on the rational principle that such an elaborate variety of endings as the adjective is capable of affording, if expanded to its full limits, is quite unnecessary for clearness, and may therefore be dispensed with. In this respect even the Marathi, usually so prodigal of forms, has allowed itself to be influenced by practical considerations. Only the uncultivated Sindhi still retains all this useless apparatus, for which, perhaps, among other similar perfections, Dr. Trumpp would call upon its sister-dialects to envy it!

soft. The numerals, whose elegant and symmetrical development from the ponderous Sanskrit compounds has been exhibited in § 20, are simple in their declension, taking generally the usual signs of plurality and case when required, but inasmuch as they are strictly adjectives, not often requiring any such signs. In B. and O. they possess no peculiarity; in H. there are only one or two points requiring notice. When special emphasis is required, or a numeral is used with reference to some object previously discussed, the plural form is used; but in this case it is the oblique form in all that is used, none of the neminative forms taken by the noun being customary. Thus we say all it is the three brothers said, alluding to some trio of brothers well known to the speaker. The use of the oblique form is only another instance of the tendency of common forms to extend beyond their proper and original sphere into all and

every other as occasion may serve. The forms of the nom. plural of the substantive vary, but the oblique plural ends invariably in all, and this form therefore has acquired an ascendancy, and come to be regarded as the common and unmistakable note of plurality. As no numeral in Hindi ends in long a, there is consequently no opening for any change for the oblique singular, and we hear एक का " of one," दो को " to two," पदास से "with fifty," and the like. The habit of not using any grammatical forms which are not absolutely necessary has led to the almost universal rejection of all signs of plurality in a noun constructed with a numeral. If we say "fifty houses," it is evident that "houses" more than one are meant; what need is there to put the noun in the plural? पदास घर में "in fifty houses," is quite intelligible without any plural sign. It is thus that language gradually simplifies itself by the aid of a few natural reflections.

In Panjabi it is usual to add the plural sign to a numeral, as दर्भा अनुष्यां द्रा "of ten men," but this only in the oblique cases, and it would not be incorrect to omit it. Gujarati follows the Hindi customs in this respect.

All three languages, H. P. and G., treat their ordinals as common adjectives of the oxytone type. Hindi adds the terminations—

Panjabi the same; but it, as well as H. in many local dialects, still preserves the older ending in H, H, H, which is derived from the Sanskrit ordinals in H; Whence comes the anaswars I am anable to say. Gujarati knows only the simple ending in M, H, L H, n, H; pl. m, H, H, n, H or H. The anaswars in H, and P, is probably quite a modern addition, and begins only at the "fifth;" the first four, and the sixth, are regular (see § 27).

Marathi declines its cardinal numerals regularly after the manner of the substantives of similar form; thus ve "one," forms its oblique by adding d, as vel, after the model of mase, and nent, nouns in mute a (§ 41, cl. 1). In the feminine the oblique is formed by the addition of \(\xi\), as vel, like wel, obl. with (§ 41, cl. 3). But the numerals \(\xi\) "two," all "three," and \(\xi\) "four," take a new form in declension, with crude stems \(\xi\) (a.), fact, which resemble the plural forms such as \(\xi\) (a.), in use in Hindi, as mentioned above. When they refer to persons, another set of forms is used, produced by the addition of \(\xi\) to the shortened bases \(\xi\), fa and \(\xi\), thus making

M. Pl. Nom. दोघे, Fem. Nom. दोघी, M. Obl. दोघों, F. Obl. दोघीं , तिथे, , तिथीं, , तिथीं, , तिथीं, , वीथीं, , वीथीं, , वीथीं, , वीथीं,

This process does not extend beyond the number "four," but is parallel to the practice, in the Bhojpuri dialect of Hindi, of adding \$\tilde{\empty}\$ to a number when it stands alone; thus they say \$\tilde{\empty}\$ adding \$\tilde{\empty}\$ to a number when it stands alone; thus they say \$\tilde{\empty}\$ and "two," fattle "three." And in Oriya the longer form \$\tilde{\empty}\$ is in use; thus \$\tilde{\empty}\$ in (thing)." \$\tilde{\empty}\$ all Indian languages the practice of adding some word meaning "piece, portion," etc., to numerals standing alone, is common. The Marathi here differs from the rest by restricting the particle to persons. In Oriya \$\tilde{\empty}\$ irom the rest by restricting the particle to persons. In Oriya \$\tilde{\empty}\$ it means a "piece," and so also does the cartailed Rhojpuri form \$\tilde{\empty}\$; the derivation is perhaps from \$\tilde{\empty}\$ kr. \$\tilde{\empty}\$ is the general sense of a class or collection of persons or things.

Sindhi treats all its cardinals as plurals, and, except in one or two cases, inflects them as nouns with a regular oblique. Thus

व "two," Pl. 061. विकि ट्रे "three," " दिकि चारि "four," " पर्कि

where the T of the nominative is dropped, as it is in the form

पो used in compounds. In these three numerals the form of the oblique in द्वान is used; in the rest that in चान, as—

As the words "two" and "four" have no neuter plural in win Skr., it is probable that the Sindhi forms have been extended to these words from IZIN, Skr. Alin, gen. AZINI, Prakrit frig. The Pr. oblique of "four" is AZIN or AZINI, from which AXIN may have arisen, though we can hardly derive fair from Pr. AII is more probable that the ordinary termination of the oblique plural of nouns has here been extended to the numerals than that these words have been separately formed from Prakrit originals.

The series of tens from 11 to 18, which end in \$, drop the anuswars as well as the a which procedes the \$; thus—

# यारई "eleven," Obl. Pl. याहेशि.

Similar to this is the Hindi dialectic pronunciation unit "twelves," from units "twelve." The numerals in q and q, as units "sixty," and units "ninety," are not inflected; unit "eighty," however, takes an oblique units. When we get among the higher numerals, much of the sense of plurality is lost, and singular nouns are often in all the languages constructed with them.

The word WW "one hundred," is in all the languages treated as if it were a substantive, and in Sindhi has a regular declension, as follows:

Nom. Sing. सच, Nom. Pl. सव or सा. .. Ohl. सव, ,, Ohl. सवनि, सानि, सवे, सएं.

The numerals, however, often remain uninflected before a substantive, which saves a good deal of trouble.

Just as in Hindi the numerals, when treated as collectives, take the oblique plural, as बीसां बीसां माडी "scores and scores

Sindhi often adds the emphatic t or M, as do also the other languages, to all cardinal numbers, to denote completeness; but Sindhi sometimes incorporates this emphatic syllable into the numeral, and adds the terminations to the word thus formed, as

द्वेर "all three," Obl. द्विन्दी, द्विन्दिन-

but

चार्द् "all four." " चर्बी-

and P. which are irregular. In the following examples Sindhi certainly answers to Trumpp's derivation:—

Pitth पंचम पंचमी पंजी but पांचवी-Seventh सत्तम सत्तमी सतीं , सातवी-On the other hand,

Eleventh एकाद्य एकारसमी काही . इस्पारहवां. Twentieth विश्व नीसो वीहों . बीसवां.

In those two latter there is in Skr. at least no तम to start from, and though Prakrit has using, which would give sign, yet it has preferentially बीचो, which will not account for the annswara of बीचो. It is true Pr. has also बीचितम, which would lead to बीचुइमो; but the Sindhi form of this would probably be बीचचा, not बीचो, unless we suppose an clision of u and the throwing farward of the anuswara. In Panjabi, however, we have both the # and the anuswara standing together, as in सत्तमा "seventh," so that the latter certainly cannot here be a relic of the former. Chand's ordinals are as follows:

lst प्रचम . 3rd तृती . 5th पंचम . 7th सतं . 2rd दुती . 4th चर्च . 6th क्टं . 8th बटं . Pr. B. L. 5.

in which, while the final # is sometimes written as a consenant, and at others as an anuswara, there is nevertheless nothing to indicate the source whence the modern terminations arose. The only way that they can be accounted for is by supposing reduplication of the #. Further research is required on this point.

§ 55. We now arrive at the most interesting and important section of our whole inquiry into the noun, namely, the origin of the case-affixes. Having rejected the synthetical method as a whole, and retaining merely certain half-efficed traces of declension, our languages have had to betake themselves, like their European relatives, to added particles, in order to draw out

and express fully the various relations of the noun. These particles are placed after the noun, in contrast to the European method, which places them before it; but this practice is in consonance with the order followed by the mind of an Indian speaker, who constructs his sentences always in a sequence directly the reverse of that used in the languages of Europe, so that, in translating from an Indian vernacular, one has always to begin at the ond of a sentence, and work backwards. A great deal has been written on this particular branch of my subject, but for the most in a desultory and inconclusive manner; and I hope, therefore, to be able to put together, in something like order and arrangement, the results of the inquiries of others, as well as my own discoveries and beliefs, so that, if the question cannot at once be settled, it may at least assume a more concrete and manageable form.

It may be assumed as a starting-point, that the case-affixes are remnants of nouns or perhaps pronouns, which have been cut down and worn away by use. I think it will be admitted by all philologists that any other assumption would be irreconcileable, not only with the fundamental principles of modern Aryan glossology, but with the universal laws of language. In the wide field of Indo-European comparative philology, the great master Bopp has conclusively proved that this principle everywhere pre-

The difficulty of following the sense of a long passage in a variable deciment which this practice indime, will have struck many of my brother magistrates in India. Take the following, from a Police repart: "To day at 1 watch at the day A. B. watchman of rillage C. brought to the public station a break drinking result and platter which he found during his rounds take night on the edge of the public round leading from D. to E. at the south-western corner of the pond fately repaired by the Manager of the estate of Raja F." This would run in Hengali thus: "To-day of the day one writch of tillage C. watchman A. B. of Eaja F. of the seate by the manager lately repaired of the pend at the south-western senter from D. to E. leading of the public round at the chip by him last algebt his remain during found at home a drawing round and platter to the police station lavving brought; cm." All the reshe throughout the report am in the conjunctive participle "having done," and at the end of perhaps the fourth page and comes at last to the only finite verb in the whole, "I have reported it":

valls, and that even the synthetical case-endings of the early classical languages are relies of independent words. It is therefore safe and rational to assume that in the languages of which we are treating, allied as they are closely and indissolubly with the old mother-speech Sanskrit, the same sentiment exists, and the same method of word-building still survives. Throughout the material world we see that the process of reproduction is one of such a nature that it can be repeated time after time for ever. Man begets man throughout the ages, and tree produces tree; the mountains are washed down into the sea, and the forces at work in the bowels of our planet upheave fresh mountains, which are in their turn washed away. So also in language, words originally independent are seized and bound into slavery to other words, become case-affixes, are incorporated into caseendings, and are finally abraded altogether. Then the mind seizes fresh words, and binds them into slavery again, till they also wear out by use; and, if the world lasts long enough, will in their turn pass into case-endings and disappear, and a third set will have to be captured and made use of. The process repeats itself, and the modern Indians, when they had recourse to the words which have become the case-affixes of to-day, only did what their remote ancestors had done before them, when they took pronouns and nouns and made them into the terminations which Sanskrit literature has preserved to us, such as -ena, dyn, asya, and at.

Literature, however, has a tendency to arrest the process of change; and the modern languages of Aryan India are so rapidly becoming cultivated literary tongues, that we may suppose that they will not in future develops so quickly as they did in former times. The literature which they possessed before the advent of the English schoolmaster was not of a kind to influence greatly the spoken language, but rather hald itself proudly apart, and looked down on the folk-speech. Even in the present day this silly feeling is strong. A generally sensible writer like Bankim

Chandra, the editor of that excellent Bengali magazine the "Bangadaršana," for instance, in writing a serial novel, puts into the mouth of one of his characters the familiar word "divisitài" (meaning a match for lighting a candle); but in the very next line, when writing in his own person, uses the highflown Sanakrit equivalent "dipasilaka," though he knows perfectly well that for a thousand Bengalis who understand the former, not ten would know the latter word. It is to be heped that this sort of nonsense has had its day, and that in all the seven languages literature will by degrees become more natural, and that men will begin to see that there is no disgrace in writing as they talk.

But this is a digression. To return to our subject. It follows from what has been said above that we must look for the origin of the case-affixes in nouns of the older language. It follows also that the nouns in question must have been in use at the period when the modern languages began to be formed, -in other words, they must have been words of the lower and more popular dialects of Prakrit. We should hardly be justified in looking for them in scenic Prakrit, but rather in Apabhransa. The argument used by scholars in Europe, that the dislect of the plays and of Hala's songs must have been a spoken dialect, because players and dancing-girls could not have used a language which their audience did not understand, has in reality very little weight to the mind of one who has lived long. in India. It is a curious but quite undeniable fact, that dancinggirls do in the present day sing many songs which only the educated portion of their hearers can understand, or, if the humbler and more illiterate part of the audience do understand them at all, they do so, not because the language is that which they themselves speak, but because it is fine talk, such as they hear their betters use. In an Indian language there are always three or four shades or strata of talk existing side by side at the same epoch. Thus there is in the Bengali of to-day the highly

Sanskritized style of the pandit, the somewhat artificial, but less Sanskritized style of gentlemen of education and refinement, the practical every-day speech of the middle classes, which contains only the simpler Sanskrit words, the strange jargen of the women, and the rough homely patois of the peasantry. It is quite possible for a foreigner to know one of these languages, or strata of language, without knowing the other. It often happens that the English indigo- or tea-planter, mixing only with the lower classes, speaks with fluency the peasant speech, while the high official speaks equally well the dialect of the educated; and the planter cannot talk to a native gentleman in the habitual dialect of the class, nor can the official understand the peasant without an interpreter. These things are so now, and they probably were so a thousand years ago, and, for aught we know, will be so a thousand years hence; and we'are therefore justified by experience and analogy in looking to the lower or Apabhransa dialects for the origin of modern forms-all the jargon of Hala and the plays notwithstanding. I shall now proceed to exhibit the results of such investigations as have up to the present time been made by myself and others, taking each case-affix separately.

§ 56. The Objective. Under this head are classed the accusative and dative of the Sanskrit. Prakrit had already lost its dative, and the modern languages make, strictly speaking, no distinction between the nominative and accusative. There remains therefore no way of designating that form which the noun takes when it is the object of an action but that of "objective." Marathi alone has a distinct quasi-synthetical dative, which, as we have shown above (§ 52), is a descendant of the Sanskrit genitive in asya.\(^1\) In Marathi, however, as well as

The Gipsy language has also an objective in  $\alpha$ , which is used only with meanline nouns and in the singular; thus  $L_{mn}$  "a gipsy," obj. ramie; ras "a lord," obj. rasie; radio (H. lepta inversed) "a boy," obj. ratics—Paqueti, p. 50. The same form occurs in Kathauri, as easief "father," obj. sudde: nicks "child," obj. mekera

in the other languages, there is no sign to mark the object. In II. Ut fit uut "the house fell." we have the same form as in ut unu! "he built the house." When it is thought necessary to emphasize or express the state of objectivity—a question the discussion of which pertains rather to syntax than to formlore,—the following particles are added to the oblique form of the noun:—

Hindi	41.00	5	ka.	Marathi					
Panjahi				Bengali					
Sindhi				Oriya .					
(injarati	A let		negi.	Nepali .	4	94	×		lat.

These affixes are the same both in the singular and plural. Of the seven languages H. S. B. and O. fall into one group, P. M. and G. into another.

The H. affix ke is softened from an older form at, which is still in use in the Braj Bhasha and many other restic dialects. An older form still is at; and the oldest form of all is that found in Chand, as. The form at, which Trumpp' hastily affiliates to those given above, I hesitate to connect with them, believing it to belong to a different root altogether. What, then, is the origin of this affix? It is derived clearly from some noun, as I have already shown reason for believing; and the problem is—What is that noun?

Of the use of at it is unnecessary to give examples, as the form is in common daily employ. Similarly, at is to be met with on every page of a Braj Bhàsha poem. Thus, in the Ramayan of Tulsi Das.

खाँध सिधि संपति नदी सुहाई । उमाग चनध खंबुधि नहं बाई ॥

Ayethyk Khuda, 7.

"Prosperity, success, wealth, (like) fair rivers Overflowing, came to the sea of Availa."

Singhi Gr. p. 110. The author also errs, in my opinion, in considering the unaswire in \$1 a modern addition. It would rather seems to be the older form of the two-

# नुप युवराज राम कई देह ॥

Ayodbyā Kānda, 18.

"King, give the heir-apparentship to Ram."

## चस कहि नाइ सवनि कई साथा। चलेउ हरिय हिंद धरि रघुनाथा।

Sambers Kümla, 8.

"Thus having said, bowing his head to all, He went rejoicing, hobling in his heart Raghunath."

It occurs on an average ten times on a page throughout the poem, and in some of the recent lithographed editions is regularly written \*\*\* a point to which I shall refer again presently. Sur Das uses \*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\* and \*\*\* but not, as far as I know, \*\*\* or \*\*\* It is impossible to speak with certainty, as it would take half a life-time to read through the vast ocean of the Sar Sagar.

Chand uses several forms, but the anuswara is retained in all, thus showing that it is not a mere modern addition. His forms are \$1. \$1, and \$5. We cannot tell how far Chand's forms have been modernized by copyists; but that \$5 is a true form, and not a copyist's error, is evident from the fact that in the places where it occurs, it is demanded by the metro, and occasionally appears with the final vowel lengthened in cases where a long vowel is wanted. Instances of both \$5 and \$5 are—

# वरी सु सोई तुम एक कहा ॥

"He seeks one of you."-i. 88, 9.

## पात समें वर दुजन कहा ॥ वादि अप्य कर दीन ॥

"At morning-time a gift to the Brahmina Dividing with his own hands gave."-vii. 5, 3-4.

This latter would run thus in Modern-Hindi-मात के समय बर दिजी की, बांटकर अपने साथ से दिया.

## करि द्खीत सवन कई।

"Having made obeleance to all,"-vi. 35, 2.

#### बीसी कहि मी कहे दर पायड ॥

"Having thus said, you find (i.s. cause) fear to me."-1. 160, 1.

### प्रिचिराज माहीवे जुड कहे। हम परिमाल मुलाइव ॥

"For the war with Prithiral at Mahoba, Parimal has summoned us."—xxi. 84, 6.

Of earlier forms than we we have no examples, and the gap must be filled by inference. Where actual evidence fails, we do not, as some carping critics say, fall back upon mere guesswork, but, applying the known laws of phonetic development, endeavour to reconstruct an older form, and are not guessing any more than Owen guessed when he reconstructed an extinct animal from one bone. We cannot do this; but it may be possible to get to a step or two further back by analogy. It is admitted that initial letters seldem change (see Vol. I. p. 190); we therefore assume on good grounds that the set of this word has come down unchanged. Further, generally results from the dropping of the organic portion of an aspirated letter; and as a termination represents the Sanskrit termination of the neuter in -am.

The following theories have been advanced, based on the above, and similar well-known phonetic processes. Trampp (Sindhi Gr. p. 115) derives from Skr. sā, which he supposes took the form fan, and he thus clides T, which, on going out, aspirates the preceding consonant, thus producing the Sindhi S; the Hindi at he derives from sā, through Prakrit forms fan, fan, and it has been shown above that the older forms contain an g and annewars, neither of which could be got from Trampp's process, and there is no reason to suppose that at has a different origin from sg. To account for the g. Trampp supposes that the so is so on going out aspirated the following consonant, thus producing sai. That set would naturally produce set, sā, and perhaps even the allied form

表表, is undernable; the only difficulty is in getting to 表现 from 表示. There is another way by which, still retaining 表示 as the origin, we may account for the 表, namely, by supposing that when 表示 had become, as we know it does in Prakrit, 表示 and 表表, the next step was to clide the ₹ (Vol. I. p. 202), thus getting 表示; but the place of a single consonant which has been lost by clision is often supplied by ₹ in Prakrit, and by this process a form 表示 is obtainable.

The weak side of all this argument is that an does not commend itself as a probable origin for an affix meaning primarily and generally "towards." Trumpp says that in Skr. 37 is used as an equivalent of and in the sense of " for the sake of." "on account of," "as regards." But even if this statement be true of classical Sanskrit, it is doubtful whether the use of wit in this way was ever sufficiently common among the lower classes to lave given rise to so very common an affix as the sal of the modern objective. It is difficult to see how a word primarily meaning "that which has been done" could come to mean "on account of." With set and set there is no such difficulty, because these words mean respectively "in that which has been done," and "by that which has been done;" and the transition from these senses to that of "on account of" is easy, resting as it does more on the acknowledged meaning of the locative and instrumental cases than on the root.

The Sindhi objective affix & the, can, without doing violence to probability, be admitted as an offspring of Ed; but the mind is not easily satisfied with the parallel affiliation of all to Ed.

As far as concerns the meaning, a more probable origin for all is that partially suggested by Hoernle (J.A.S.B. 1872, pt. i. p. 174, et seqq.), who, however, has not traced the steps of the trans-

Weber, Saptantakum, p. 29. "Es schemt rjeltsche fan å in dissur Füllen nur als eine Art spiritus lenis aus Vermeidung des Hintes gebeuteht au seen, abnitute wie im Magnitht der Jaina des 9, in einigen Fällen aber auch å sulhat eder versundet wird (ilhagavati, l. 350, 400, 411, 426)."

ition correctly. The meaning of at being evidently, in the first instance, "towards," "near," and the like, we are led to look at the analogy of Bengali, in which language wie means "near." and is used with a genitive as an independent postposition, as नाहार काके "near him," or "in his possession," or "to him." Now this word will is from the Skr. we locative of we and means literally "in the armpit," or, as we should say, "at the side." as becomes in Old-Hindi and, and the accusative as would become first week, then ared. As a, like the rest of the aspirutes, migrates into g, a form and is legitimately presumable; whence, by shortening the vowel, we get the already catabilahed form ag, with its variant agt. I confess that this derivation approves itself to my mind in preference to any other. बाख, as a substantive, meaning "armpit," is in common daily use in the present day. It is a Tadbhava of somewhat later origin than those very early Tadbhavas which have given us the adverbs and case-affixes, and it is therefore no objection to this derivation that the case-affix should have undergone more change than the noun. As a parallel instance may be cited the adverbs like wet, wet, where wis all that remains of the w of with, which word, when used as a noun, has kept the fuller consomental form यान. When used as a noun, the word कई loses its final inherent a, and becomes a barytone monesyllable; but the affix comes from the accusative, which is used adverbially, and consequently retains its aunawara. ककरं = काइं, कीं, is equivalent to समं = सी and खार्न = तार्चाः

and actually occurs in a place where the metre requires a long vowel, in Tulsi Daa's Ramayan, Ayodhya Kanda 330:-

# निस्त सुधाकर निस्ति गा राह ॥ निस्ति गति वास सदा सव काह ॥

"In writing the moon Rahu has been written, Fate is always grobbed to all," unless we here take ans without annswara to mean "why;" but this is strained, and does not account for सब.

In confirmation of this derivation as regards the meaning, a matter in which Trumpp's derivation fails to satisfy, it may be further added that in modern Urdu the same method is still adopted, though with a different word. The Arabic word warmpit," is always used in the sense of "near," "close by," "to;" thus with a different house."

Hoerale is, I think, wrong in saying that it is derived from Bengali with, as it is impossible to derive a twolith-century Hindi word from a fifteenth-century Bengali one, and Hindi is the parent, or at least the elder sister, not the daughter, of Bengali; but Hoerale has certainly, in my opinion, indicated the direction in which we should look for the origin of the word, and I believe the steps were as I have shown above; and further research will probably establish the intermediate forms for which we have at present no actual proof. A striking analogy to the assumed genesis of the from the is afforded by the old H. and B. affix up "beside," "to," which arises from Skr. up, from up "a side," through up and up, which last form is actually used by the early poets.

The Oriya may be considered as a mere variant of the Hindi wit, which itself, in the corrupt dialect of Hindustani spoken in Southern India, sounds . The Oriyas do not pronounce the wit o at all fully, but give it a soft short sound, which it is very difficult to distinguish from . The Telugus, the near neighbours of the Oriyas, with whom they have for centuries had close intercourse, also mark the accusative by a form . Tolugu, however, being a Dravidian language, is not in any way connected with the languages of our group, though, as it has received a large number of Sanskrit and Prakrit words, there are often great similarities between it and Oriya, and it is singular that the atructure of the verb also is very similar. Dr. Caldwell (Dravidian Comp. Gr., p. 225) asserts the identity of

the two forms; but we have already traced the Hindi ke to an curlier form we, which, whatever be its origin, is distinctly an Aryan word, and has no connexion with the Dravidian affix. The Telugu affix is as often sounded ki as ku, the vowel varying according to the final vowel of the noun to which it is affixed; thus Hari makes Hari-ki "to Hari," but dorn "a lord," dorn-ku.

Bengali ordinarily in its carliest recorded forms, in the Vaishnava hymns, does not mark the objective any more than early Hindi does. When however, it is necessary to indicate this case, we generally find it noted by the affix T, which still survives in the so-called dative of the modern pronoun. In this early stage we also occasionally find the Hindi crude affix hi. Instances of 7 are common in the Bengali Mahabharat of Kāširām Dās-a book much beloved and bethumbed by the ordinary Bengali shopkeoper. Thus वृत्रमुले वसि राजा किन्त with a "sitting at the root of a tree, the king said to Bhima." -114, a. 5.! जलपान करिवार जास सरीवरे " "to drink (lit. to the making of a drink) water he goes to the lake."-415, b. 25. पानिपाय भये जाय जानियारे बारि । "taking a water-vossel goes to fetch (lif. to the bringing) water."—ib. 40. अय पाये थी कणेरे दांक गणवती a "Feeling fear the virtuous woman invokes Sri Krishna."-416, a. 2. These examples have been taken from the first page that I happened to open; many hundreds of others may be called from Bengali poems. It will be seen that in affixing this to nouns ending in mute a, an a is inserted; thus Euit, instead of guit. This practice results probably from the addition of the emphatic e to the singular nom., instances of which are common; thus Bidyapati तेळ्न तथा चनुराने । "of such a kind is thy love."-Pade 984. Luffe wie west wy-योगे । कान से अभि कर्नि प्रेमभोगे। "Ah! dearest, why dost thou question? (lit. make the question). Even now thou shalt make the

<sup>4</sup> Calculin edition, published by Maheshchandry Cheer, 217, Chitpure Read, 1872.
The first number is the pure, the latters a and b denote the column (there are two in each page), the last number is the line.

feast of love with Kanh."—Ib. This form is really the nomplural; and when used in the singular, thus naturally carries the idea of abundance or emphasis—an idea, however, which soon fladed, leaving the poets free to use the s pleonastically whenever it suited their metre.

The explanation of the origin of this form is, according to Trumpp, with whom I agree, to be sought for in connexion with that of Marathi, Nepali and the other languages using an affix whose characteristic is \$\mathbf{H}\$, to which we shall come presently.

The modern Bengali uses instead of the form &. New Bengali is very prone to softening & into e; in ordinary conversation one constantly hears this promunciation (Vol. I. p. 142). Thus wife or I have abandoned," will most usually be so uttered as to sound chiering dillem. It may therefore be sufely assumed that the affix & is changed from an earlier w, shortened from wig, which we have above taken as the origin of we, wi, and wi.

Passing from the group whose characteristic is \$\overline{\pi}\$, we come to that whose characteristic is \$\overline{\pi}\$, of which the most important member is Marathi. In that language the dative affix is \$\overline{\pi}\$, and in the earlier language \$\overline{\pi}\$ and \$\overline{\pi}\$ allied to which is the Nepali \$\overline{\pi}\$\$, obtained by clision of the \$\overline{\pi}\$, whereas Marathi has rejected the whole of the last syllable.

From the root we there is a large host of derivative forms, both verbs and particles, in all the seven languages; and as the root means primarily "to stick," it would naturally be adopted to express the idea of nearness or adhesion, which is very suitable to the objective. The simplest form in which it occurs is perhaps Hindi wa, meaning "up to," "as far as;" but owing to the facility with which w and winterchange, Hindi has in some rustic dialects on affix or we originally we used with the dative. This still survives in the neighbourhood of Dolhi, as, for instance, in the proverb quoted by Elliot (Races of N. W. P., vol. i. p. 5):—

#### चपनी बोई जाप खाएं हाकिस ने न दे दाना

"What they sow they eat themselves, and give not a grain to the ruler."

It also survives in G., which marks the objective by #. The form # is found in Chand:-

## वानपान पृथिराज ने । निसि सुपननार चिह्न ॥—॥. ३.

"In his youth to Prithiraj,
In a dream at night (came) a sign."

and

## पृथिराज मुनि कुंचर ने ॥ जाप नुजाए हित ॥—v. 13.

"Hearing it, Prithiraj himself Invited the prince kindly."

This is, I now think, the correct translation, though I formerly translated the passage otherwise (J. A. S. B., vol. xlii. p. 165), which translation led me into difficulties about the construction, which are obviated by taking out I as the objective.

Marathi has lengthened the vowel of the root, but Hindi has not; and it is to a participial form जान, the meaning of which would be "adhering to," "close to," and finally "to," that we must attribute the present affix. जान becomes जर, and then जै, जि, and जे. The anaswara would thus be an inorganic addition, common in Hindi. From जन्म, a regular Prakrit form of the relative participle, by a similar clision of ज and conversion of ज into ज, comes the Panjahi objective जे for जड़, where the final ज has been weakened into anuswara. Analogous to this is the form जो, contracted from जान, in Old-Hindi used in the sense of "up to," "until." Thus Kabir:

#### यह जी वहीं भरेते गयक इ-Ramaini, a. 46.

"How far shall I tell (of them)? they have gone into unconsciousness," literally "till where?" And again

# तीं की तारा जगमंगे जी की उभी क सूर॥ ती की जिस जग कर्म बस जी जी स्थान न पूर॥

-Sakhi 201

"So long (only) shines the star as the sun does not rise,

So long (only) do worldly works suffice as knowledge is not full;"

literally "until that (time) shines the star until which (time) the sun does not rise."

In a precisely similar way Chand uses will, as

## वहां जीन लघुता वर्गवीं।

"How long shall I describe my inferiority?"-i 22.

The other change to which w is subject, namely that into \(\ta\). yields the Bengali objective \(\ta\).

In Gujarati works the affix of the objective is sometimes written without the annowara, and thus resembles one form of the genitive affix; but it will be shown hereafter that the resemblance is accidental only.

§ 57. The Instrumental. This case possesses a special importance in the seven languages, from the fact that in most of them, owing to the peculiar system of prayaga or construction which prevails, it takes the place of the nominative before verbs in the past tenses—a practice which makes these languages in this respect difficult to foreigners. In the cambrous Marathi especially, the correct use of the prayaga is a sort of pass asiacrum to beginners, and even in some instances to natives themselves. The forms of the instrumental are those:

Hindi . . . . . . se.

Panjabi . . . . nat.

Marathi . . . . ney, es, sig. pl. sig. his, sig.

Gajarati . . . . .

Nepali . . . . . le.

Sindhi has no form for this case, but uses the simple oblique or crude form without any affix; so also do the early and middle age Hindi poets, as will be shown in the latter part of this section. Gujarati, in addition to the c, which may also be regarded as originally merely the crude form, has also certain pleanantic affixes; thus it writes a will a will be considered under that ease.

Bengali uses the direct prayoga or construction in the past tenses of verbs, and has no need of an instrumental to take the place of the nominative; but when it requires to indicate instrumentality, the literary language employs such words as करूक, कर्णक, दारा, पूजक; while the common speech uses the participles of the verbs "to do" and "to give," बरिया "having done," दिया "having given." Both high and low alike also borrow the affix of the locative त, as in Gujarati the ablative.

Oriya, like Bengali, has only the direct prayoga, and, like it, supplies the place of an instrumental by **TIT** and similar words, and colloquially by **TI** "having given," and such like words; but the instrumental is very rarely used in Oriya, the locative affix **T** usually supplying its place.

The Gipsy uses so in the singular and jo in the plural, but as a pure instrumental only, and not as a substitute for the nominative.

In those languages in which the past tense of the transitive verb requires the instrumental construction, the verb does not under those circumstances agree with the nominative case. There asems, however, to be an exception to this rule, if my informant, a Nepalese pandit, be correct, in the Nepali language. The pandit gives the past tense thus:

> से ने बायां "I ate," ते ने खाइस "thou atest," उस ने खायों "be ate,"

हामी ने साथ "\*\* मध्य" तिमी ने साथी "!\* और." उभी ने साथा "they ste." In this paradigm we see that the verb changes its termination in each person, whereas in Hindi it remains fixed, that: # \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\fr

Although the question more strictly belongs to syntax, yet, in order to establish the correctness of the theory as to the origin of the instrumental affix which will be brought forward in this section, it will be advisable to give a brief description of the question of prayogas as they exist in the modern languages.

The prayogus are three in number: kartari, karmani, bhâre, which may be Englished respectively, subjective, objective, and impersonal; and what they are will be understood from the following Latin phrases:

Kartá. Rex urban condidit. Karma. A rege urba condita. Bhava. A rege urbi conditum est.

In the first the verb agrees with the nominative case; in the second it agrees with the object, and the subject is in the instrumental; in the third the verb is impersonal and neuter, the subject in the instrumental, and the object is generally in the oblique form.

The Kartá prayoga is generally employed in the present and future tenses; the other two in the past tenses. In Marathi the potential, however, takes the Bhāca as well as the Kartā; and in all the languages except Marathi the Karma and Bhāca constructions are restricted to transitive verbs.

Having thus briefly stated the general system of construc-

tions, we return to the instrumental case of the noun, which, it will be seen, occupies the place of the subject in two of the constructions. It is, in the first place, necessary to observe, that in Gujarati there is an additional form of construction, in which the subject is in the dative case; and this is, strictly speaking, the Karmani construction: for in native grammars the dative, as well as the accusative, goes by the name of Karman or Karma, just as we have in these pages called them both the objective. The construction with the instrumental would more accurately be called the Karane, Karana being the name for that case. Secondly, not only in so archaic a language as Gujarati is the dative used indifferently with the instrumental in the frequently occurring constructions noted above, but in Nepali the forms of the case-affixes are very similar, the dative having wit, the instrumental 3; and the same similarity exists between the 4 of the Old-Hindi objective and the 3 of the Modern-Hindi instrumental; and so also, while is the sign of the dative-objective in Gujarati, it is the sign of the instrumental in Marathi. From these considerations it would seem to result that the two forms are identical in origin, and have been confounded with one another by the vulgar. For, as regards Hindi and Panjabi, certainly my own experience is, that the objective and impersonal constructions are never properly understood by the unlearned, and in the rustle dialects of the Eastern-Hindi area are more usually omitted altogether, and the direct or subjective construction employed.

It would be out of place here to go into more detail on a question of syntax; but it may be noticed that the participial form with, with its variant wife, which is almost if not quite proved to be the origin of the case-affix of, is often used with a very wide range of meanings, and with great laxity of application,—as is natural from its meaning, which may, without violence, be diverted to many uses. Thus in Old-Hengali it is used in the sense of "on account of," "for," as—

#### कि नागि मुंदरि बदन द्वापयसि ॥ हरल चेतन भीर ॥—Bidyspati in Pad.

"For what, O fair one, dost cover thy face?

It has ravished my senses."

It is, however, necessary to inform the reader, that the theory above stated is not the generally received one; or, as these languages have never yet been studied scientifically, it would be more accurate to say that it is not the theory held by the few scholars who have looked into the question. Trumpp probably means his remark upon p. 113 to be taken as a mere obiter dictum, and it seems not to have occurred to him that there were serious objections to his derivation. He and others state that the affix \$\frac{1}{2}\$, with its variants, is derived from the case-ending of the Sanaktit. The instrumental in Smakrit ends in eas in the case of e-stems; and down to a late stage of classical Prakrit, this ending is preserved, and occurs oven in Chand in Gatha passages, and, as stated in § 48, survives in Marathi as t. where the final w has been weakened to anuswara. In Gujarati, also, the s of the instrumental has been mentioned in the abovequoted section as a quasi-synthetical termination; but it will be observed that this and loses its a, and that we cannot in any way get ने out of it, unless we suppose a termination नेन to start with, which does not exist. In general, the modern languages, throwing saids the complications of the various Sanskrit inflactions, adopt these of the a-stem only, and the few traces of the synthetic system that still survive are, without exception, to be referred to that stom. We should hardly be justified in looking to the terminations of any other stem for the origin of modern forms; nor in this case would it much help us if we did : for, in all but the a-stem, the instrumental termination is w: and although in mase, or neuter stems ending with a rowel an 7 is inserted, making the whole termination all, yet this a is regarded by Bopp, i. § 158, as cuphonic only, and not an

organic portion of the word. Even from WI, however, we could not get the anuswara of W; and if this affix were really, as the theory assumes, a relic of an old synthetical case-ending, we should certainly find it in full force from the earliest times; whereas, on the contrary, the modern origin of W as an instrumental with the objective construction, has struck many scholars, and is. I believe, now generally admitted. Some instances may here be adduced of this construction in the older poets to show that they did not use an affix W or W as an instrumental.

Chand uses the direct or subjective construction even with the preterite of transitive verbs; thus-

# दुइ बार बुद्यों राज ॥ दुव दियों न उतर काज ॥—Pr. 11. 1. 40.

"Ten times the King asked.

The Brahmin gave no unswer in the matter."

-where, in modern classical Hindi, we should have राजा ने पूछा and विज ने दिया

Where the subject is a pronoun, it is often put in the oblique crude form, and the verb agrees with the object, as-

# तिन रचा कोनी सु दुव ॥—1, 136.

43 He protected the Brahmina."

Here तिल is plural oblique, and कीनी the old form of the preterite fem. of करना, agreeing with रवा: again-

# जिशि रचे सुरम मू सत्त पाताल ॥— L II.

"Who made beaven, earth, the seven hella."

The various nouns agree with va. which is neuter plural; while fair, the subject, is oblique singular. In the passage above quoted, i. 49, occur two lines close to each other, each with a different construction—

#### विहि हली अप्य भी तात गर्॥

"He who killed the snake on my father's neck."

and in the next line but one all gail au. In the first we have the agent in the oblique fafg; in the second it is in the nominative al-

# मुख चाव चंद्रेस मु कीनी ॥ यह परिमान लिखी करि दीनी ॥—xxi: 124.

"Good speed the Chandel made,

(Saying) 'Parimal hath written this," he gave it into his hand."

Here the agent is in the nom. singular, although the verbs with and that, being both preterites of transitive verbs, would in Modern-Hindi require the objective construction.

Coming down to later times, we find Kabir employing the oblique construction without w.

# 

"He who taught the Kalama in the Kall Yug, Having searched, did not find the power (of Allah),"

जिन्ह and तिन्हें are both planal oblique; but the construction is irregular, as the Arabic words and kalama and kedrat are both feminine, and we should expect पढाई and पाई.

Perhaps it would be unfair to expect such a refinement from the weaver-sage, who wrote his language as he found it, without troubling himself much about the words he used. The fact that Kabir was a man of the people, and not a pandit, gives us great confidence in his writings as evidence for the way the ordinary folk of those days talked; and this confidence would be greater could we be sure that his writings had not been tampered with by meddling scribes. Again, he uses the direct construction in

### ससि कानद् कूयो नहीं कलस गड़ी नहि हाथ 4 चारों जुन साहाता जेड़ि कविर जनायों नाथ #

-Sabbi 183

"Kabir touched not ink or paper, he took not pen in hand; He made known the lord to whom is glory in the four ages."

Here say is nominative to the three transitive preterites say "touched," say "took," and says "made known;" and with regard to the first verb, its objects are said, which is few., and says (Ji), which is mass,; the second verb has for its object some (Ji), which for some reason is always few. in Hindi, and the verb is few. also, and thus agrees with its object. It must be observed, however, that the word Kabir would, if treated as a Hindi word, have the same form in the oblique as in the nominative, and we may thus fairly regard it as oblique in this place. The verbs would then all regularly agree with their objects, as in the Karmani construction; in which case, if Kabir had known of the modern use of says a sign of the instrumental, he would doubtless have used it. Another—

#### में रोयो सब जगत को मोको रोवे न कोइ॥ मो को रोवे सो जना को सब्द विवेशी होइ॥

-Sakhi 176

"I have wept for all the world, no one weeps for me, That man weeps for me who contemplates the word."

Here A, though used in Modern-Hindi as a nominative, has not yet lost its true force as instrumental of the first personal pronoun, of which \$\exists (\sum\_{\text{eq}})\$ is the true nominative.

The use of as an instrumental is quite unknown to Behari Lal, in whose Satsai it does not occur once. Tulsi Das is equally ignorant of it, as—

#### जब रघुनाथ समर रिपु जीते॥

"When Raghanath conquered his enemies in battle."—Arrays A. 265
Without prolonging this inquiry by adducing any more

examples, it may be said, as a general deduction from the practice of the Old-Hindi poets, that they are ignorant of the use of a as an instrumental case-affix, and use the objective construction, as a rule, with the oblique form of the noun, indicated, where there is any oblique form, by the affix s; and that in this respect Sindhi, Gujarati, and, as far as we know anything about it, Old-Panjahi, agree with Hindi, while one at least of the Marathi forms of this case is a relic of the Sanskrit instrumental. It would thus appear that, on the decay of the synthetical system, and the fusion of all the case-endings thereof into the one oblique form of the analytical system, no trace of the instrumental as a separate case remained, and its place was supplied by the objective for many conturies. A partial revival of this case took place at a later period, probably about the reign of Shah Jahan, when the form W, hitherto used for the dative, began gradually to be extended to the noun when used as the subject of a transitive verb in the past tense, and thus a came in High-Hindi to be used as an instrumental.

The reason for fixing the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries as the period when this innovation occurred is, that, as my learned friend Professor Blochmann' has shown, it was about that time that the general admission of Hindus to offices under the Empire began to bear fruit in the Persianized form of Hindi which we call Urdu: and this new phase of the language was based, to a great extent, on the dialect of Hindi spoken in the provinces adjacent to the Court, in which the form it was in use as a dative.

Marathi supplies yet another argument in favour of the theory now under discussion. In that language the instru-

I wish to take this apportunity of paying my milents of respect to this protounal scholar, whose knowledge of all that pertains to the Court and Government of the brilliant Mughal dynasty of India is singularly uninote and accurate, and absorphential edition and translation of the "Ain Akberf" in the most valuable contribution to our information on this embject that has yet appeared, displaying an industry, depth of research, and range of knowledge, worthy of the highest admiration.

mental in v, which has been shown to be a relie of the Sanskrit UN, is felt to be too weak by itself to express the instrumental relation proper, as distinguished from the merely constructive instrumental; and where direct instrumentality is required to be expressed, we find a cuse-affix added: thus, "by means of a weapon," is मही वहन, where मही alone is not felt to be explicit enough. On the other hand, 3, so far from being felt to be an old half-abraded synthetical case-ending, is recognized us a distinct word, and has even yet hardly taken its place as a case-uffix, but is often used as a postposition attached to the genitive case: thus we have such forms as साचा न="by him," where wis is genitive musculine. It must be borne in mind that there are three grades of formative additions to a noun in these languages. First, and oldest, those affixes which are remains of the Sanskrit case-endings and are fully and completely incorporated with the stem and inseparable from it-Second, and next in point of time, those affixes which have been adopted to supply the place of case-endings when these latter had become so much abraded as no longer to mark case-relations with sufficient accuracy, and which are not integral portions of the stem-word, but are attached to its oblique form. Third, and latest, those adverbs, particles, and postpositions which are recognized as independent words, and are attached to the stemword after it has already received its case-affix. It will be seen that the degree of coherence of these three grades is exactly in proportion to their antiquity. Consequently, when we find in the third of these categories, we cannot admit it to be a relie of the synthetical system, because, if it were, it would be in the first cutegory.

Marathi has pland forms for all its methods of forming the instrumental: thus-

In this particular, popular usage seems to have extended to all three affixes a plural which was originally appropriate only to ons; and the use of iff in the singular is parallel to that of the ablative form 37, which, as shown in § 51, has been extended to the singular from being originally plural only: for ni must be taken to be originally a plural also. The form of is a synthetical relie, being merely a lengthening of the Prakrit fe. Sanskr. fan (Lassen, p. 310); Iff is more correctly written at. and is, I think, another instance of the connexion between the instrumental and the dative. Such a word as देवाशी, or देवाशी. "by a god," would correctly be divided देवास + द (for ही), and not देवा + भीं. Hoernie has shown (J. A. S. B. vol. xhii. p. 61) that the form देवासाटी, used as a darive in Marathi poetry, has similarly been treated as though it were देवा + मार्टी, which is erraneous, as there is no such word as WIST. The word should be divided देवाम + आहीं; the latter word being a Prakrit form of we "en account of." In these two cases we have a construction exactly parallel to that of mier a above, where the affixes are only in the third degree of cohesion, and are attached to the genitive of the noun: for, as explained above, § 52, देवास. though now used as a dative, is really देवसा = Skr. देवसा. Lastly, for the confusion still existing between the two cases may be cited the curious construction still common in Panjabi in such phrases as उस ने आउवा सा = "he was to have come," literally "by or to him to come it was"="illi veniendum erat," or "ab illo veniendum erat," where we may call 34 % a dative or an instrumental, as we please. Native grammarians call it the latter.

The above considerations leave no doubt in my own mind of the truth of the theory that the forms of the instrumental caseaffix now in use are originally datives which have been transferred to the instrumental. Other similar cases of the affixes of one case having passed over to another will be met with as we go through the remaining affixes. § 58. The Ablative.—The terminations of this case in Sindhi and Marathi have already been shown to be remains of old synthetic case-endings. Marathi has no other method of expressing the sense of "from;" but it, like all the other languages, uses the oblique form of the noun, together with a long string of affixes, or rather postpositions, to express meanings which it has been customary with grammarians roughly to class together under the head of ablative.

Strictly speaking, however, the ablative is that case which expresses procession from, or, as it is called by Sanskrit grammarians, autital; and I shall here therefore only notice these affixes which convey the meaning "from." These are—

Hindi . . . ते. ते. थी.

Panjahi . . त.

Similal . . . . 項 , 看 , 看 , 看 ,

Gnjarnti . . . 如.

Bengali has no form for the ablative, but uses a postposition gra, which has been explained above, § 51. The origin of the form \$\tilde{\pi}\$ appears to be the Skr. adverbial ablative \$\tilde{\pi}\$, as in the arrange "from the village," in Pr. \$\tilde{\pi}\$, where the \$\sigma\$ has been softened through \$\delta\$ to \$\sigma\$. In Chand it is often written \$\tilde{\pi}\$, as—

#### ता के कुन ते उपनी ॥

"From his race sprang."-i. 164.

#### तुस यही बह जीव ते वध ।

"Say ye (and) I make him slain from life."—i. 178.
(i.e. give the word and I kill him).

I think the anuswars here is merely an inorganic addition, as it so frequently is. Concerning the origin of \$\vec{a}\$, there is much obscurity. No scholar, as far as I know, has as yet thrown any light on it. The most probable supposition is, that it is of the

same origin as \$\frac{1}{10}\$, but with the particle \$\frac{10}{10}\$ added, so that \$\frac{1}{10}\$ would stand for \$\frac{1}{10}\$; the vowel being lengthened. This appears to be Vans Taylor's idea (Gujarati Grammar, p. 64); but be seems also to think the form may have arisen from a fusion the two forms of the Prakrit ablative in \$\frac{10}{10}\$, and the which is possible, but not probable, as \$\frac{10}{10}\$ is too old a for having passed into \$\frac{10}{10}\$ and \$\frac{10}{10}\$ before the period of the origin of Gujarati.

For the Sindhi st, etc., Trumpp offers no satisfactory account, merely remarking that it is allied to the objective sign s (37), but with the termination of the ablative st, st, etc., added. More will be said on this point when considering the postpositions.

The Oriya & appears in this form in the earliest documents we possess, and a fuller form 31% is common in modern times. It will not, I think, be contested that we have here the Prakrit ablative in wig; so that the forms would be Skr. WINTER, Pr. 31012, 31013, 31013.

The Hindi aftix \$\frac{a}\$, usually applied to the ablative in modern writings, does not really mean "from," but "with," and comes under the head of postpositions; but as it is now used as a case-aftix,—that is, with the oblique form of the noun, and not, as pure postpositions are, attached to the genitive or other case,—it will be better to consider it here. \$\frac{a}{2}\$ is softened from an older form \$\frac{a}{4}\$, still used constantly in the rustic dialects of Hindi, and this leads us back to the full form \$\frac{a}{4}\$, which is the Sanskrit adverb \$\frac{a}{4}\$ "with." Chand uses it after varbs of speaking, just as \$\frac{a}{4}\$ is used in Modern-Hindi—

#### की इत प्रिचिराज सम ॥

"Quoth the messenger to Pritkiraj."-xii. 16.

#### कहैं केति सम केत ॥

"Quoth the wife to the husband."-1 7.

in which latter instance it precedes the noun to which it belongs.

Sindhi has forms wit, and with," though in some grammars erroncously called a locative, probably also belongs to this group; and in Old-Hindi we meet with an intermediate form www. In Old-Bengali we meet with which, however, is probably for with the form used in the modern language. Thus Chandi Das (a.n. 1460) has—

यमुनार कृते कदलेर सूने मिनिन आसेर सने 1 —Pad. 1307, 26. "On the banks of Yamuna, beneath the Kadamba tree, she met with Shyama."

und Kási Dás (Mahábhárat 415, b. 6):

# बार सने वने युद् करे तिन अने ॥

"With whom in the forest fight the three men?"

Tulsi Das uses He in his Ramayan frequently-

### तेहि सन याज्ञयनका पनि पावा ॥

"With him Yājnavalkya afterwards found (it)."—hāla-kānd. 14.

# में पुनि निज मुख सन सुनि ॥

"I afterwards having heard it with my own gurn."-it.

#### चित्रटा सन बोली बर जारी॥

"She spake with Trijata, clasping her hands together."
—Smaler-kand, 200.

8 59. The Generale.—In all the seven languages, and in most of the subordinate dialects, the system that prevails for this case is to add to the oblique form particles which vary their terminations so as to agree with the governing noun. In other words, the genitive case-affix is adjectival, and agrees with the governing noun just as an adjective would, so that, as has been frequently remarked, the construction is not that of the Latin patrix equals, but that of paternus equals. Bengali and Oriya having lost all gender and all means of marking the oblique form, have also rejected as uscless the adjectival form of the

genitive; but it will be shown that the affixes which they use are closely allied to those used in some of the other languages. The forms are as follows:

Hindi .	4	1		4	,el	kil.	Marathl,	r	4 4	4	chil
Panjahi				de		dit.	Bengull .		1.23		er.
Sindhi	14	4	w.	4	191	ja.	Oriya .		100		ier.
Gijarail	4	-				40-					

The first five languages inflect this form. Thus Hindi has an, a. a. Panjabi दा, दे, दी, दिया; and so with the others. Bengali and Oriva remain unchanged; and omitting them for the present, it will be seen that the principle of making the genitive case of the noun into an adjective runs through all the other five. It also prevails in the allied dialects. Thus Marwari has दो, दे, etc.; Mewari उत्तो; the Konkani dialect of Marathi को, को, क, etc., as well as बो, बो, बे, सिक्सामार्ग सद, संदि, संज, etc.

It may next be noticed that there is evidence to show that a latter T has dropped out of all these forms.

Contra-Rivers, Grammatica da lingua Corianni (Gen., 1839), p. liu.

The Bhojpuri, a widely-spoken rustic dialect of Bihar in the castern Hindi area, has in its pronoun of the third person the genitive affixes well, with this agrees the language of the Chinganas or Gipsios of Turkey, whose genitive is formed by kore, which does not change for gender or number, though the closely allied adjective in kore, which Paspati (Tchingianes, p. 53) distinguishes from the genitive, does. Thus, Balameskore manush, "a Greek man," Balameskore gaji, "a Greek woman."

The Marwari dialect, as spoken in the present day, uses, as mentioned above, the forms रो. रे. री: thus आयो में रामगढ रो बाखो राजारों फोतादार "Here come I the merchant of Ramgarh, the Raja's treasurer;" फोतादारी करी राजरो जीवं प्रवी पारे "I hold the treasurership of the kingdom, from which I have acquired dignity."

Nepali has  $\overline{a}$  = H.  $\overline{a}$ ;  $\overline{a}$  = H.  $\overline{a}$ ; and  $\overline{a}$  = H.  $\overline{a}$ . Nepali having set up as a distinct language on the formation of the Hindu kingdom of Kathmando in A.D. 1322, we should expect to find it perpetuating the Hindi of the date when it separated from the parent stock, and we are thus led to conclude that, as early as the fourteenth century, the  $\overline{\ }$  had dropped out of many rastic dialects as regards the noun; while as regards the promoun, it is retained in all of them to the present day; and, with the exception of M. and S., the genitive of all the personal pronouns in all the languages of this group is formed by an affix whose typical letter is  $\overline{\ }$ .

One step further back from modern times takes us to our only authorities for mediaval Hindi—the poets. These eccentric gentlemen are very fond of omitting case-affixes altogether, and stringing in one line a number of nouns in their crude form, leaving the reader to make sense of them as best he may. I am not here alluding to Chand, but to writers far more modern than he, as Tulsi Das and Bihari Lal. When, however, they do

Marwari Khyilis, p. z. od. by Mer. J. Roinen, Boner, 1885.

condescend to use case-affixes, they use several separate sets of forms.

First, and commonest, are the forms now in modern use:

T. 3. 31; but of these at is generally and in the best editions written at or at. From this we gather that this affix, whatever its origin, is undoubtedly an adjective of old standing, the form at being the older form of the masculine nominative of e-stems, which always end in e in Prakrit, and still retain this e in G. at and S. 31, as well as in Konkani at. Examples are:

# वी बारा नगर निमिष माही

एक किमीयण की घर नाही 1-Sandar-kand. 305.

"He burnt the city in a moment, Only not the house of Vibhishan."

# की जिल्ह की कीन्हिस शमित बडाई ॥—16.

"Of whom he has made unlimited boasting,"

# के मुनक्र विभीषण प्रभु के रीती ॥ -- 16. 298.

"Hear, O Vibhishan, the lord's custom,"

In this last instance (14), though feminine, has the masculine oblique form of the genitive; and this would seem to show that it was used with the oblique of both genders, for (14) is here the objective, though without the case-sign.

A few instances may be taken from the Bhaktamāla of Nābhaju (circa s.n. 1600, the tikā is a little later):—

#### बाये वामदेव पर्क्षि पूक्त नामदेव जू सी दूध को प्रसंग बति रंग भर भाविये॥—Bb. 133, 1, tlka.

"Came Vandeb afterwards, he asked Naudevjü, 'Tell me in full the very pleasant account of the milk."

# कोक काव्य नवरस सरस शुंगार को सागर ॥

"A new zest in amorous poetry, an decan of improvioued leve."

# नरसिंघ को चनुकरण होई हिरनाकुछ सायों।

"In imitation of Nursingha, he smote Hiranakus (Hiranyahusipu)."

—43, 49, 824.

Extremely common in Tulsi Das is the form at; this is noticeable because affording a connexion with the Rhojpuri and the Gipsy kore. It is not declinable, and does not therefore make the genitive into an adjective. Instances abound, the following are a few:—

### कपि कर पचन समेम मुनि॥

"Hearing the friendly speech of the number,"-Sundar-kand. 300.

### सब कर याशु सुक्रत फल बीता॥

"To-day the fruit of the good deeds of all has passed away," - Ay .- k. 343.

#### विगि इरझ मुढ कर प्राचा ॥

"Quickly take away (this) fool's life."-Sundar-k. 204.

In some copies a feminine form wit occurs; but this is written at in others.

#### कपि करि समता पृंह पर ॥

"The pride of a monkey is in his tail."-Sundar-k, 301.

#### अवस सुनत श्रुता करि वासी॥

"Hearing with his cars the word of treathery,"-15, 300.

Kabir uses this form-

### नहि चकु होति दिवस चक् राती ॥ साकर कड़क बौन कल जाती॥

"There was there no day nor night,

Of him (i.e. the Creator) tell what is the rase and caste?"

—Ram, vi. 5.

It is not, however, frequent in his poems; and, when it occurs, is chiefly used with the pronouns, as in the lines just quoted.

At this point comes in Bengali with its genitive in ut.
which, like Tulsi Das's genitive in ut, does not change for gender or case. In Old-Bengali this case takes the affix ut, which still survives in certain adjectivally used forms; but, in accordance with modern Bengali pronunciation, now takes long a, and becomes ut. Thus they say, utaut "of to-day."

stillust "of to-morrow," or "yesterday," in such phrases as

"your letter of to-day has arrived," "the affair of yesterday;" also navait. varait "of that place," "of this place." The Oriya genitive in wr also belongs to this category. In the singular it has lost its 4, because in Oriva the final a of stems ending in that letter is preserved, so that we must roul ut ohara, not, as in H. or P., yhar. From this it results that when the affix we was added, the m stood alone between two vowels, and was, according to the general rule, clided. It ought to have thus produced gharu+ar=gharar; but the tendency of Oriya is to shortening unaccented vowels, and, whatever may have been the place of incidence of the accent in ancient times, in the present day it is certainly on the stem-syllable in gharar. Consequently, instead of gharár, we get gharar. In Bengali the same thing occurred, and the present form of the genitive in er is, in all probability, another exemplification of the often-remarked tendency of this language to corrunt d into e, This view is supported by the fact that, in many cases where custom had previously led to the rejection of the final s of the stem, the genitive even in Bengali is formed by ar, as in Oriya. If further confirmation were needed of this origin of the T genitive, it would be found in the Oriva plural, where the a is still preserved. Oriva, as above noted, § 43, adds to the stem the word ATH, to form the plural. This word takes in the nom, the form His for animate, and His for inanimate objects; and in the oblique मानन, which is probably for माननि, like the Old-Hindi planal. Adding to HINA the genitive form TT, we get the modern Oriya genitive मानकर, wherein the a has been preserved, quite according to rule, by being incorporated with the preceding nasal, Bidyapati sings-

# जुलकामिनी किनु कुलटा भेगेनु ताकर वचन लोभाइ।-Pad. 981, 5.

"I was a virtuous woman, I have become unchaste through delighting in his words."

In his poetry, however, as in Kabir's, this form is more

frequently met with in the pronouns; in the genitive of nouns he generally drops the ₹, and uses only ₹: as—

#### मुजनक प्रीति यापाण सम रेहा ॥-- Pad. 985, 9.

"The love of a good man is like a line (engraved on) stone "

### प्रेमक गुण कहन सब कोड् ॥—Pad.

"Every one will say (it is) the quality of love."

#### येव्ने बादत मुणानव स्त ॥—Pad. 100, ft.

"It increases like the filters of the lotus."

To be classed together with these forms is, I apprehend, the Marathi affix at, denoting "a resident of," as in fundate a man of Chiplun." Here also I would place the Marwari at, where the initial a has been rejected, and the still further corrupted Mewari at, and Konkani at.

Passing on from at and its variations, we must come to a fuller form att. This was first pointed out as a genitive by Hoerale in his articles in the Journ. As. Soc. B., and I have since found additional confirmation of his view from other instances. The two passages which were first noticed are in Chand.

## किया नद् नीसान फाँचे सु फेरो ॥ भिदी दिष्टि मीं दिष्टि चाजवान केरी ॥—××ः ﷺ ॥.

"The kettle-drum made a noise, the armies wheeled, The sight of the Childhavan was lost from view."

That is, the two armies lost sight of each other from the dust they raised. The second passage is very obscure, and the rendering is tentative only; there is, however, no doubt about the genitive.

### दीरे गड अंधं चाझवान केरी ॥ करीयं गिरदनं चिहीं चक्क फेरी ॥—xx. 141, 7.

"Blindly run the elephant of the Châlmvân;
Making a circuit, he surrounded (the enemy) on all four sides."

There are other passages also where this form occurs. In fact, it is used whenever a rhyme is wanted for the preterite of फर्ना "to turn." Kabir, however, uses it in the middle of a

# मानस केरि चवाइ या मति कोई पैठे धाइ॥ कुइ पैते चरत है बाघ गदहरा गाइ॥—Sakhi 153.

"The society of human beings, into that let no one hastily plunge; in one field feed the tiger, the ass, and the cow."

#### तामस केरें तीन गुन भीर लेड तह वास । एके जारी तीनि फन भांटा कव क्यास ॥—Sakhi 112

"Of ignorance are three qualities, the bee (i.e. life) has there taken up his abode;

On one branch three fruits, the brinfal, sugar-cane, and cotton."

### सावन करा सेघरा बुंद परा असमान ॥ सब दुनिया विषाव भई गुक्त नाम्यो कान ॥—Sakhi 77.

"(As from) the clouds of Sravan drops fall from the sky,
All the world has become Vaishnava, giving our to the garus."

(Here चन्नान= ماركَتَا, दुनिया=كُنْ). Tulsi Dàs also knows this affix, thus—

# भये बड़त दिन कति क्वसेरी ॥ सनुन मतीति भेट प्रिय केरी ॥—Ayodbyd-k. 53.

"For many days there was great expectation, Omens and certainty of a meeting with the friend."

# सुनि इस उक्ति पवन सुत केरी । विद्देसे र्घुपति कपि तन हेरी !—Lanka-k. c.

"Hearing this speech of the son of the wind, Smiles Raghupati looking at the menkey's body."

## धूबा देखि खर दूपन केरा ।- Arapya-k. 265.

"Seeing the emoke of (the bodies of) Kharn and Dashana."

The form att, with its changes for gender and case, is also

This is one of Kabit's absence diductio atternees. He means that ignorance, or rather the condition of unassisted human matter, has the three qualities of entering of the same flowers, in which life, compared to a becoming flowers, is such. It is, he says, as if one branch should bear such different products as those management.

in use in Old-Gujarati, and the range of forms is fuller than in Hindi; thus we have-

With a m sing. 强行 强气 强气 at m ph 强行 id. 强气 a. oblique 强打 and 强化 id. 强打 and 强化

Illustrations are-

#### चपक केरो बेटडो । में राखों के घेर।

Samul Das, Padnesvati, 64.1

"I have kept at home the son of Champak."

### अकम होय हजूरी केरो घोषी नाखं नाधी सायर ॥

"Let there be an order of Your Majosty, I will suck the sea dry."

-id. Ampalarteleith in Kürya-d. 1. 23.

#### बाह्नवी केरा तरंग तजीने तटमां बाद क्य खादे रे

"Having left the waves of Ganges, he goes on to the bank and drinks from a wall."

-Normank Meheta in Kdope-d. ii. 4.

It is a well-known and frequently used affix in Gujarati.

To complete the range of illustrations, I may add here a remark which was accidentally omitted from its right place a page or two back, that Bidyapati's genitive in a, formed by omitting the  $\tau$  of  $\tau$ , is also found in Old-Hindi. Thus, Tulsi Das has—

#### पितृ बादम् सब धरम क टीका ॥-Ay.-k. 234.

"(To obey) a father's command is the crown of virtue."

And it occurs in Chand:-

#### इरि सु उत्तरे क्रियक महि॥

"Hari can save in an instant."-i. 60.

In this place 和寶 is = 和韓, and is used in the third grade of cohesion with the genitive of the governed noun, so that f要可要 is a genitive (f要可申申明), and 兩 is shortened from 表了 or 表了.

From Locky's Gujerati Grammar, p. 250.

The various facts that have been adduced have brought us up to the edge of the gap of the dark ages; and, before leaving term firms for the region of analogy and the cloudland of inference, it may be as well to sum up what we have gained.

Hindi forms its genitive by affixes which produce an adjectival construction; these are 歌, 敬, 动, Of these 歌 is written 动 in Nepali, and in many rustic dialects, also in mediaval poetry.

Bhojpuri has a pronominal genitive in att, at. Marwari has the terminine aft, which is doubtful. Origin has at in the genitive plumi, and at in that of the singular, which latter is due, as is also the Marwari the to loss of the at. Bengali had at in pronouns, and has att still in certain adjectival formations; and its present genitive at is formed from at by loss of and softening of the a (resulting from the coalition of the final s of the stem with the initial s of the termination) into s, some sec. The gipsics have preserved the and the t both in their genitive here, which, when used as an adjective, has a feminine keri.

Old-Hindi and Gujarati have a fuller form of this genitive affix—करा, करी, करे.

Beyond these facts, there is, as far as we know, nothing further to be adduced; and the question now is, what is the origin of these forms? The form set is found in one of those scraps of Apabhransa saws which Sastri Vrajlal has quoted in his admirable little work. He unfortunately does not give the author's name, and gives the date as Samvat 1551 (a.e. 1495), which is very modern for Apabhransa; but the language of the lines is older than this date:—

# कासी करो वाफरो दासी केरो नेह। कंवस केरो वंधणो झटिकि देखाउद केह।

"Constipution at eighty-six, falling in love with one's female slave. Wropping enough in a blanket, show that death is near."

This might pass for Old-Hindi or Old-Gujarati; and it is noteworthy that the language is precisely identical with that which Homachandra writes about as a Prakrit. It may, therefore, be permitted to us to regard the form at as one which ascends into the Prakrit stage, or that of decaying but still existent synthetical forms, and it is consequently a form which has not yet become a mere affix, but still retains something of the nature of an independent word, and; as such, has a meaning of its own. We shall see, as we go further back in point of time, that in a slightly earlier age this word is capable of being used alone as an integral constituent portion of a sentence.

There has lately been a controversy between Hoernle and Pischel on this very subject; and in a work like this, which professes to lay before the reader such deductions as the author has been able to make from his own observation, and such facts as are generally admitted by scholars, it would be out of place to enter into a lengthened argument upon questions which are still subjudice. After reading and testing as far as I am able the arguments of both sides, the conclusion that I have come to in my own mind is that Hoernle is right, and that the objections of Pischel refer rather to details than to the general argument. The reader may judge for himself, either from the original articles (J. A.S. B., pt. i. p. 124, 1872; Indian Antiquary, vol. ii. pp. 121, 210, 368), or from the following brief, but I trust accurate, abstract of the arguments.

The Prakrits have a word kern, which is used in the plays in the sense of "done by," "connected with," "belonging to," and the like. It is to this word that the genitival form and is referred by the writers above mentioned. It also occurs as kinnka. Hourse derives it from the Skr. past participle and Pischel, following Lassen and Weber, derives it from three. Hoernle's process is as follows: An becomes in Prakrit aftal, just as An becomes in Prakrit aftal, just as An becomes aftal and An changes to utal; then the a drops out according to rule; leaving aftal, which is the same word under a slightly different method of spelling as Chand's aul, a form in use all through mediaval Hindi.

विरियो or क्यों makes करों just as naturally as जायबं makes पहेरी through प्रकृरिषों (see Vol. I. p. 135). Pischel seams to mistake Hoornle's meaning, and to labour under the disadvantage of knowing nothing of the modern languages. A question like this is not to be settled, like a matter of Greek or Latin scholarship, merely by collating texts of written works. He urges that keraka is far too modern to have undergone "se vast and rapid" a change as that into Bengali er. But, unless the whole of this work which I am engaged on is wrong, the most striking feature of the seven languages is precisely the "vast and rapid" change which they have undergone; and, in this particular instance, a change that took fifteen centuries to affect is hardly too rapid. He relies on Lasson (p. 118), who, however, admits that his reason for doubting the derivation of The from Br is merely the absonce of an which could form U in the first syllable by epenthesis. Hoerale has shown how this might be, and has proved the existence of intermediate forms करितो and करियो. The derivation from and is a sort of me aller, a casual thought which appears to have occurred to Lassen when he was bunting for an analogy to dicharya=achchhere. It does not seem to have struck him or Pischel that the meaning of karyam will not in any way produce a genitive, while that of krita will. Krita means "done;" and to take as an instance the line of Tulsi Das quoted above, अपि कर अचन = कपिकतं अधने "the speech made by the menkey," is a phrase which would easily glide into "the speech of the monkey," while the phrase जिपकार्थं वचनं would require to have its meaning violently wrenched before it could be got to mean anything of the kind. I cannot perceive the drift of Pischel's objection founded on the fact that kerake is always inflected. Of course it is, and so is its descendant Gujarati att, att, me.; and it is this very quality of being inflected that makes it suitable for an adjectival genitive affix. It is further urged that in the later Prakrit dialects Keraka becomes kelaka. This does not concern us, because the later

written Prakrits are as artificial as Sanskrit itself, and probably were atterly unlike the spoken language.

It may then, as a conclusion, be safely stated that Skr. BAR becomes in Pr. situl, then sit and star, from which we get stul and sit, and that from this, shortened into sit, comes the Mod.-Hindi sit; while from sit, rejecting the final vowel as useless, in the two languages which have dispensed with gender and case, the Benguli forms sit and ut and the Orive sit and sit are derived. It is possible that the Mewari sit and Konkani sit may be similarly derived from size; but I prefer to regard them as modifications of an original them as we have in Marwari.

Gujarati has for its genitive affix a series नो, नी, नुं, etc. The early form of this affix, which is found also in Apabhransa, is तथो, तथी, तथी. Instances are—

#### धान धर हरितवां चलामति चालम् ॥

"Fix thy thoughts on Hari, O thou of little wit, indelent."

—Narsingh Moheta, Küvya-i, ii, 1.

(Laterally, "grasp the meditation of Hari.")

### सरस मुण हरितणा वे वनी अनुसर्या। नितवा मुक्तम तो जगत वोने।

"The man who has cultivated the excellent virtues of Hari.

The world celebrates his glory,"—ib.

#### इपदी केरि सन्मातणे कारणे दारिका ची धशा एक शासे।

"On account of the shame of Drupall, he rashed from Dwarika in a breath."—ib. ii. 5.

In this line both forms of the genitive are used, that with करी and that with तथ. Another instance from the Apolhransa was quoted in § 46. Another is—

#### महाराज जंका तणी एम भाखे।

"The King of Lanki thus speaks."-ib. i. 16.

Side by side with this affix, the modern affix in w7, etc., occurs:

but this does not prove that it is not a later form of switbecause the poets habitually employ archaic forms side by side with those of their own day, as we have seen in the quotations from the Hindi poets in this Chapter. Nor does the change of I to I present any difficulty, as Gujarati is very lax in this matter, and in common parlance, like Hindi, uses I in places where I is etymologically correct.

Bearing in mind that the principle which runs through the genitives of all these languages is to apply to the noun some affix which shall give it an adjectival sense, it will not seem strange that each language should have selected its own affix from the extensive range of adjectival affixes which Sanskrit offers. In the present instance it is natural to look to the affix तम, in such words as सनातन "perpetual," from सना "always;" पुरातन "ancient," from पुरा "formerly;" दूतन "new," from न "now:" चिर्तन "old," from चिर् "long ago," न is gonerally written w in the western Prakrits, and thus Av becomes in Apabhransa, as we have seen (§ 46), तका. The meaning of तन accords well with that of an adjectival genitive; and it is not strange to see an affix which in the classical language is of restricted use, extended by the vulgar to every noun in their language. Although in Sanskrit this affix forms adjectives out of adverbs of time only, yet in the Gipsy language we find the corresponding affix two extended to adverbs of place; as,

```
naglalutas "farmer," from anglal "before" (Skr. 東東).
rattutao "nightiy," "from anglal "before" (Skr. 東東).
arattutao "nightiy," "from anglal "before" (Skr. 東東).
arattutao "nightiy," "night" (Skr. 東京).
```

And even to nouns, as-

With elision of t, just as in modern Gujarati,

augarume" made of coal," ... augar "coal" (Sur. WETC).

burume "stony," ... bar "stony,"

The affix त्वा is also in use in some rustic dialects of Hindi, and will probably be found in Chand, though I do not remember to have seen it there.

The Marathi genitive affix T has been asserted to be derived from the H. at by the change of a into w; but after much searching I am unable to find a single other instance of this change in the whole of this language, and hesitate to believe that so common a word as the genitive affix should be the result of a phonetic change, which is absolutely isolated and unsupported by a single analogous process (see Vol. I. p. 209). It seems rather to have arisen from the Skr. affix at, meaning "produced in," "sprung from," in such words as दिश्याल "southern," पादाब "western." Here, again, it is assumed that an affix of restricted application in Sanskrit has obtained a wider use in the valgar language. In old Marathi poetry this affix has a lengthened form चिया, चिये, and चेनि; but this additional syllable is merely added to eke out the metre, and is commonly found after other case-affixes also, as in ' हानि and हानियां for हन:

Sindhi takes as its affix \$\frac{1}{2}\$, which Trumpp wishes to derive from the Skr. adjective affix \$\frac{1}{2}\$ through \$\frac{1}{2}\$ to \$\frac{1}{2}\$, a process for which there is no authority. It will be in analogy to the derivations suggested for the (i. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and M. \$\frac{1}{2}\$; to derive this affix also from a Sanskrit adjectival termination, namely \$\frac{1}{2}\$ (\$\frac{1}{2}\$), in such words as \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$, and the like. The only obstacle to this theory is that \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$ is added to the oblique form, whereas, if every substantive had been made into an adjective by the addition of \$\frac{1}{2}\$, only the stem would remain; and the same objection applies to the derivation

<sup>1</sup> Miklosich, usbor die mundarben der Zigsuner Europa's. H. 23.

anggested for Marathi w: thus wizaw: equinos, might give wizawii, and thence wivin, but not wiziwi, as it actually is. Perhaps among the unthinking valgar the custom of adding all the other case-affixes to the oblique form was carelessly extended to the genitive also. As regards Sindhi, however, it is, as an alternative line of investigation to be pursued, worthy of consideration whether we have not here the relative pronoun withher; thus wife will with master of the houses," would be originally, "the master who (is) of the houses,"—the oblique form here doing duty as a genitive, which is exceedingly probable when we remember how large a part the genitive occupies in the formation of the modern oblique.

Sindhi and Kashmiri have a genitive affix, S. #27, K. #2. The modifications of the Kashmiri genitival affix are very eccentric and peculiar, and are given as follows by Elmslie (J. A. S. B., vol. xxxix, p. 101).

Here not only does the affix vary in concord with the governed noun, but it varies with the governing noun also, thus introducing a double series of confusions. Examples are not given in the meagre article from which these forms are taken, and there are contradictions in it which detract from its trust-worthiness. Moreover, the author having laid down at the outset a system of transliteration, straightway departs from it; and by giving us also the words in the Persian character instead of the Nagari, he still further misleads the reader. All this is very tantalizing, because the few scraps of information which we have about Kashmiri are just enough to show that it is one of the most interesting and instructive languages of the group, retaining a singularly rich array of archaic words and forms.

There is one possiliarity, however, of which a firm grasp can be taken, namely, the change of initial w into w. This is a well-known feature in Zend and in these Aryan languages which are situated close to the Zend ares (see Vol. I. p. 258). This process seems to explain the origin of the Panjabi genitive in दा. दे: दी. etc. In Panjabi, the change of म into म is extremely frequent, and it is in its general features a less archaic language than Kashmiri, so that it is not unreasonable to suppose, that while the latter only suffered # to be weakened to # in the feminine affixes, Panjabi suffered the change to take place in all cases, and we thus postulate an old Panjabi form #21. This form is still used in many rustic forms of Panjabi. It and tigt are simply the present participle of the verb "to be," and one often hours सदा जंदा = "being," or इंदा जंदा, according to the dialect. संदा is the Panjabi version of Pr. सनो, Skr. सना:, from the root wet. One can readily understand how a word meaning "being," when added to the oblique form of a noun, would gradually acquire the sense of a genitive; thus, "the horse being to me," or "which is to me," becomes "my horse," just as in Latin we have the construction "est mihi." The anuswars of the present participle is dropped in Panjabi in many verbs, especially in the more cultivated portions of the country, where the typical or classical form of the language would naturally be developed; thus, while the rude herdsmen of the southern deserts say Hiter marcada, "beating," the dwellers in towns say मार्टा mirda. In this way संदा would become \$21, and that again \$21, whence by elision of \$ we get the present genitive form ZT. It is worthy of notice, that this form in ET seems to be of comparatively late origin, and that in the Granth we more frequently find the genitive affix &I, as in Hindi.

§ 60. THE LOCATIVE.—The traces of the synthetic system still

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Trampp in J. H. A. S., S.A., vol. 7, p. 108.

remaining in this case have been commented upon in § 48: here we have to notice the modern case-affixes used to express a locative meaning. These are as follows:—

Hindi . . 前. Bengali 元.
Panjahl . 有更. Oriya . 元.
Sindhl . . 前,前镇. Nepali . 和 .
Gujarati . 和 . Kashmiri manz (= 前镇?).
Marathi . 電流 . Gipsy . te. 1

The most important group of these endings is that having a for its characteristic, and we are fortunately able to trace the origin of this affix fully back to the earliest times. It is derived from the Sanskrit adverbially used locative and, meaning "in the middle." Chand uses a great variety of progressive forms of this affix, thus showing that even in his time the changes had been completed, and that he was consciously employing archaisms, as he so often does, when he used forms which were older than the latest A. Instances are, dropping the final c, and thus giving and

### अमृत मु खत मध्य वित ।

"Immortal dwelling among mortals,"-i, 3,

### इह बोनि वाणी दन मध्य याथी।

"Having said this speech, he came amongst the army."-xxi. 10.

In this fuller form the word retains the fuller meaning "amongst." Next comes the solution of the semivowel into its vowel #fu, and when a long vowel is required #fu.

#### इवार मुतीन परे घर मधि॥

"Thousands three fell on the earth."-xxi 7, 59.

#### भोगिनीय गई रागिनी मणि॥

"The witch went amongst the queens."-i. 178.

1 Parputi, p. 25. See also p. 660.

The natural transition from u+u to g (see Vol. I. p. 326) gives the form 斯寶.

### मुकेष परिय मिश्र विज अधाव ॥

"Fell headling into the bottomless pit."-i. 79.

Have is here a form of the 3rd person singular proterite for Have, which would be the Hindi rendering of Skr. Hive from Hiv, and thus means "was set free;" combined with uvu = uvu "to fall," it means "was let loose falling," i.r. "fell uncestrainedly or headlong." The order is here, as sometimes in Chand, and often in Sindhi, reversed, the postposition becoming a preposition.

Connected with this last form are the forms **Hig** and **Hogi**, with inorganic anuswara, and the former with lengthened vowel. The final short **X** is rejected, a fate which frequently befalls final short vowels in Hindi.

#### उपवाग मांग्र दनि गरे थाप ॥

"They themselves went into the garden."-xxi. 5, 6.

उपनाम is probably a curious termination of उप with Persian

#### को राजन कदन धर मन्हां॥

"What king, in what hand."-xxvi. 18.

In the next line we get-

#### पर्चर उजेन महां ॥

" In wealthy Ujain."

परचर = Skr. पचर "abounding in (wealth)." A lengthened or eccondary form, मझार, with a quasi-diminutive termination, is also used, but with the more definite meaning, "in the middle of."

#### नर् नारी जन्मा गई॥ फानुन मास सञ्चार॥

"The modesty of men and women has gone, in the middle of the month of Phagun."-xxiii. 1, 4.

-alluding to the indecent songs sung at the Holi festival in that mouth;-

#### ने पवरि सहर पहनी मद्यार ॥

"Having received the news, she arrived in the middle of the city."
-1 178

#### चरि मजि गये विर वन सद्धार॥

"The enemy fled into the middle of the hills and forests."-1. 206.

A step further brings us to the rejection of the organic portion of the aspirate and retention only of the aspiration (Vol. I. p. 203, et seqq.), thus producing the extremely common form #fg.

### कच्चन सहि कसूरी॥ रानी रहत नयन शुंगारं॥

"Putting musk into lampblack.
"The queen stranks her eyes for ornament."—i. 20.

#### द्यार्पंड महि चरत।

"Grazing in Jharkhand."-1. 61.

It is frequently longthened to migh and migh.

# देखति नुपति वसि नींदा माही।

"Seeing the king sitting in sleep."-L. 191.

### सस्यों बीर जाइन पर्यों घर मांडी॥

"The here Jalhan was smitten, he fell in the field."-xxi. 261.

There is also a corrupt form wil.

# पिय रन माहिं मरे॥ नारी सती न होय॥

"If the husband dies in battle (and) the wife does not become a Sati,"—xxi. 175.

From this last form, which is properly #8, by rejection of the comes the present ordinary form #.

### एक मास में नगर बसायी।

"In one month he colonized a city."-i. 218.

The Sindhi and Gujarati forms are only slight dialectic variations from the Hindi type; as is also the Nepali, which has not the anundsika.

Bengali and Oriya often express the locative by adding the full Sanskrit form will to the genitive, and Oriya oddly gives the locative ending to this word, so that we often hear HERT WART, where the last word is the locative of a noun way. Marathi also uses will in the same way, and in poetry the archaic will, as in Tukuram, Abhanga 1887:—

# विश्व हैं विदुल गाहों दुने काही । देखेंगे नुसे ही तयामात्री ॥

"Vithal is the universe, there is nothing besides, thou also art seen in him."

And again-

### विरंची ने केंद्रे महांड सक्छ । तयामाधी सेट नागा युक्ति ॥

"Braham has created the whole universe, in it are his various diversions and skill,"—Abh. 1886.

The most common method of expressing the locative, however, in Marathi, is by adding to the oblique form st, apparently derived from the Skr. adverb unit "within," which in Prakrit becomes unit. This particle is, even in classical Sanskrit, used as an affix, as zamat, between the teeth. In Marathi the initial vowel is lost, and the two letters at alone remain. Thus, unit m = unit "in a house," gail "on an elephant," from unit: unit "in honey," from unit, with the vowel of the termination lengthened, as is customary in the oblique form.

Of the Bengali & the most probable origin is from the adverbial ablative an, of which notice was taken in a preceding paragraph; and Origin \(\mathbf{t}\), which, in its fuller form, is \(\frac{1}{21}\), is probably of the same origin,—the two forms, \(\frac{21}{21}\) and \(\frac{21}{21}\), having by degrees been restricted to special meanings of the same case, namely the ablative.

§ 61. In addition to the case-affixes above noticed, which are

specially attached to definite cases, there is a very large number of particles which serve to modify the noun, but which cannot have any definite place assigned to them in the narrow range of cases to which the grammarians have bound down the Aryan decleusion. They fall into two classes, according as they are attached to the crude form of the noun, or to the genitive case. The classification cannot, however, be carried out very thoroughly, because some of them fall into different categories in different languages. Thus, H. ute "near," (Skr. ute), is attached to the oblique masculine genitive, as घर के पास " near the house," literally "near of the house:" but M. urun, which is the same word as H. पास, is attached to the oblique stem, as अरापासम. It will be better, therefore, to go through the most commonly used and widely current of these postpositions, without attempting to class them under either of the two heads mentioned above. The distinction is important, as indicating the degree of cohesion to which each particle has attained; and thus enabling the student, in the absence of literature, to form an idea of the comparative antiquity of each of them, and thus to measure, to a certain extent, the rate of progress of those phonetic changes which have given rise to the present modern languages.

§ 62. Of wide use is H. आते "before," used with a genitive: its older form is आत, still heard in rustic Hindi. Sindhi has आने and आतिको, with oblique genitive in बे, or with ablative in जो. The other languages have—

Panjabl आर्गे and अगिको. Gujarati अगाउ. Oriya अगरे , अगह. Bengali आरो (vulgo) एसी.

In all these pairs of words we have derivatives from two cases of the Skr. ww. The words in a are locatives from www.

meaning "in the front;" those in n and o ablatives from warn, meaning "from the front;" warn becomes Pr. wing; write; and the long d is in Hindi entirely omitted, and in S. and P. softened to i; while Oriya adds its own locative and ablative case-affixes 7 and 7.

Similarly, for the corresponding word "behind," the Old-Skr. adjective us (vedic) supplies its locative us (not used in classical Skr.) and its ablative user. The words in use are—

H. पांके, पोके and पाकू.

P. पिक्कि " पिक्कि।

s. पोए .. पुषां-

G. पक् , पक्षी, पाक्षी-

B. पिछे.

0. पछ । पाकु

The nexus of regularly becomes on, and then on, with a preceding long vowel. H. The presents an anomalous long i, for which it is difficult to account. My theory is, that the same process has taken place as in four (Vol. I. p. 307), where the nexus is sundered, and the nexus in soundered, and the nexus into one producing four; thus nexus would become nexus, or, owing to the tendency to insert infer h between two short vowels (Vol. I. p. 138), nexus, and thus next, which, from imitation of the kindred word nexus, and substituted a labial vowel, which is very anomalous. In every case it will be seen that the terminations are respectively locative, meaning "in the rear," and ablative, meaning "from the rear."

A third series, meaning "below," is afforded by the same two cases of the Skr. adj. भीच "low."

H. नीचे and मीचू.

P. नीचे .. नीची.

(i. नीचे.

B. id.

This series is not so extensively used as the others, as there are two competitors for this meaning: तन, which is the favourite word in B. and O.; and \$3, which has the preference in P. \$3 "below," Fat "from below," Fat "along the under side of ;" G. इंड "below;" S. इंडे "below," इंडां "from below." तल requires no explanation, being a simple Skr. locative, one of those strong plain words which is not susceptible of any phonetic change. To is a curious word, and has undergone considerable change. Its origin is Skr. 245, which, in Pali and Prakrit, becomes \$3, by rejection of the initial a, solution of winto 7, and change of the second a to a short c. Although wward, a common Skr, adverb, is generally quoted as the origin of this word, yet it would be more in consumance with the regular course of phonetic change to look to a form wur; the last element of which would be the root of (WI), to stand. The P. 23f and S. 23f are, however, regular ablatives; and G. 23 is the regular locative, just as the other above quoted words (Weber, Hala, pp. 42, 202.)

Skr. 34ft "apon," gives H. 34t, and so in all. With loss of initial v, it yields a long string of words, which have arrived at the position of case-affixes, being joined directly to the oblique form; these are, H. 4t, 4ft, 4 "on:" S. 4ft, G. 4t, O. 4t, B. 4t. With further softening of 4 into 4, it becomes in M. 4t, and is closely attached to the noun, being written as one word with it; and so also in Gujarati: not even taking the oblique form of the noun, but the simple stem, as 4t4t "on the home," 3t4t "on a tree."

Skr. साने gives H. ताई and तर, which, from having originally meant "in the place," has grown gradually into an affix meaning "up to," and even simply a sign of the objective = को; so you may say उस को नारो or उस तई मारो "beat him." S. has also ताई and तोई, P. ताई.

From Skr. संमुख, Pr. समुद्दं and समुद्दं, are derived H. साम्द्रचे, साम्ब्र, समुद्दे, सोद्दे, and सोद्दी; S. साम्द्रों, साम्क्रमों, and साम्क्रमें P. HENGAND MICHEN AND C. HELD, HELD, HELD, The M. HAIT, used like the rest in the sense of coram, in front of, face to face, is rather a modern compound from modern materials, H "with," and HELT "front," a recent diminutive from HE. To this group may also be attached the Oriya HIH, meaning originally "in front of," then "in the presence of (a king)," and so, ultimately, as a substantive, meaning the court or audience-hall of a king or great man, in which sense it takes the locative sign T, as TIMIT THE FIGURE WAYS; but from the terminations of H, as well as the other languages, it is clear, that here also we have the two Skr. cases locative and ablative, as in most of the other postpositions.

Skr. पार्श्व and पार्श्व "near," H. पास, P. पास and पाह "near," पासी and पाही "from near," S. पास, G. पासे, M. पासी, पासून. Here, again, the locative and ablative.

There are innumerable other postpositions in use in all the languages; they will be found in the dictionary. The point to be observed is the prevalence of forms derived from the Skr. locative and ablative cases, necessitating the placing of the noun to which they are attached in the oblique genitive, or, to speak more clearly, in that form of the genitive affix which it takes when governed by a noun in the singular oblique.

The structure of the modern noun is thus strikingly homogeneous in all the seven languages, as well as in those cognate dialects which have not yet been thoroughly investigated; and which, owing to the insufficiency of trustworthy data for thom, it has been found necessary to exclude from the present inquiry. They have all a stem in four forms—the mominatives of the singular and plural, and two obliques for the two mambers. There are also here and there traces, faint and slight, but still quite unmistakeable, of the older synthetical system of the Aryan languages. These traces comist of abraded case-endings,

occasionally removed from the stean, and used as postpositions. Some confusion has crept in-as was natural among generations which had lost the sentiment of synthetical construction-between the various cases, and a form proper to one case has passed over to another, or been extended to all. To supply the distinctness of meaning which the mutilated case-endings no longer afforded, case-affixes, themselves for the most part shortened and simplified remnants of old adjectives, nouns, and participles, have been called in; but though this principle has been introduced into all the languages, the precise words so adopted vary in such case, every language having forms of its own not used by the others. The close relationship of the whole, however, is more fully established by this practice than it would have been had all the languages been in the habit of using precisely the same affixes. Uniformity of principle is a far deeper lying bond and token of esoteric unity than mere surface similarity of individual words: the latter might have been borrowed; the former, being an inborn mental instinct, could not

The same remark holds good of the still further development of the noun's capabilities of expression, as shown in the post-positions which do not form cases, but are merely attached to the oblique noun. In these there runs throughout the same principle, though its exemplifications are different. The more we ponetrate into the secrets of the structure of these languages, the more do they show themselves to be closely allied by the deepest and most fundamental ties,—the same blood runs in the veins of all, and the same fertile Aryan mind has found expression in their rich and varied formations for its activity, wealth of resource, and molecular electrons.

#### CHAPTER IV.

#### THE PROPOUN.

CONTENTS.—† 65. Pronoun of the Piest Primos Scioureb.—† 64. Prumas of the bake.—† 65. The Smooth Person Scioureal and Prumas.—† 66. Gentitive of the two Piest Primos.—† 67. Pronoun of the Third-Piesen.—† 68. The Demonstrative.—† 69. The Relative.—† 70. The Commensity.—† 74. The Interdocative.—† 72. The Indicative.—† 73. The Reciprocat.—† 74. Adventival Pronouns.—† 76. Pronountal Science of Science.—† 76. General Scheme of the Pronouns and Pronouns.

Adversar.—† 77. Miscrellandors Pronouns.—† 78. Giver Pronouns.—† 79. So. Concluding Remarks.

5 63. The Personal Pronouns in all the seven languages are singularly homogeneous in type, and their analysis is rendered comparatively easy by the fidelity with which they have preserved the Prakrit forms. In this respect they stand in contrast to the nonus which have so widely departed from the ancient models. The first and second persons run parallel to one another, and have four fundamental forms, namely the nominatives and the obliques in both numbers. The genitive is a possessive pronoun, and, as in the noun, adjectival in form. It will be treated separately.

Of the first person the forms are as follows:

	NOM, SING,	DIML GENO.	NOW. PL.	OUL PL
Hindi	हीं, अ [में]	सुझ, मो	हम	इम्।
Panjabi	इदं [म]	म	चर्सी	चसा-
Similal	आंठा, आं	मूं, मा	वर्सी	चर्माः
Gujarati	अं	सव	प्रमे	चम, चमो
Marathi	मी	सव	सामही	ग्रान्हाः
Origa	मु	मो	प्रस्टे	चन्द्र, चन्द्रं-
Bengali	मुद	सी	ग्रामि	चामा-
Gipsy.	me	mila	amén	amen.
Nepall	H	Ŧ	हामी-	शामी.

In the above scheme are given the forms which are etymologically correct, rather than those which the people really use, for much confusion has taken place in this respect in modern times in two ways. First, H. P. and M. use for the nominative a form which is really the instrumental; H. P. 7 has now quite superseded the old and proper nominative of in all but a few rustic dialects; and in M., as far as I can learn, there is no trace of a form \$1, or anything like it, either in ancient literature or in rustic speech. Oriya and Bengali have for their nominative a form beginning with w, which is characteristic of the Skr. oblique; so have the Nepali and the Gipsy languages. Secondly, Oriya and Bengali have adapted the habit of using their nom, pl. will and week as singulars, and have invented fresh plurals, O. अम्हेमाने, and B. आसरा, and B. has in addition struck out a plural for HE in the shape of HIT. Fashion and pandit-influence have succeeded in relegating poor ut and मोरा to the domain of "vulgar" speech, and, to a certain extent, Oriya # also; and so far has this habit gone that many natives refuse to admit that H and HT are the true old singulars, but, in spite of the obviously plural nature of week and wife, persist in regarding them as the genuine singulars.

The nominative singular \$1, \$3. \$ or \$-for these four forms are all one and the same-represents the Sanskrit we. which loses its initial a in the Apabhransa or vulgar Prakrit, and becomes gri, which Lassen (Inst. Pr. p. 466) shows to be shortened from the Santaseni wert, so that is stands for if (Vol. I. p. 284). Hence, by clision of \$\overline{\pi}\$, comes \$\overline{\pi}\$, a form which Panjabi in many of its rustic dialocts still retains. Sindhi goes a step further, and rejects the g, while lengthening both vowels. The other form, wt, Trumpp considers as simply contracted from we; but as we had been lost to ordinary speech long before the Sindhi forms began to be excogitated, it is more probable that wit is to be regarded merely as a shortened form of with Old-Hindi fi is the same word as P. Ti with a slight difference of spelling; while Middle-Hindi and Gujarati it are shortenings of the same by omission of the short internal a. Chand uses \$1, sometimes written \$1, as-

### तो ही इच्डी देह ॥

"Then I quit this body."- i. 157.

# सो हो सबै मुनत ही मात।

"All that I am hearing, O mother."-i. 160.

Modern-Hindi and P. A, which is now the only form in use for "I," is, strictly speaking, like Marathi A, the instrumental; in Skr. Hai, in Apabhransa H, and apparently also H, though Lassen is doubtful on this point (Inst. Pr. p. 480). I fail to see why Trumpp calls this an accusative (p. 189). The transition of the instrumental into a nominative is rendered natural by the use of the prayoga, in which the subject takes an instrumental form, and accordingly Chand uses H only before the preserite of transitive verbs, i.e. in the place where the subject is required to be in the instrumental; in all other places he uses 1. Thus—

### में सुन्यी साहि वित अवि कीन !

"I heard that the Shah had put out his eyes."-Eye. 110.

where in Modern-II. we should have I I HIT. The modern fashion of saying I is founded upon ignorance of the true nature of the word, and contains the instrumental twice over. In Panjabi this error is often avoided, I being used alone as an instrumental; and so also in Gujarati, where I alone is the instr. of I. Marathi II is probably from II; but M. has also two forms of the instrumental, II and III, the former of which refers back to II, and the latter to some other corruption of Skr. III, the intermediate links of which are not extant.

B. Ht and O. H appear to have arisen from the Apabhrania form the by rejection of the initial t, and have probably passed through a stage in which they were spoken the and the first t in B. is inorganic. It is in singular accordance, as regards sound, with these forms, that Sindhi, at the other end of the Indian continent, uses also H as a nominative, as also H; but, as regards origin, these forms have apparently, like H. H, passed over from the instrumental.

The commonest types of the oblique form in the singular are wit mo and Ha majh. We occurs throughout Old and Middle Hindi, Oriya, and Bengali. It appears in the slightly modified forms H and Hi in Sindhi; and, if we are to write Paspati's man as Hi, in the Gipsy also. Although, in treating of the genesis of the oblique in nouns, it was stated that not the genitive alone, but a sort of conflation of all the cases in Prakrit fused down into one lay at the origin of the modern form, yet it was also

"Lauren probably reads हाज he हुई in Vibramerani, Art iv. (p. 92, Cale. of.), where the Calcutta edition reads मात्र. The line is: ए मात्र प्रमुख अमन कर पिक पिखिमा. Here it is clearly a now. "If t, wandering about the world, shall find my lave," as the Skr. gloss gives is: यह पृथिवी समन यदि पियों मिथित Lauren new Laur's edition, which is not procurable here; but if मिथित is a stand, it is a noteworthy instance of a construction which has now become universal in Illadi, by which the instrumental is used for the nonimative event helius suchs in the present and suture, and not only, as in the Karmani prayoga, before the presents.

admitted that the genitive had exercised a potent and perhaps slightly proponderating influence. Here, in the pronoun, the genitive has clearly been the foundation of the modern type, The genitive in classical Prakrit and Puli is not here alluded to, so much as that of the Apathransa. In the classical Prakrit the genitive stands as AH. HE, Hou, and A; Hala uses HH (once), मन्द्रा, सह, महं, में, and मए; and Pali has मम, मने, महा, and was. Of all these forms, Hala's me seems the most popular, and stands one step higher than the Apabhransa HE, from which comes directly the S. genitive #x. Of the introduction of a into the first syllable in this word, and in H. सझ, notice will be taken further on. His would become Hi and Hi, just as the objective affix as becomes, as we have seen, at and at. Of all we have, indeed, no instances; but then we have no writings of the period when it was in use. In Chand and his successors मा, with its oblique affix fe, occurs frequently. First मो, with or without case-affixes-

#### विम उधार मो होर ॥

"How shall there be salvation for me."-i. 188.

# भड़ जाति कवियन मृपति । नाय नाम मो चंद्र ॥

Bhat by caste. King of poets, Lord! say name is Chand."-vi. 18.

### वैसी कहि भी कई डर पावड़ ॥

"Having thus said for me you find fear."- 1 150.

#### जो मी सी साच न वही ॥

"If you do not speak truth with me."-i. 157.

Secondly, wife and its shorter form wie:-

# जो मृहि हुंडा निगमिहै।

"If Dhundha shall swallow me."-I. 170.

#### कह्यों मोहिनि वर मोहि॥

"Quoth the lord of Mohini to me."-i. 192.

#### नहीं मोदि काम पिता राजधान ॥

"There is no business for me in my father's pulace."-Ixiv. 366.

In Old-Bengali also the closely-allied and is the regular form in use. Here the final e is short and =i. Bidyapati has:

### वि वहसि सोहे निदान।

"What dost thou may to me after all?"-Pad.

#### मी विने खपने न हेर्वि चान ॥

"Except me, in sleep thou shalt not see another."-Pad.

The other form HH is derived from HH, one of the Prakrit forms of the genitive, and owes its a probably to assimilation to the pronoun of the second person HH. M. and G., while changing the H into H, retain the vowel a, and use HH. Chand uses HH not only as a genitive, as in

#### इह धरनी मुझ पित पर्पित ॥

"This land (was) my father's and forefathers'."-i. 279;

but as an oblique generally, as in

### तु मुझ अंपहि माई॥

"Tell thou to me, O mother?"-i, 147.

Modern-Hindi uses a form 實現, which is made by adding e, the usual sign of the oblique in nouns, and indicates the objective case; the affixes of the other cases are added to 實現 alone, as 現現 収入 和, etc. The genitival origin of the form has been quite forgotten.

Gujarati is very unfixed and irregular in the oblique cases. It takes मञ्ज alone for the genitive, at least so say the grammars; and, moreover, makes it the oblique stem, to which case-endings are attached, as मञ्ज ने "to me," सञ्ज भी "from me." The old poets use also सञ्ज. "Thus—

# मुजने तजवा श्रेकती कटण करी को मंत्र ॥

"You form the cruel intention of leaving me alone."

—Tabl in Kayra-I. i. 4.

In addition to this, however, it uses the form of the genitive (mase nom.) सारा as a fulcrum for the ablative and locative, as सारा भी "from (of) me," सारा मां "in (of) me;" and the

oblique form of the same art as an instrumental and dative. These are confusions arising from the consciousness that the oblique ma was really an old genitive; so that, when they got a new genitive, they used it also as an oblique. One hears in Hindi also, colloquially, such expressions as AT & "from me." due to the same sentiment. Marathi clides the final of Ho in some cases; thus we have Hami and Hail "to me," Hadf and सभी "against me." In the locative, it, like G. and H., uses the oblique genitive माद्रे shortened to माद्रि, and with the i further recrudesced into 2, as a fulcrum for the ease-ufflx, thus producing माझदांत "in me," precisely parallel to G. मारा मां-Oriva and Bengali use their oblique form HI regularly throughout. O. has, however, one curious exception, making the objective मोते, or shortened मते (mote), instead of मोक, which would be the regular form. As a nowhere occurs as a case-affix in O., the only way that I see of accounting for this form is to suppose that we have here a shortening of the affix and or ac. which in H. and others has the sense of an objective, so that सते= मो तइ = मो ताई.

§ 64. In the planal, Oriva preserves the Prakrit form unchanged as regards spelling with but prenounces ambbe, the insertion of the b being due to the influence of the preceding labial q. Bengali with appears to be merely a softening of with which in Hindi has undergone transposition, the g having been thrown back to the beginning of the word, just as the verb gois," for gg, by transposition from wife, shortened from wife. Nepali exhibits a form girl, as my informant writes it, which should probably be giff; the long f being almost universally written for short f in rural Hindi. This form is transitional to Bengali, and the short f must be regarded as a corruption of the final s of with. Gujarati writes will, but in a majority of instances the rural population use giff, which is not necessarily more correct than will, though the analogy of

Hindi would lead us to expect it. The Gipsy form same, perhaps to be written will, agrees closely with this, and suggests the possibility of the starting been simply dropped from the Prakrit form. M., in its form wife, seems to have done for the plural the same as it does for the singular, namely, transferred the form of the instrumental to the nominative; for the instrumental in Apabhrania is wife, and the objective wife. From a confusion of these two would arise wife; this, with the massi wife, is now used as the instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nom, and wife instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nom, and wife instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nom, and wife instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nom, and wife instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nome and wife instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nome and wife instrumental plural; and the same relation as the forms of the singular wife nom.

P. and S. stand alone in having a nom. pl. well. Trumpp does not offer any satisfactory explanation of this. It is true that Pr. well points back to Skr. well; but we cannot leap over Prakrit and take our form from Skr. direct; nor, if we did, would it help us with the long final I and anunasika. A change of winto wis a well-known feature of these two languages; but a reverse change of winto wis quite opposed to their habits. Kashmiri has a similar form, which in one vocabulary is written by also or well, in the other will.

Kashmiri and that group of ancient Aryan dialects still spoken in Dardistan differ from the cognate languages of the plains of India in having a fondness for #, which they often retain in places where the latter would medify it to #; and it may be conjectured that P, and S, derive this form from some intervening dialect of hill Prakrit which has not come down to us: they also rotain the # in the oblique cases of the plaral. The other languages derive from the Apahhumia genitive \*\*\*\* with which Oriya is identical. In H, the # has, as in the nominative, been thrown back to the beginning of the word. Although I have given the oblique form as ##!, yet in practice this is hardly ever used, the case-affixes of the plural being added to

En, as En El. En UX. There is also a crude oblique El used without affixes, which comes from the Apabh, accusative UVX.

G. affixes its case signs to a form UNI or to UNI, the former being the same as II. ENI, and, like it, seeming to postulate a genitive UVXIII, formed on the same principle as the gen. pl. of nouns; the other form UNI is clearly from UVX. M. UVXI is formed by lengthening the vowels from the same, and so is B. UNI. In fact, all these forms are so closely similar to the Prakrit as to offer no difficulty in their analysis.

§ 65. The second person is an exact parallel to the first, and
its forms are as follows:—

	SING, NOM,	SING. OHL.	PL, NOM.	PL ORL
Hindi	तृ [त]	तुझ	तुम	तुम्-
Panjabi	7	R	तुमी	तुसाः तुहाः
Sindhi	支	तो। तुंड	सङ्घी, etc.	तहां, etc.
Gojarati	तु	तुन	तम	तमः तसीः
Marathi	렸	तुज -	तुन्ही	तुम्हा-
Oriya	व	तो	तुन्हं	तुम्बः तुम्बं-
Bengali	तुर	तो	तुमि	वोमा-
Nepali	त	त	विमी	तिसी-
Gipsy -	to .	14	tuméa	famen.

There is a striking uniformity in the nom, sing., for even H. has in many dialocts the form ₹ with anumasika, though this is rejected in classical Hindi. All the early languages of the Indo-European family have as their base is. The Skr. ₹ is exceptional, and, as Bopp shows (Comp. Gr. § 326), the m belongs to the case-ending, and the a is inserted between this ending and the base in all instances where the base does not already end in a, so that before this inserted a the s of an

original 7 has been hardened to 7. Thus we may assume a form 7 for the type of this pronoun. The Prakrits exhibit a considerable number of forms; the commonest in scenic Prakrit is तुम, side by side with which is तुम; and in Apabhransa तुई. where the wis merely a stop-gap. By cliding wand lengthening the labial vowel we get a, the commonest form of the nom. in the modern languages. I take no count of the difference observable in the quantity of the vowel, though in some languages we find \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and in others \$\frac{1}{2}\$, because these languages for the most part, as has been frequently shown, ignore the difference between and I, u and u. Hindi a is like a of the first person, the instrumental at of Apabhr, brought over into the nom. It is a word of the rustic and vulgar side of the language, and is only used by the educated classes contemptuously when speaking to inferiors or domesticated animals. In P. it is still the instrumental, just us A.

The oblique has two principal types, all and sign, corresponding to all and sign of the first person. It is used in Old and Middle-Hindi, and still in B. O. and S. and is derived from the genitive of Apabh. sign, which S. preserves in its genitive under the form signalone. sign, which becomes in M. and G. sign, is from another Apabh. form of the gen. sign. Nepalistands alone in having dropped the vowel altogether.

The nominative plural Pr. 378 is accurately preserved in O 378 (pronounced 378), slightly shortened in B. 378; and M. here, as in the first person, takes over the instrumental 378 in the form 3787 nom, and 3787 instr., which some ignorantly write 331. If, here also, as in the first person, writes 374 and 378, which latter is the Gipsy form also. Nepali 1378 has singularly changed the characteristic labial vowel to a palatal, just as (§ 51, p. 235) it has 1378 for 1371.

Panjabi makes its pl. nom. quit, which is as great a puzzle as well of the first person, and for which I can as yet assign no satisfactory derivation. In this case Sindhi parts company with

P., and exhibits a very great number of forms, which, however, are arrangeable under two types. Thus—

# तही, तबी, तही, तहे. पहीं, चबी, चहीं, चारें, चंदें

The first form in each of the above lines is evidently the real form from which the succeeding ones have arisen by shortening, elision, and other simplifying processes. The is, I think, like M. 1747, the Apabh instrumental 1747, where the u has been changed to av, and the m first weakened into anumasika and then dropped altogether. It might also be accounted for by comparing it with Gujarati, which drops the labial vowel and uses 17. If Sindhi has done this, then the 4 is a softening of 17, as in H. 174 from 174. The other series, of which 1751 is the fullest form, is quite unparalleled in the whole group. Trumpp's explanation is probably correct, that it comes from a form Pr. 1747, Skr. 1741, where the initial 4 has been clided and u changed to av, as in 1751. Even if this be the correct explanation, we have a most unexampled retention of a very archaic form which has never found its way into literature.

In the oblique plural H. uses तुम, as in the nom. The other languages mostly retain the form तुम्ह, which is the base of the Prakrit pl. in all cases, and stands alone in the genitive. The modern languages generally add long d or sin, as M. तुम्हा. B. तोमा, S. तहा, the latter with its parallel series ugi, etc. G. follows Hindi, but substitutes a for a in तम and तमो, which latter agrees with H. तुम्हा. Panjabi तहा seems at first eight to agree with the other languages; but the g is here in reality merely the ordinary Panjabi substitute for the u of तुमा.

§ 66. As in the noun, so also in the pronoun, the genitive is really an adjective agreeing with the governing noun in gender

and case. The forms, which depart very much in some cases from the type of the oblique, are here given.

	L FERM SING.	L Print FL.	II. rima, amio,	D. PREA PL
Hindi	सेरा	हमारा	तरा	तुरहारा-
Panjáhi	मेरा	प्रसादा	तेरा	तुसाडाः तुहाडाः
Sindhi	मुंब बी, etc	चसां जो ल	ं तुंड की, सह	तहां जो बहां जो सह
Gujarati	मारी	अमारी	वारो	तमारी.
Marathi	साझा	यामचा	तुवा	तुसचा-
Orlya	मोर	वस्हर	तोर	तुम्बर-
Bengal)	मोर	आमार	तोर	तोमार.
Nepall	मेरी	हासी	तरो	तियो
Bhojpurl	इसर, °रो	इसार	तुहर, श्रो	तृहार.
Marwari	मारो	म्हारों	तेरो	षारो.

Leaving these two languages aside, the rest exhibit, under different forms as respects quantity and attendant vowels, uniformly a type in \(\tau\), which we have no difficulty in connecting with the older genitive of the noun formed by the affixes att and att. It has been customary, however, to give a different origin to these forms. Those who have done so have unfortunately taken two extremities of a long chain and compared them together, totally omitting the intermediate forms, with which they were probably unaequainted. Bopp, whose knowledge of Hindustani was necessarily very limited, derives H. Att, att, from Skr. Atta "meus," attus;" and in the same way satt would be for west to (as it is) from wastly and gent from guarty. The process is said to be effected by the change of \(\xi\) into \(\xi\) and then to \(\xi\), just as in the numerals

Skr. UMIZN becomes Pr. UMIZE, H. ZNIZE. But we may look in vain in Prakrit for the intermediate forms with Z, and the termination Zu of Skr. does not produce masc. d, fem. J, in the moderns,

The prenouns use the same affixes for signs of all other cases as the norms do— all, all, all, all, and the rest; it is therefore fair to assume that they use the same signs in the genitive. Chand uses all and all as genitives as well as other oblique cases; but he knows already all and garter, using, however, the former rather as a pure genitive, the two latter where possession requires to be clearly indicated.

Thus with a slightly different meaning, the former being a pure genitive and oblique, the latter possessive adjectives. In O. and B. With forms the genitive by adding \(\tau\); without this it is merely the crude form of the oblique cases. That this \(\tau\) is shortened from \(\tau\) was shown under the noun; and as in the Oriya noun, so also in the pronoun, this \(\tau\) is found in full in the places, as well as shortened \(\text{wex}\). Old-Bengali has a genitive \(\text{gia}\), in which, as in the genitive of the noun, we have the shortened form from \(\text{se}\), with dropping of the \(\tau\).

I see no reason, therefore, to assume any other origin for the genitives of the first two persons in \(\mathbb{T}\) than that assigned to the similar form in the noun; for even if we were to admit \(\pi \) and its cognate forms to be the origin of the possessive pronoun, we can find no parallel forms for the similar genitive in \(\mathbb{T}\) of the noun. This \(\mathbb{T}\) genitive is an obscure and rustic but undoubtedly ancient form, which has only recently been brought to light, first by myself and subsequently by Hoernic. It was not known to Bopp or Lassen; had they known it, they would probably have abandoned the \(\mathbb{R}\) theory.

The only point in support of that theory is the curious Panjabi genitive pl. wares wadd; but the Panjabi plurals of the 1st and 2nd personal pronouns are formed upon a different system to those in the other languages, and an argument derived from it would not be fairly applicable to them.

Popular Prakrit has such forms as ME act, from which Act would naturally flow; and the rustic form of the same, namely MICH, would equally be derived from ME ACT. So also in the plural, where Marwari FEICH is MFE + ACT, and MICH for DEICH, through a well-known Old-H. fagich from GFE + ACT, where the m has been dropped. Documentary evidence is certainly wanting for earlier forms, but this is because the modern forms were already in use at the dawn of medianval literature.

§ 67. For the pronoun of the third person, a direct descendant of the third person of Sanskrit is not always to be found, its place being generally supplied by the near and far demonstrative pronouns, which are reduced to their simplest elements \(\pi\) and \(\frac{1}{2}\) respectively.

It is first necessary to pick out the few traces remaining of the genuine old third person, which in Skr. starts from a stem a. making, however, its nominative case स: m., सा /., सत n. Bopp shows (\$ 341) that there is not in Sanskrit a pronoun of the third person with a purely substantive signification, but adduces the stem to as having originally occupied that place, and given rise to the Pr. 3. It is not our province to go beyond what we find in Skr., and it is sufficient to remark on the traces of the stem a which still exist. In Hindi we have et "he," but often used as a correlative pronoun, answering to the relative st. Another form at has now become un enclitic particle, but in Old-Hindi we find तीन and the oblique form सीने का. की. This answers to all interrogative, and all three forms arise from compounding with the pronominal stem the adverb पुन:, Pr. इस, so that तीन is तो इस. Although पुन: means strictly "again," yet in the moderns it has changed its meaning; and when used as an enclitic, means merely "indeed,"

or nothing at all beyond a little additional emphasis, like the Grook per, ye, be, etc.

मो is used as a correlative in most of the languages, as in

#### जो पीता सो मरता

"Whose drinks (it), As dies."

#### को को बेरी संबर सो सो गिर मार

"Whatsoever enemy attacks thee, he falls dead."

—Elliot, Races N. W. P., vol. i. p. 85.

In Panjabi it is used in precisely the same way. So also in Sindhi, where it occasionally stands alone, as—

# सी ही उसे अब सी बबलु सी बज़ड़ ॥ सी भी भी पसाड़ सी वेरी सी वाहर ॥

"He is this, he is that, he is death, he is Allah.

He friend, he breath, he fee, he helper."

Trampp (quality Sh. Kal. i. 10), p. 205.

In Marathi at is sometimes used to mean "he," but its real meaning is "that," the far demonstrative; it is not the third personal pronoun, but an adjective varying in gender according to the substantive with which it is connected—at m., at f., a. It may therefore be postponed till we come to the correlative.

Gujarati, however, uses \$\overline{\pi}\$, pl. \$\overline{\pi}\$ as a substantive pronoun = "he." Bengali and Oriya have \$\overline{\pi}\$ "he." not unfrequently, however, used as an adjective "that." These forms show a softening of the o of Pr. \$\overline{\pi}\$? Nepali \$\overline{\pi}\$.

The oblique singular is H. fau; P. fau, fau; S. fau; G. fa; M. WI w., fa./.; O.-B. augt, at; Nepali au. All these forms come from the Sanskrit genitive au. Pr. au. Chand uses au and auu, in the latter of which the long wowed is compensatory for rejection of one of the two consonants. He also uses

नाहि and shortened निहि, forms resting on the Apabhrania Pr. तह, ताह and नहि (Lassen, p. 482). Thus—

# वाके देह नहोई। ताहि कैसे के महिये।

"(He) of whom there is no body, Him how can one seize?"—i. 101-

# वज्रङ्गाङ्ग चरिद्वमनन ॥ तामु विक्ती चंद वहिय॥

"(Him) whose arms are thunderbolts, who crushes the heats of foes, Of him the glory Chand speaks."—i. 46.

Here the u in than is a mere metrical tag. Even in Chand's time, however, this word was used as an adjective, and instances will be given under the section treating of the demonstrative pronouns. Bengall has in this third person, as in the other two persons, seen fit to have a finer term than the simple ordinary a, and for this purpose it takes fafe, which is really the oblique plural corresponding to the oblique plurals of the other languages-H. fan, P. fant and fant, S. afa, M. at: all of which come from the Pr. genitive and with the long a shortened into a and still further into i. Bengali having made fafa into a nominative singular, has struck out a new form ATT for the oblique, differing only from the ordinary oblique are by the insertion of anunasika in the first syllable. Oriya, on the contrary, has for the nom, plural no organic form, but adds to the singular its modern plural sign माने, making समाने: for the oblique it uses either an organic form areat, alat or Hardat. a genitive of the modern fushion.

§ 68. The demonstrative prenoun falls into two divisions, that which indicates a person or thing either present or near at hand, and that which indicates a person or thing absent or at a distance. The former in all Aryan languages has the type \( \), the latter \( \mathbf{N} \) or \( \mathbf{Z} \). Thus in English, "this" and "those" have the palatal vowel, as contrasted with "that" and "those," which have the guttural and labial vowels. It would be foreign to our present purpose to make any remarks on the evidences of the universal distribution of these two types throughout the range of the Indo-Germanic family; but it may be observed that it is not confined to that family, but is found more or less in all the languages now classed as Turanian; and if we are to admit the fundamental unity of these two groups, this uniformity in so elementary and radical a part of speech is highly significant. Thus in all the Dravidian languages i is the near, and a the far demonstrative, while a holds a middle place between the two,—as in Tamil ida, "hee," ada, "id;" Telugu idi and adi; Canarese ida, ada; Malayalam ita, ata.\( \) So also—

Tamil ieun "hic," avan "ille," (vaf "hwc," aval "illa." Telagu, iadu "hic," vandu "ille," idi "hwc," adi "illa."

To return to our own special subject. The seven languages exhibit the following range of forms:—

#### I. Near Demonstrative-"this."

	# 008. #15/Hi	GEL STREET	NOM. PL.	ma Pla
Himil	इ. इह. ए. एह. यह	इस	য	इन, इन्ह.
Panjahl	ब्रहः एह	इस. इइ	इहः एव	इनां रम्हां
Simila	के ही, ह	इन हिन	ही है	द्विनिः
Gnjarafi	₹ (U)	(y)	चेत्रो	त्रेयो-
Marathi	हा = , ही/-हि =.	ह्याः या	(हिक्त साउत्) चीक्त	ह्याः याः
Oriya	ए. एडि. इहि	रहा. एहा	एसाने	इहां. एहां-
Hengali	Q.	रहा	Ų	Tri-

<sup>2</sup> Caldwell, Dravidian Comp. Gr., p. 332; Campbell, Telaga Gr., p. 77.

M. Or

#### II. For Demonstrative- "that."

Hindl	अतम, सकत. स. ची. वह	ent. eine.	NOM. PL.	onl. rl. उन, उन्ह-
Panjabi	उह	उस. उड़	उद	उनां, उन्हां-
Sindhl	ज, इ. हो	उन, इन	ह- हो	ज्ञानिः
Gujarati	भा	भा	आभो	आयो-
arathi dees	t.			
riya dees	t.			
Bengali	भी	यहा	यो	चहां.

Marathi and Oriya use the correlative, which is also the pronoun of the third person, M. 17. O. 3, instead of the far demonstrative.

In Sanskrit, the primitive type T is overlaid by accretions, which reader it somewhat difficult to identify (Bopp, § 360). The form which this pronoun has assumed in classical Sanskrit is चर्च m., रयं f., रह n.; and in Vedie Sanskrit there is a form In neuter, which, however, is used merely as an emphatic particle. Scenie Prakrit has nom, wet m., Tw. f., Tij a., and an oblique base रूम, also रूब (Lassen, p. 326; Weber's Hala, p. 55). The ordinary Apabhransa of the plays has TH, and in the songs in the fourth act of the Vikramorvasi are found loc. pl. इमें (Skr. एप): ने "of her" (Skr. अस्ता): दुराक्दी अ से प्याची "and excessive is her affection;" ve, abl. sing. "than it" (Skr. wurta), and other similar forms. But it is perhaps pacless to seek for the origin of the modern forms in any written They have their origin in all probability in a much works. lower stratum of popular speech than ever found its way into writing before the time of Chand, whose forms may therefore in this, as in so many other instances, be taken as the furtheat point to which researches can at present be pushed back.

The types of the demonstrative in the Prithiraj Rasau are the fellowing:—

N. Sing. इस उस्Obl. यादि वादिEmphatic इदि उदिN. PL चे, इदि दिObl. इनि, इन उनि, उन-

hesides variations caused by the metrical necessities of the poem, and having no value as organic forms.

## मोहि इह भागम बुझ्डी ॥

"To me this scripture is clear."-iii. 28, 2.

Both the nom, pl. of the far and the emphatic singular of the near demonstrative are shown in the lines-

# वे बाहे तरवारि ॥ इहे सुष पछारि सु बहै ॥

"They ply their swords, he catching them in his mouth bites them."
-i. 234, 5.

## किहि काज तुम इह धम्म जीन ॥

"For what cause have you taken up this religion?"-1. 172, 6..

# यांहि सन्पूरन की बिर कार्व ॥

"To complete this is a work firmly resolved on."-i. 87, 5.

Shortened to the and oblique in sense:

#### रहि विधि भागन वत उचारिय॥

"In this fashian Anal uttered (his) spench."-i. 155.

The ordinary modern form of the oblique, as in H. दूस, like the oblique of all these pronouns, appears to lead back clearly to the Skr. gen. सहा. Pr. समा: and the forms दृह, तृहा. etc., with the older forms in [ह. as मोहि, तोहि, ताहि, वाहि, वाहि, वाहि.

show the ordinary oblique of the noun, produced by a general fusion of all the oblique cases of the old inflectional system; while the planal oblique in warises from the Skr. genitive wint. Pr. wiel. Singlhi, however, has its singular oblique in w, and thus differs from all the other languages. This seems to derive its origin from the Apabhrania forms of the singular oblique we and we mentioned above.

In practice, no distinction is observed between these two forms of the demonstrative and that of the third person, the demonstratives having to a great extent usurped the place of the latter, and being freely used to signify "he."

To trace the steps by which the various languages have arrived at each of the slight modifications of the general type by which they are distinguished, is a task impossible in the present stage of the inquiry. Much might, doubtless, be accounted for by the phonetic tendencies of each language, but this would not be sufficient to explain the whole. In the absence of a continuous chain of literary manuments which could be trusted to reflect faithfully the actually current speech of their respective periods, it is beyond the power of any scholar, however laborious, to work out all the steps of the problem. Experience has taught us that in India literature is never a faithful reflector of popular speech; and all that can now be done is to point out that one uniform type underlies the whole range of forms in the whole seven languages, and that this type can be traced back to the earliest stage of Aryan speech; while, at the same time, nothing more than the general type, the two leading ideas of i for the near, a and a for the far, demonstrative, can be with certainty identified.

§ 69. The relative pronoun meaning "he or she who,"
"that which," and requiring a correlative or answering word
in the second clause of the sentence, is indicated in Sanskrit

by the type &, which in Frakrit, followed by the modern languages, becomes w. The scheme in the seven languages is this:—

	WOME. SENTA.	ONE STRO.	ROE: PL	CORL PL
Hindi	जी	विस	ज	जिन-
Panjubi	जो	विसः विष्	वो	जिनां, जिन्हां-
Shidhi	भो m. वा f.	विश्व	a	वनिः विनिः
Gujarati	ন্ত	a	वेषो	जेपो.
Marathi	जोल. जी J. जेल.	च्यालः जि.र	बेलचा∫.चील	mi.
Oriya	बेर्च, बे	जाहा	जेमाने	जाहां.
Bengali	व	वाहा	34	वॉहा-

Hindi has also with, Pr. of wu; which is, like alla, produced by the incorporation of un:. From this source, also, springs O. 33, in Old-Oriva sometimes written 35, where the guttural nusal w is the first step in the weakening of w to anunasika. The relative portion of this compound word takes in O., as it does also when uncompounded in O. B. and G., the Magadhi nom sing form &, which makes it identical in form with the nom, pl. The oblique forms in all the languages are from the genitive sing. Skr. ver, Pr. ver, with weakening of the rowel, and Skr. gen. pl. wivi finstead of the classical Skr. dut, which has not been preserved), Pr. wruj. Old-Hindi has its oblique singular fafe, which is more modern in type than the Modern-II. fire; this latter, however, was probably in use contemperoneously with forfe, as we have in Chand forms we and with. The planal in Chand takes an emphatic addition, and is fat; but this seems to be restricted to the instrumental. Bengali takes this plural in the shape of fafe, and makes it into an honorific singular nominative.

§ 70. The correlative is based on the Skr. H: and is the same as the pronoun of the third person mentioned in § 67. Many of the forms were given in that section, and all the others may be made on the model of M by substituting A for M. Nothing further need be said about it, as it is precisely homogeneous to the relative.

Occasionally an emphatic form of these two prenouns is used formed in H. by adding a or fg. as with. with but in Sindhi only is added. It will be observed that, of all the languages, S. and M. alone treat these two pronouns as pure adjectives, and give them the distinctions of gender. S. at m., at f., but in pl. only a for both genders. M., with its customary redundance of forms, has all three genders for the nominatives of both numbers, but in the oblique singular only m. and f., and in the oblique planal only one form for all three genders.

§ 71. The interrogative pronoun is just as uniform as all the others: the only difference is, that forms which, though they exist in the other pronouns, are in them kept rather in the background, here come to the fore, and displace in common speech the forms which correspond to those more frequently used in the others. Thus, in the relative and correlative, at and at are in Hindi the commoner, and and and the rarer forms; but in the interrogative, with is the ordinary form in modern use, while at is archaic, poetic and dialectic. The neuter, also, has a form of its own, whose origin ascends to a different Sanskrit word from wit. The type of the interrogative is everywhere a, just as a is of the relative. The table of forms is given, because, although exactly corresponding to that of the relative, yet the exhibition of the whole set helps the eye to make the comparison, and brings out more clearly the symmetry of the pronominal forms, which is a striking and beautiful feature of this group of languages.

	NOW, STRO.	OEL NING.	NOW, PL.	am. ri
Hindi	कींग	निस	कौन	विन विन्ह
Panjahi	कोण	किस. किह	कीगा	कियां कियां
Sludhi	सोग्र »	विद्	-	कानि-
Gajaratl	कोशः वस्रो मः। वर्षः वर्षः।	के को	क्या कः कर्त्र /-	के, को-
Marathi	कोष	कोगा	कोष	कोणां-
Orlya	केट, वे	काहा	केमाने	.बाहां-
Bengall	3	कादा	व	काँद्राः

Sindhi has wis only in the neuter; its plural nom. is not used; and in commoner use both in Sindhi and Panjabi is the form S. Et m., Et f., P. Aggi m., et f., obl. Agg, which is from Skr. Elgu, Pr. Estal and later Estal, which in S. merely drops the g; while in P. the t+g have been moulded into g, and then again split into g+z. Old-Hindi preserves the symmetry by using nom. Al, obl. fals, as—

# को किहि वंसहि जपन्यी ॥

"Who (am I), from what race sprung?"-i. 147.

Here, again, comes in the Skr. gen. and, Pr. and, as in the relative. Gujarati has singularly introduced a histor, writing which will be explained hereafter. The forms with us; added are here more widely used than in the other pronouns, perhaps because of the somewhat greater emphasis involved in asking a question. In all languages "who?" more often stands alone, almost like an interjection, than any other pronoun, and thus the Pr. 30, which has sunk into an enclitic, would be more frequently used with the interrogative:

The neuter stands alone in all but a few exceptional instances, and is as follows:

Old-H. कहा, oblique बाहि: Modern-H. का, obl. काह and किस: and the same in the plural.

P. बी, विश्वा, old. काम, काह-

८ कोज

M. जादा, obl. कशा, कसा, pl. the same, but obl. जशा, with the usual anundation of plurals,

O. 表明, fa. In Balasore fan (kirō).

B. कि. विशे

The origin of all these forms is to be sought for in the Skr. fat, an old neater. B. fatt. H. fatt. P. and, refer back to the genitive, which in Pr. is and, semetimes also and. H. and is apparently a conflation of the oblique forms g. and, abl. and, loc. affer, and any the ordinary modern form, from supplying the histor of a form and by a instead of g. as in Gujarati. Prakrit has also an oblique and, whence Sindhi and by softening of a to s; from its retaining the d in the first syllable the Hindi oblique and exhibits a form which postulates a Prakrit wiels.

Gujarati has an unique interrogative II m., II /., II m., the only approach to which in the rest of the group is S. III, used only as a neuter. Vans Taylor (p. 73) refers as back to the acknowledged alliance between II and II in the leading Aryan languages, as in Skr. III. Greek some. But it is important to observe that these greater phanetic laws work only in the sphere of the larger groups of the Indo-European family: within the limits of any one particular group, their working, if it exists at all, is very feeble and restricted. It is beyond a doubt that Sanskrit exhibits words containing II which are weaker forms of an older word with II, the stronger form of which has been preserved by the cognate languages. But when once the parent language of the Indian group has preserved and stereotyped a form in II, it is not found that its descendants modify this II

into \$\pi\$; an initial \$\pi\$ stands firm for the most part, at least a change from it into \$\pi\$ would be of too radical and wide a nature for the modern Indian languages, which do not deal in those vast organic changes which were at work in the infancy of the world. We must rather look for the origin of this form by the light of changes of such a nature as are prevalent within the restricted limits of our group, instead of hunting up all possible modifications in every country and age to which the Aryan speech has paratrated. If we can with difficulty find a single dubious instance of the change from \$\pi\$ to \$\pi\$, so frequent in Sanskrit, among its descendants, we should hardly be justified in going further back still to search for a change, which is in point of time prior to Sanskrit itself.

The origin of wit is to be found in the earlier form wif, a neuter signifying "what," from which have been constructed a masculine and feminine and and, which are also used as an indefinite pronoun, and, as will be seen presently, the only form in use for the oblique plural of the indefinite is way. This form would lead us back to Pr. arfell, Skr. wigh, from which, as we have seen above, Sindhi and Panjabi draw their interrogative. affect becomes in H. aut, and in Old-Hindi the forms are ment and men, the latter produced by elision of the short i. With this last-named form our Old-Gujarati wit is identical, for W is not in this language necessarily the palatal sibilant, but rather the distinction between it and # having been obliterated, and only one sibilant sound remaining, the letter used to express that sound is sometimes H, and sometimes H, according to the habit or caprice of the scribe; so that we might here also compare the oblique in M. sur or aut, instead of treating it as from a Skr. gen. क्या, Pr. क्या, which would not account for the final long d. Sindhi wy is probably also of like origin, w being often interchanged with W in all the modern languages; or war might also have lost the o of its first syllable, and become et. whence the transition to by is in accordance with the usual law,

It is more probable, however, that both in S. and G. there has been loss of initial w. as it is not in harmony with the general phenetic laws of this group to suppose the creation of w from w+w at so late a date as we must assume, to bring it posterior in point of time to the tenth-century wer.

It may here also be noticed in passing that the derivation of the forms and, sin, etc., from an and and, suggested by Taylor, is erroneous. These forms are written in the earlier stages of Hindi and and and, where the labial vowel and semivowel are indicative of the of unit. The compound phrase an only is not a conjecture, but is constantly found in Prakrit (Lussen, § 32).

§ 72. The indefinite pronoun deviates from the homogeneous type of the other pronouns, and this deviation is explained by its origin. The forms may be given first, and analyzed afterwards. The typical letter is \$\mathbf{u}\$, as in the interrogative; and the neuter, as in that pronoun, stands apart from the masculine and feminine. The word now given means "any one."

	NOW. EINO.	OHL MING	ROM- Th-	OHL PL
Hindi	कोई	विसी	बोर् कर	किन्हीं.
Panjabl	बोर्द	विसे किसी	विदे	किन्हां-
Sindhi	को का कार्रः कोर्रे का कार्र्रः	विदि	3	कंगि।
Gujaratl	बोर्	कोइ	4天	क्या-
Marathi	कोगी। कोग्दी	कोगा। कोयहा	कोगी	कोग्रा
Oriya	केउणसिः कीणसिः। बेहि	काशारि	_	-
Bengali	विद	काहा	-	-

These forms arise from the compound Skr. with ( ) who; the enclitic particle will in Prakrit slides into composition with the pronoun, and is written in one word will, from which, by

elision of the \(\mathbf{q}\), come the H. P. S. and G. forms. S. often, however, rejects the final \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\), which is lengthened in the other languages to preserve it, and because it is emphatic. M. has merely added the emphatic particle \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\), with \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) lengthened to \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) and the \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) frequently dropped, to the modern form of the interrogative, so that we have a compound of three parts, \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) + \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) + \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\). In Orive, the final syllable \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) appears to be shortened from the demonstrative \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\); so that here, also, there is a triple compound \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) + \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) + \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) + \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\), literally "who forsooth is he?"

O. \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) are allied, and simply add \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\), which may either be the emphatic particle of Skr., or more probably the \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\) was inserted to prevent histors after dropping the \(\pi\) of \(\frac{\pi}{\pi}\).

Old-Hindi has and oblique ans, where the a or a of alfa has softened to the labial vowel, and the final short i has been dropped, as is usual in Hindi. The oblique forms fafts or fael show the oblique of the interrogative with the t, whose origin has been forgotten, so that it is regarded as a mere emphatic particle. Marathi siver arises apparently from the fact that d is regarded as the general type of the oblique, and has been added without reflection. In ordinary ourrent speech it is customary to add us "one" to this pronoun, so that they say कोपहा एकाचा "of some one;" and the same practice provails in the nom. pl. of H.; thus as un or ave some "aliqui, sliques." The Oriva wigift is a curious instance of how these forms crise: GIET is the genitive of the interrogative, and by milding the emphatic T or fe to it we get will to a willie. which should be used as a genitive only, so that the oblique would be witte; but the genitive form has been extended to all the cases, and they now say argift & "to some one," argift 31% " from some one," and so on.

The plurals of this prenoun are seldom used in most of the languages, and in B. and O. there are no plurals at all, the singular doing duty for them. The neuter form "something" is expressed as follows:

Old-H: 東東, Modern-H. 事業; oblique, Old-H. 東京, Mod.-H. 「年東, but the oblique form is rurely used colloquially.

- P. To, rurely if ever inflected.
- S. al. not inflected at all.
- G. 如( M. 斯智 O. 陈版 B. 陈要

H. P. O. and B. have a common origin from the Skr. faret, the final a of which is dropped in Prakrit fare. The archaic and poetical H. we seems to point back to a form in which the enclitic fare had been affixed to a neuter and, instead of far, thus making afare; from we, the a has leapt backward into the first syllable, making the modern we. The change from we to we is hardly to be accounted for by absorption of the anaswars, and must remain unexplained. The three western languages, S. G. and M., do not seem to have any connexion with faret; but the last two use oblique forms of the masculine indefinite, and the first merely a lengthened form of fa with loss of the anaswars.

§ 73. The reciprocal or reflexive pronoun "self" is in most of the languages a derivative of the Skr. Will "soul, self." As a substantive it means "self," and as an adjective "own." The former is

H. आप्. P. आप्. S. पाल, G. आप. M. आपल, O. आपं. आपल. B. आपनि.

The principle of phonetic change which lies at the root of these modern forms was indicated in Vol. I. p. 330. The process began in Prakrit, as we have war, war, side by side

with wall, etc. This latter form has not been adopted by the moderns.

Hindi, followed by P. and G., uses the simple nominative, rejecting one of the two consonants, and lengthening the preceding vowel in accordance with the regular practice in the treatment of the nexus. B., as in the, afe, afe, better, has made for itself a nom. singular out of a plural form. The other languages retain a final w or w, which rests on the Pr. form warm (Var. v. 45), which by his next following satra Vararuchi extends to all other Sanskrit nouns in न्. us युवन् = सुवाण. etc. Sindhi adheres most closely to the Prakrit, merely rejecting the first syllable; but the other languages, while they retain the initial W, lengthen it to W, and shorten the second syllable. I am disposed to think that this alteration of quantity is only apparent, and that the forms savon, etc., are really derived from the oblique cases of the Pr. singular, as instrumental अपाना, gen. अपाना. It is observable in the modern Romance languages that where the type of the oblique differs from that of the nom., the modern language adopts the former for all cases; thus we see in Italian monte, where the Latin nom. is mous, and the t occurs only in the oblique cases. The latter being used five times to the one of the nominative, naturally acquires the predominance in the valgar usage.

Hindi, when used as an honorific substitute for the prenoun of the second person, so also in P. and the other languages; but it has a special genitive used adjectivally and with a possessive sense, meaning "my, thy, his own," according to the person in which it is used. This therefore becomes almost a separate pronoun, and has the following forms:

- 11. अपना = अपनी f. अपने ohl. sing., अपनी obl. pl. (rare आएना, etc.).
- P. आपगा, श्री. श्री, श्रीजां-
- 8. पांहें जो, जी, etc.

- G. पापको, श्वी, श्वां, etc.
- M. चापना, श्ली, श्ले, ला-
- O. आपशाद (rare, निवाद is usual).
- H. आपन्-

Those forms are derived from the Prakrit genitive, which is satural, and the endings for gender and case seem to have been necessitated by the use of the word as an adjective. Hindi goes a step further, and uses the oblique form, just as though it were the regular oblique of an adjective using sure at, or more frequently sure at scipsum; sure if and sure us may also be heard in conversation, as in the phrases sure if all sure in the took the business on himself," sure using a use if all "take counsel with your own (friends)." These forms, though common in the castern Hindi area, might perhaps be set down as ungrammatical by anthorities on the language, those gentry being apt to be capricious and fastidious, and prone to brand as wrong any phrases which they do not use themselves.

There is also a curious word with the affix of the locative, as H. WILL H. O. WILL "among our-, your-, them-selves," as MILL H will "divide it among yourselves." No origin for this form can be found in any of the Prakrits, nor can the form itself be considered as a locative apart from the case-affix. It is the case-affix which contains the locative idea, and when we remember that H is from HS "in the midst," we shall see that the complement of the idea involved in the whole phrase is a genitive "in the midst of selves." I therefore hazard the conjecture that this form is like WH, WH, MH, and the rest, a genitive irregularly formed from a vulgar Prakrit WHH, which would postulate a Skr. WHHE. Now though no such form exists, or ever did exist, yet we have seen in the case of the noun, that the varied inflections of the numerous Sanakrit

nominal bases have all been rejected, and the declaration of the a-stem taken by the moderns as the type of all nouns; it would not therefore be at all out of keeping with analogy, but rather much in keeping with it, for the modern languages to have in this instance also taken no heed of the peculiar forms of the declaration of the a-stem, and made a genitive in the as in all the parallel cases. In my own mind there is not the shadow of a doubt that this is really what has happened, and this form may be set down as one more illustration of the admitted fact that a type in common use is often extended to all classes, superseding entirely the minor types, and securing simplicity and uniformity in the place of a multitude of divergent forms.

174. Although the pronouns, other than personal, above enumerated, are all, to a certain extent, adjectival, yet in several of the languages they have lost those variations for gender which mark the true adjective; II. All, for instance, is used both with mase, and fem. nouns, only in M. and S. are they treated as adjectives with separate gender forms. There are, however, certain pronouns which are adjectival in all the languages, and exhibit in their initial letters the types of all the above classes. They express quantity and quality, considered demonstratively, interrogatively, relatively, and so on. As a type of them, the interrogative is here given, the whole series being exhibited in fall in a future section.

# Marrath कितका, को, के कमा, क्सी. के Marrath कितरो, की किसी, क्सी.

O. and B. having lost all gender, do not inflect the adjective; they use the following: O. and "how much," B. un. The former series, that indicating quantity, is based upon Skr. faun; (m.), faunt (f.), faun (n.), which in Pr. becomes afrix (Var. iv. 25), also an immediate descendant of the Pr. form. Thus Chand—

# बेते नर रिष राई॥ भए मुर दानव अग्नै॥

"How many men and Rajārshis have there been, (and) gods and demons of you?"—i. 162.

The addition of the affix my in Bengali indicates affirmation, and the affix seems to have been at first distinct; thus in Old-Bengali my regularly follows ma (koto), as in Bidyapati:

# कत ना जतने कत चड्भूत विदि वहि तोरे देन ।

"With how much labour, how many wonders has fate brought to fined!"

—Pad 1342.

# कत ना कतने कत ना गीपसि हिमे गिरि ना नुकाय ।

"With how much labour, how much seever thou coverest it, the snowy mountain will not be hidden."—Pad. ib.

In fact, in the eastern area and in Orissa wand we are not negatives only, but affirmatives also, the sense depending on the sentence or on the tone of the voice; thus in Oriya:

# मो घरकु जिबु ना जिनि

"Will you go to my house? Yes, I will go."

बा is probably in H. a diminutive (§ 24), and with this agrees the S. and the Marwari कितरो, where रो is also a diminutive ending, as is also the जो of G. केटजो, and so perhaps is का of the Marathi. This क is a common addition

to the prenouns of this series; thus we often hear in eastern H. [\$\frac{1}{2}\sigma\text{c}\text{,}\ \text{ and in M. [\$\frac{1}{2}\sigma\text{c}\text{;}\ \text{it is, I think, arroneous to connect this \$\mathbb{\pi}\text{,}\ \text{ as some grammarians do, with \$\mathbb{\pi}\text{,}\ \text{ supposing \$\mathbb{\pi}\text{ to be used as \$\text{unes}\$, \$\text{unes}\$, in Spanish, in the sense of "some." The \$\mathbb{\pi}\ \text{ appears to be the sign of the nom. pl., and does not belong to the affix, which latter consists simply of \$\mathbb{\pi}\\$, a longer form of which is \$\mathbb{\pi}\\$, and a longer still \$\mathbb{\pi}\mathbb{\pi}\\$, from which \$\mathbb{\pi}\\$ or \$\mathbb{\pi}\\$ is made by omitting the \$\mathbb{\pi}\\$. Both forms occur with the prenoun \$\mathbb{\pi}\mathbb{\pi}\\$ in one passage of Chand—

# जाने देह न होय। ताहि कमे के गहिये।

"Of whom there is no body, him how can one size?"-i. 161.

And

# जिलां दिए न भिदे। तालां केसे करि मुझे॥

"Where sight does not pierce, there how can one see?"-ib.

The group \$41, etc., comes from Pr. करिया, Shr. कीवृत्र, as has been already stated. P. and S., more one, change a into g, making \$5 and shortened [55, to which S. adds the diminutive ending \$7. G. \$57 appears to arise from the substitution of a for h, which is characteristic of the Rajput dialects of Hindi, from which G. sprung.

There is another series meaning "how great" in some of the languages, which arises from a composition of the Pr. well (Skr. चुड) or चढा "great." This is S. कढा: P. कडा.

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Bible's welcome amountment of his discovery at Jondon of the Fibranicks. Arthur or Chronicle of the Chattakyas, leads us to hope that we shall now have some trustwenthy data as to this interesting race, valuable for the early history of Gujurati.

केट : G. केपडो : M. केन्डा : O. केड (indeclinable). Thus Dinakrishna-

> काम मदे तु होइ मत्त भोळा॥ कद मझ ठरिया एउँ हेळा॥ कि तु जानु नाउँ ए जेंडे सद॥ कुच जुमल तोर जेंडे गुद॥

"Thou, drunk with the wine of love, forgetting Art, thy waist how slight (it is). What, knowest thou not how small it (is), Thy twin breasts how heavy?"—Rasakallol. iii. 43.

Hindi does not possess this form, saying instead fact at and the like. Sindhi here, also, uses a diminutive after meaning "how small?" a form which is not in use in the other languages. The whole of these series will be seen in their correspondence with the adverbs, the generic types running through the whole with admirable regularity.

§ 75. Sindhi allies itself to Persian and Pushtu by a practice foreign to its sister tongues of suffixing pronominal signs to nouns, pronouns, and verbs, a complicated and difficult system, from which the other languages are fortunately free. These suffixes are, according to Trumpp (p. 225)—

1. 和 第 or 点. 2. 夏 司. 3. 报 句 or 可.

Into the intricate changes rendered necessary by the addition of these suffixes in the terminating vowels of nouns, etc., it is not necessary here to enter. In is undoubtedly the Pr. gen. II, and is still sounded me in Pushtu, but m in Persian; T from Pr. It by elision of I, so also the third person II from II. In

the plural, is or st, which appears to be used only with prenounce, is by Trumpp referred to the Pr. form well (rather
well), which, however, hardly accounts for the annalsika: a
more probable origin would be from wells, reduced to well, and
thus to st and st; the second person we recalls Pr. it, and the
third person st from walls, a Pr. gen. pl. of the base which we have already seen is substituted for that of the near
demonstrative used as a third person.

The general rule for the method of adding these suffixes appears to be that the noun undergoes merely emphanic changes of its final vowel, but not constructive changes, and the discussion of them pertains rather to the study of the individual language than to that of comparative grammar. The Old-Hindi and Bengali, and to a certain extent also modern collequial Oriya, exhibit the beginnings of a tendency to this system, which, happily for these who had to speak the languages, did not get beyond the first stage, namely, using the crude form of the personal pronouns, as in Chand's all fun "my father," all ut "thy feet," and in Mod.-Oriya all ut "my house," It wanted but the shortening of the vowel and the change of position to load us with forms like util, fung, and the rest.

§ 76. Not only do the substantive and adjective pronouns run in perfectly analogous series, each distinguished by the typical initial consonant or vowel, but a long string of adverbs also follows this analogy. At the risk of a little repetition, it will probably be as well to group together, so that the eye can take them all in at a glance, the whole of these concurrent forms, in a series of tables.

1. THE NEAR DESCRIPTIVE TIPE T.

	BENTO,	PASCALIE	"THE PROPERTY.	of states.	MARATER	AND SECTION	S. Della S. L. S. S.
Cornerson of all fare			-	-	100	100	Se Media
THE THOUSAND	₹. चल. चित्र	200	4	-	-	177	1000
the of the table and			10.0	>	- Di	2	Þ
or the Kind		THE DAY	1	作	MARKET	-	1
to design military or		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			10k	F 1	The state of
*		इतमा	THE	の記事工	TANKET -	P	- Britis
. "dilp hig"		The same	1	1	18.77	9 4	50
dverb of the stands		-	157	156	1250	n n	decat
AIDU Yamin bei er inn		に	TITTE . etc.	200	Table 1	Car.	
. place, "here" .	TRT. Det	Ť	-	4	The state of the s	T 2	- Mari
the self-res and the se	-	2	THE PERSON NAMED IN		C C	150	DESTA
and interiors, "(iii) light,		deret	'S	wiferan	200	Total Park	
a manner, "thus"		1	12			F)	1
	-	2		100	1111	T CITY	THE PARTY

II. The Ear Demonstrature - DYFR E.

	alecari a	desit		*	2	. भोगवामे	n deat
100			*		2	4	2
		TEL T	alcall	MINEL	1	- Appendix	1
t it	= 1	1335	1 TO 1	AT A STATE OF	HAVE	= 4	
38	- American	THE	down		= 4	Alered A	
च.वत. वह		- 3RM	deral		aret	TIME	doing
"that"	"of that kind" .	"that much"	"that big" .	"then"	"there"	"thither"	"dim"
OHOHUS,						-	

1 To plant marked " deter" air to marked because the language, ander which they come me forms belonging to some other series; thing, for "them," IL uses the form AN belonging to the correlative series, and how much the torm IN; which we about a the for

# HL. THE RELATIVE TITES W.

	THEORET	FARITAE.	HUNDEL	GUZAGATI.	MARATHE	ORIGI	BENEFIT
ronnin,"whiever"	乍	神	信	des	布	便	(10)
" of whatsoeverkind"	Sent.	fargr	faggi	塘	BHI	がおき	の対象
"howmuch soover"	वित्रमा	कितमा	北海	HE SH	जितका	店	No.
"haw life seever"	dent	Tale I	福	जियको	ज्ञियहा	個	derut
derth, "whenever"	जन, जट	100	मिवही	अवार	下	雷	日本日本
"whoreart"	and i	花色	forfa	arie:	<b>副型、信息</b>	TS TS	TELEBRA DE LA COMPANSION DE LA COMPANSIO
" "aufiliersoever" .	feur	deest	CIR.		信用	BER.	deen
" "how weaver"	有	E	明報	湖	電	明中江	明朝
		IV. This (	CORDERATIVE	R-TYPE A.			
'rongon, "that".	中.中	一	中	(वि	中	æ	(TP
m "of that kind" .	fier!	firen	First Park	部	THE	समन	सिमान
"Animi og" "	fanat	वितमा	Hat	REAL	तितका	SE SE	माय
n "so lilg"	deent	Harr	THE PERSON NAMED IN	तिवहा	नियदा	能	decat
Advert, "then."	ताम, सद	वड	गिटमी	तिवारे	超出	怎	百四百
" "there"	יוון י	一起上	fiff	ताहा	泥	मुख्य	新福川
or "Chillier"	frur!	dress	震	त्रमीयम	final	H34	deent
" in that way".	The same	No.	The state of the s	THE STATE OF THE S	被	中中	200

! Revely need, and never except in the opodicies of a cutence which has the relative in its protests.

V. Тик Імтиноватиче-ттр. щ.

		BINDE	PASTABI.	Athenes.	UVARRATIO	MARATRI.	08171	RENDALL.
ronoun,	Pronoun, "wha?"	. मी. बीन	क्रीय	和,明日	ब्रोय	配	No.	/IE
	"of wint kind?"	- EHI	(Agr	faggi	怎	THE STATE OF THE S	क्रमन	मिसन
8	"tow much?"	वितना	कितना	affect	ब्रह्मा	कित्रका	SA CALL	E E
£	"how high"	dend	- FEE	福	क्षेत्रकार्गे	क्षवद्या	in the second	deest
dverb,	Adverb, "wheat"	क्ष, कड़	E .	मिटकी	BUTT	Ties .	(E)	व्यास
2	"where?"	with	置	विवस	atteri	क्रा, क्रांट	बोटा	श्रोवा
-	"whiller?"	विषय	faur	(Fe	4	fans	क्राडक	done
è	"Buw?".	事	किक्कर, जीन	अ मोग	馬	西山	fault	विमान

all the other languages.

The adverbe will be explained in their proper place, and all the pronouns have been commented on above. The forms in use are often numerous, especially in Sindhi; thus the demonstratives and a are pronounced t and a in Lar, or the const district of Smill. In Gujarati the adverb of time appears as hart. wit, wit, successive stages of abortoning; and many other trifling dialectic variations occur; but in the above tables only one, and that the central or typical form, has been recorded, except in cases where two forms appear to be used with equal frequency, in which case both are given. Much of this redundance of form is doubtless due to the absence hitherto of any settled standard of spelling in all the languages. Nativa scholars have unfortunately set themselves to improve their mother-tougue by the resuscitation of Sanskrit words, instead of striving to fix the orthography of the words really in use among their contemporaries; and from this mislirected energy of theirs it has too often resulted that the language presents a disjointed historogeneous aspect, cortain parts of it, as the nouns and the nominal part of compound verbs, being highly refined classical Sanskrit; while other parts, as the inflectional and connecting particles, are rule in form and unsettled in orthography. This unfortunate practice, moreover, has misled such European scholars as have taken a cursory glance at the subject into supposing that the modern languages are far more closely allied to Sanskrit than they really are; and Bengali, which, from its phonesis and organic auructure, is proved to be a very poor and rustic patais, has had so many "purpursi panni" sewn on to it, that it has been regarded as the eldest daughter of Sanskrit, which has preserved, with greater fidelity than its sisters, the family type.

It is in truth one of the youngest grand-doughters; and an examination of its essential features shows that it has wandered further from the original forms than any of the allied speeches.

§ 77. Besides the pronouns which have been arranged in classes above, there are certain miscellaneous pronouns which it is difficult to classify exactly under any of the heads which have preceded. Such is S. 49 "all," which is declined throughout, so is G. सर्व, while in the other languages it is indeclinable, as H. सब, P. सभ, O. на, В. на. Traces remain of this pronoun having been inflected in Old-H. was, which seems to be a reproduction of Skr. 44. S. has also an emphatic form wait "every one," also compounds unal and unais. These two latter are treated as compounds in S., but their equivalents are written as two distinct words in the other languages. In S. it is necessary to regard them as compounds, because un being capable of inflection, if it were written as a separate word it would have to be inflected also. Unique, as far as I have been able to ascertain, is the curious S. adjectival pronoun fuelt, or सिद्धादे m., सिद्धादे f., meaning "every," which seems to have come from Skr. wie, originally of course meaning "mortal," but subsequently shading off into the meaning of human beings in general, just as Latin home has become French os, or as in German man has lost its distinctive meaning, and now implies merely "they," "people in general," and the like. He would become neal, and by further softening, aided by the fundness of Sindhi for the i-sound, it would successively be सहयो and मिहयो. The द is merely the emphatic increment, as is shown by the fact of the inflection taking place in the syllable which precedes it as it does in wait also, thus :-

The ablative plural shows the form of the synthetic abl. in ut., with the emphatic added, thus usual. Only the obl. sing. fem. seems to show some divergence. The feminine of an adjective in o generally ends in i, and its formative or oblique in in, as unit "dry" (m.), unit (f.), obl. fem. usual. What appears to have happened is, that the type of the oblique in has been added to the irregular feminine in a, and the emphatic i altogether omitted.

In समुको a double inflection occurs; thus fem. समका, where सम is fem. of सम, and का fem. of को; but in the decloration no further change takes place in the termination of the first number of the compound, which remains सम throughout.

Although Ha is in the languages where it occurs indeclinable, yet H. P. and G. have a declinable adjective from Ha, which takes the form m. HICL. J. HICL. obl. HIC, and like S. HH. means "the whole." P. declines HH in the oblique, as HHAT, HHAT; the latter is an instrumental, but is used as an oblique with the objective affix AIC in the first line of Bhai Mihr Singh's "Panjab da Roshan Kissa."

# सचा साई समगी ताई कुद्रति जिम खिलारी।

"The true God who has spread out his power for all."

Similarly Panjabi makes a declinable word out of the indeclinable H. Tr "other," also used as a conjunction "and."

I This work was written for me by the unifier, and is in the pursua Pazjabl of Gujint, a town in the most fertile portion of the Panjab, was the banks of the Chenab. The MS, is in my possion, and has never been edited to printed.

This is in Old-H. we, and sometimes wy, which betrays its origin from the Skr. preposition wy. In P. six means "other," and it is declined in the oblique pl. gict "others," and होरना. It has also an indeclinable form होरन, which is analogous to wive, and has the genitive case-ending irregularly tacked on to it. In such forms as unni and givent, an irregular w has been inserted between the staur and the termination. This is probably due to the tenacity with which all pronouns retain archaic forms; the nom. pl. of the old declension would have been HHIT, BITTH, and in adding the planal oblique (i.e. Prakrit gen. pl. wij) ending, which is wi, it has been forgotten that the q of unfq is an inflection, and a new plural base ung has thus been formed, to which the oblique has been added. The same process has operated in II. wunt, where the m of wunt has similarly been incorporated into the stem. In all languages of the Indo-European family such eccentricities are found in the pronous, and had their origin at that stage of the progress of language when the old synthetical forms were breaking down and becoming confused. and before altogether disappearing, were being used in a way which would have broken the heart of Cicero to hear. Precisely similar to such forms as HHT is the French "lours:" the Latin genitive muse. dlorum becomes "leur"="their," and like the modern Indian genitives, has become an adjective, and, as such, has been supplied with the plural sign s, which comes from es, the termination of the Latin accusative, so that "lours" . would be translated back into illorance! just as qual contains the elements of Skr. सवाणि + आगा. So also Italians, forgetting that loro=illorum is already a genitive, prefix to it the genitive preposition, and say di loro="of them," as also da loro, "from them," and con lovo, "with them," as if a Roman should have said de illorum, de ab illorum, cum illorum. Thus language plays sail tricks with uncient forms, whose meaning has ceased to be felt or understood. Not less eccentrically Hindi says

आपस में "among themselves," as if there had existed a Sanskrit phrase ware wis. Priscian in the one country, and Panini in the other, had, fortunately for their peace of mind, passed away before such processes were invented. Panjabi uses a genitive case-affix with with, and makes with \$1, and an objective sauer of, also an ablative sauer a. When a formwhether derived from a nominative or from an oblique formin Prakrit had once established itself in the mind of the hornyhanded Panjahi peasant, he, knowing nothing about direct or oblique forms, treated it as a stem, and added the usual caseendings to it. So true is it that man is not the master of language, but merely the instrument by which the processes of speech develope themselves according to natural laws. Man in fact makes nothing; nature makes, and man morely places materials in such a position that the forces of nature can work on them. Man collects the wood, applies the fire, and sets the pot on it; but the forces of nature thus brought together boil the water in the pot. So man utters sounds by means of his vocal organs, but nature controls the form which his utterances shall take; and man unconsciously works out great and deepreaching developments of speech far beyond his cognizance or comprehension.

Compound prenouns are in common use, but they present no remarkable features. The laws of their composition may be studied in the grammars of the several dialects, but do not come within the scope of a work which deals with structure only, because structurally they have nothing to be explained beyond what has already been stated when treating of them separately.

Gujarati has two pronouns peculiar to itself. One is पात, pl. "self or selves," obl. पाता, the origin of which is by Taylor (p. 73) correctly referred to the Skr. स्त:; the प of स being hardened to u and the u dropped, the visarga becames wit, and the ablative affix तस, having lost its special signification, has been applied to all the cases of the pronoun. The word seems

to have been formed in two halves, as it were: [4]: becoming separately [4] and [4], and the affix and becoming separately [5]. The word when first compounded must have been used adverbially, "by themselves" or "of itself;" and then, through the common custom of forgetfulness of its origin, the compound has been treated as a norm pl., and regularly inflected. A regular form [4] as still in use, but as a distributive, and with the full form [4] added to it; thus [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [5] they went each to his own house," literally, "they his own, their own, house went."

The other pronoun is Quit. a remote demonstrative "that," which is also written Quit. The origin of this word is uncertain. Quit means "first," but I think this is a different word from Quit. After e the Gujaratis often insert in speaking an inorganic was that the two words come to be alike. My own idea is that Quit is shortened from Quit, and that again from Quit, a secondary formation from Quit "distant," just as in Panjabi we have until "on this side," Quit "on that side" (of a river, road, etc.), so that Quit would literally mean "yonder," just as in the collequial English of rural counties we hear "yond man," or "you man," for "that man," German jener = "that."

§ 78. The language of the Gipsies in various countries of Europe, though its vocabulary is a medley of words taken from the languages of all the lands in which this strange race has sojourned, is purely Aryan in its structure; and Modern-Aryan too, being in many respects quite as far removed from the old synthetical system as any of the seven languages now under discussion. In respect of the pronouns, other than personal, it preserves the traces of its origin very clearly. Thus we have the interrogative kon "who?" kdya "what?" with its oblique kas, also kales, pl. oblique kalen, and the indeclinable ka "which." In addition to this, they have a more definite interrogative kard,

which in sound and meaning is almost identical with G. 23. It is singular that they have also the unique (so far as the Indian languages go) G. At. Gipsy so, from which comes an ablative soster, which, when pronounced with the accent on the first syllable soster, means "why?" but with the accent on the last syllable soster, "because," literally "from what." From this again comes a further interrogative size "qualis?" parallel to kard. The Kashmiri, with which the language of the Gipsies is most generally in accord, here diverges from it, and the connexion is closer with Gujarati than with any other of the languages. Kashmiri has gu and gu for "who?" or "what?" oblique has as in Gipsy, but here, as far as the meagre information accessible calightens us, the resemblance stops, and Kashmiri runs into its usual similarity with Panjabi.

The reflexive pronoun "self" is represented by forms derived from the stem squ, as muse, po, fem. pi, obl. pc. An older form, which Puspati (p. 71) says is rare in the present day, is pinro m., pinri f., pinre obl. Here we see squar with the loss of its initial d and the old genitive affix ro, ri, re, shortened from koro. With this agrees the possessive of the two first personal pronouns minro, ri, re, "mine;" tinro, ri, re, "thine;" and plurals strikingly Indian magro, ri, re, "our;" tumore, ri, re, "your." But the language still possesses the simple genitives mo, to, as in H. B. O. Al., Al. though it differs from H. in treating them as possessives, and consequently as adjectives, and inflects them for gender and case mo m., mi f., mo obl.; so also to, ti, te. In addition to the reflexive po, pi, pe, it has also pes= squar "each other."

Demonstratives are aka and acaka "this," eka, eroka, "that," akerka and okoska "this here," "that there," which do not ally thomselves very closely to the corresponding words in any of the Indian languages. Peculiar also are kadara in., kadapa f.; kadala nom. pl. m., kadale f., "this," and odora "this." Perhaps we are here to suppose the operation of some influence other than Indian.

The demonstratives aka und oka form sets of parallel pronominal adverbs, just as do the demonstrative types of the Indian group. Thus we have about oboth "here and there," akatar, okolar, "hence," "thence." The latter of these corresponds in the manner of its formation to the Panjabi, which expresses "hence" and "thence" by adding the ablative of the old synthetic system under the form 'if to the words for "here" and "there" respectively, as the "here," tall "from here," "hence," 32 "there," 321 "thence." In like manner, far is the sign of the Gipsy ablative. Thus too M. has The "hither," and the ablatival form twat "hence," walso frat and frate "thither" and "thence;" and the same method runs through all the languages, for which reason the words expressive of "motion from" have not been given in the lists above exhibited, as they are merely the ablatives of the words expressive of If reat of "

The only two authorities for the Gipsy, namely Paspati and Miklosich, which are procurable here, do not give a full series of pronouns and pronountal adverbs; and it may be conjectured that, in the rude speech of this people, they are not all to be found. Those noted, however, are in striking conformity with our Indian group.

6 79. In concluding, smidst constant interruptions, this volume on the Noun and Pronoun, I have a few further remarks to make of a general character. Attention has already been directed in several parts of this volume to the varying nature of the seven languages in point of simplicity; but the geographical aspect of this question remains to be noticed. The most complicated of the seven languages are Marathi and Sindhi, and, as far as we know anything of it, Kashmiri. If Gujarati and Panjabi were as complicated as these three, then we might establish a regular gradation from cast to west; for the Oriya and Benguli, the most eastern members of the group, are distinguished by

extreme simplicity; while the Hindi, the central language in position, is contral also in this respect, being less simple than B. and O., less complicated than M. and S. It will be remaindered that in the First Volume Hindi was noted as central in respect to its phonesis, leaning neither to the peculiarities of the extreme castern dialects, such as a fondness for lang vowels and the e-sound, nor to those of the western dialects, a prediffication for short vowels and the i-sound. As regards the structure of the noun, the homogeneity of the western group is disturbed by P. and G. The former, with its structure only alightly less simple than Hindi, lies between the intricate Sindhi and the no less intricate Kashmiri; while the latter also, only a little more full than Hindi, intrudes between Sindhi and Marathi.

The comparatively simple structure of Panjabi, as compared with its neighbours to the north and south, is probably to be ascribed to the fact that the Panjab has been for numerous centuries the battle-ground of India, over whose plains have passed and fought Greek, Persian, Arab, Turkish, and Indian armies; from all, except perhaps the first, of whom the language has imbibed words and ideas, and has been led to reject much of its former complicated structure. Since the establishment of the Musuhman on the throne of Delhi, it has been constantly ruled from the Hindi area, and so strong has been, and still is, the influence of Hindi, that the wonder is that anything should be left of a distinctly dialectic character. As it is, in the towns and more civilized portion of the country, Panjabi is fast disappearing, and will in all probability disappear entirely at no distant date.

The simplicity of Gujarati has been frequently explained in these pages by a reference to the fact that it is a dialect of Hindi, separated at an early, but not precisely assignable date, from the parent language, and thus retaining a certain amount of archaism.

§ 80. With regard to the two eastern languages, much remains for future research to make plain. In Orison the traces of a large non-Aryan element in the population are patent to the most superficial observer, and the same may be said of Bengal; but unfortunately neither of these provinces has as yet been examined, either as to their language or ethnology, as thoroughly as could be desired. The Europeans resident in India are all busy men; no one of us comes here except to work, and as a rule to work very hard. The machinery of Government becomes more complex, and makes greater demands upon the time of members of the administration every year; so that those whose previous training would best qualify them for the task of investigation have as a rule the least leisure for it. It is not possible for scholars in Europe to conduct minute inquiries, because the materials they possess refer rather to ancient than modern India; and it is necessary that one should live in the country itself, and in daily communication with its people, in order fully to breather the spirit of their customs and institutions, and so to get an insight into the nature of them, such as may indicate the most promising line of inquiry to follow. There are more materials for Orissa than for Bengal, because the former province was more isolated, more homogeneous, more bound up in itself, more a nation in short than Bengal for many centuries; and the records of the great idol-temple at Puri, together with others which are probably still to be found in various holes and corners of this most conservative of Indian provinces, will, when they can be got at and examined, probably yield a rich harvest of facts to the labourer in the departments of history and philology.

END OF VOL. IL.



CATALOGUED.

1.2 1. 4/12/75 Read 1/80

Archaeological Library, Call No. 491.4/Bea Borrester No. Date of Issue Date of Return

"A book that is shut is but a block"

BOOK that to on ARCHAEOLOGICAL CHARGON OF INDIA

Please help us to keep the book clean and moving.

4, 8 , 148- W. PELIS-